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#### Abstract

Analyzing power and differential cross-section results are presented in tabular and graphical form for ${ }^{4} \mathrm{He}(\mathrm{t}, \mathrm{t})^{4} \mathrm{He}$ elastic scattering from 7 - to 14 MeV bombarding energy. The experimental procedure is described for measuring the analyzing powers.


## I. INTRODUCTION

This report presents tabulations and graphs of ${ }^{4} \mathrm{He}(\mathrm{t}, \mathrm{t}){ }^{4} \mathrm{He}$ elastic scattering data obtained recently at the Los Alamos Scientific Laboratory tandem Van de Graaff facility. The analyzing power data result from the first experiment performed with a polarized triton source; ${ }^{1}$ the experimental procedure is included for this reason. Details of the differential cross-section experiment are reported elsewhere, ${ }^{2}$ but the data from that experiment are included here for completeness.

## II. EXPERIMENTAL EQUIPMENT AND PROCEDURE FOR ANALYZING POWER MEASUREMENTS

The analyzing power experiment was performed with a polarized triton beam striking a ${ }^{4} \mathrm{He}$ gas target. Two detectors at symmetric angles each simultaneously monitored scattered tritons and recoil alphas to collect data from which the analyzing powers were obtained.

The polarized triton beam was focused onto the target at the center of the supercube ${ }^{3,4}$ scattering
chamber. The beamline plan is shown in Fig. 1. Entrance slits to the chamber ( $2.54 \mathrm{~mm}^{2}$ ) were located 60.6 cm from the center of the target. A set of antiscatter slits ( $3.05 \mathrm{~mm}^{2}$ ) were located 40.3 cm from the target center. A circular collimator 2.54 mm in diameter preceded the $2.5-\mu \mathrm{m}$-thick target entrance foil, and antiscatter baffles followed it. After passing through the target, the beam was collected on the chamber exit slits 65.6 cm from target center as well as on the Faraday cup which followed. A beam position indicator simultaneously displayed the current intercepted by the first set of entrance slits and by the exit slits. Beam position was maintained throughout the experiment by keeping the current on these slits balanced in the left-right and up-down directions. Currents on the exit slits were added to the Faraday cup current, and the total was recorded by a current integrator.
The target, shown schematically in Fig. 2, was a $10-\mathrm{cm}$-diam cylindrical gas cell pressurized with ${ }^{\text {' }} \mathrm{He}$ to 300 torr and covered at first with $2.5-\mu \mathrm{m}$-thick Havar foil, then later with $6.3-\mu$ m-thick Kapton plastic film. For measurements with the Kapton film, a $3-\ell$ buffer was attached to the gas-cell filler tube to compensate for diffusion losses through the film.


Fig. 1.
Supercube scattering chamber beamline plan (not to scale). Slit sizes are given in the text.


Fig. 2.
Schematic of $300^{\circ}$ circular target. The target was pressurized to 300 torr with ' He .

Two detector telescopes viewed the target at equal angles left and right of the beam axis. Figure 3 shows the detector snouts used with the gas target. Each detector collimator consisted of a front rectangular slit 5.1 cm from the target center and a rear rectangular slit 24.1 cm from the target center. Both collimator apertures were 3.33 mm wide by 11.4 mm high, giving an angular resolution of $1.0^{\circ}$, full width at half maximum ( FWHM ). Mean scattering angles were determined to $\pm 0.05^{\circ}$. Further details on the collimators, antiscatter slits, and supercube hardware can be found in Ref. 4.


Fig. 3.
Detector snouts used to hold collimators for gas target geometry. The dimensions of the collimator slits are given in the text.

Each counter telescope contained a $48-\mu \mathrm{m}$-thickthick $\Delta \mathrm{E}$ detector and a $1000-\mu$ m-thick E detector. For those events which gave rise to a $\Delta \mathrm{E}$-E coincidence, linear $\Delta \mathrm{E}$ and E signals were sent to analog-to-digital converters (ADCs) and stored in an on-line computer. Mass identification was performed by computer processing; events resulting from tritons and recoil alpha particles were stored in separate memory blocks. Alpha particles penetrated $\Delta \mathrm{E}$ detectors only for higher energies and forward angles. Therefore, $\Delta \mathrm{E}$ singles spectra were simultaneously stored by means of separate ADCs. Most alpha particle data were obtained in this singles mode.

Peak sums were obtained on-line by setting relatively narrow gates on the peaks. A visually satisfactory linear background line was set by means of a wider "background gate," and the linear background under the peak was subtracted in the analysis routine. For most of the data, the background counts thus obtained were much less than $1 \%$ of the peak sums. For some of the data where alpha particles were detected in singles mode, background counts approached $3 \%$. Even in these cases the analyzing power calculations, with and without background correction differed by less than statistical error. Corrected counts were used for the final tabulation.

The data acquisition sequence for each angle consisted of runs of equal integrated charge for triton beam polarization in the up-down directions. Beam polarization $P_{Q}$ was determined by averaging quench-ratio ${ }^{6}$ results obtained before and after each run. These results were determined from the accelerated beam by a computer routine that measures, with a digital picoammeter, the ratio $Q$ of normal beam current to quenched beam current and calculates

$$
P_{Q}=1-\frac{1}{Q} .
$$

Two measurements of $P_{q}$ were made each time the routine was called; their average was stored in the computer. In this manner, eight $P_{Q}$ values were averaged for a spin-up, spin-down sequence. The final average $\overline{\mathrm{P}}_{\mathrm{Q}}$ was used in calculating the analyz-
ing power. Accuracy of the quench-ratio technique for triton beam polarization was one of the results determined in this experiment: it was found to be accurate to within $\pm 0.010$ (Ref. 6).
Analyzing powers $\mathrm{A}_{\mathrm{y}}$ were calculated from the geometric average of left ( L ) and right ( R ) detector yields for the two runs:

$$
\begin{aligned}
r & =\left(\frac{L \uparrow R \downarrow}{R \uparrow L \downarrow}\right)^{1 / 2} \\
A_{y} & =\frac{1}{P_{Q}}\left[\frac{r-1}{r+1}\right]
\end{aligned}
$$

where the arrows indicate spin-up and spin-down beam polarizations. This method cancels the effects of differences in detector efficiency and of errors in current integration. ${ }^{7}$ Data acquisition and processing, quench-ratio measurements, spin-direction control of the polarized source, $\mathrm{A}_{\mathrm{y}}$ calculations, and detector angle changes in the scattering chamber were entirely under computer control. This freed the experimenter to concentrate on setting foreground and background gates in the spectra and to monitor the data acquisition.

An important correction is necessary to both the analyzing power and cross-section data when there is a combination of a large curvature in the angular distribution and a significant multiple scattering of the detected particles in the target exit foil. In this case, the particles multiply-scattered into and away from the detector did not completely compensate each other. The effect was especially serious for the sharp peaks at large c.m. angles where alpha particles were detected. With a Havar foil target, corrections as large as $14 \%$ in the cross section and 0.1 to 0.2 in the analyzing power were necessary. Much of the $A_{y}$ data and some of the cross-section data, where alphas were detected, were rerun with the target gas contained by a Kapton film. Approximate correction formulas ${ }^{8}$ and a Monte Carlo program ${ }^{\circ}$ were developed and used to make corrections (usually less than $3 \%$ ) to the cross-section data. The results of the runs with a Kapton target, the formulas, and the Monte Carlo program were consistent. Larger-than-normal errors seen in parts of the data are the result of the above problem.

## III. ANALYZING POWER DATA

Complete angular distributions of the analyzing powers were obtained at three energies: 8.785, 10.815 , and 12.250 MeV . Partial distributions were obtained at four other energies from $10.2-11.7 \mathrm{MeV}$ near the angular region where $A_{y}$ approaches its minimum possible value of -1 . These data have been discussed in Ref. 10. In addition to an extensive excitation function at $\theta_{\text {lab }}=28.5^{\circ}$, some excitation function data were obtained at $\theta_{\text {lab }}=35.0^{\circ}$ near the energy where a Wigner Cusp effect ${ }^{2,11,12}$ was expected.
Energy of the bombarding beam was known to $\pm 15 \mathrm{keV}$ with a FWHM spread of 40 keV including the effects of foil and target-gas straggling and machine energy resolution. The relative energy of adjacent runs in an excitation function is accurate to $\pm 5 \mathrm{keV}$.
Analyzing power data obtained with the polarized triton beam are summarized in Tables I and II. Numerical data are tabulated in Appendix A. Figures $4-6$ show the polarization data in graphical form. Shown for comparison in Fig. 5 are data from Ref. 13.
The relative accuracy of the data is generally better than $\pm 0.020$, and much of it is better than $\pm 0.007$. Relative errors listed in Appendix A are primarily statistical, although when the statistical error was less than $\pm 0.007$, we took this value as a lower limit. The limit results from our knowledge of the background and from our observation of maximum fluctuation in quench-ratio determinations of beam polarization. It also derives from our observations over many years of the reproducibility of proton analyzing power data taken with similar techniques. We believe the scale error for these measurements (i.e., the amount they could be renormalized in order to minimize $\chi^{2}$ in a search) to be $1 \%$. This is a multiplicative factor based on longterm observations of the quench-ratio accuracy under varying operating conditions of the polarized source.

The data at $10.200,11.100,11.390$, and 11.700 MeV near the $\mathrm{A}_{\mathrm{y}}=-1$ point (see Fig. 6) have not been corrected for multiple scattering (since the curvatures are obscure), nor repeated with a Kapton target. The points that might have a significant correction are in those at $100^{\circ} \mathrm{c} . \mathrm{m}$. and larger. This will not affect the conclusions of the analyzing power

## TABLE I

## RANGE OF ANGULAR DISTRIBUTIONS FOR $\mathbf{A}_{y}(\theta)$

| Energy <br> $(\mathbf{M e V})$ | $\theta_{\text {c.m. }}$ <br> (deg) $)$ | Data <br> Points |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 8.785 | $26-150$ | 31 |
| 10.200 | $61-110$ | 12 |
| 10.815 | $28-148$ | 58 |
| 11.100 | $56-115$ | 14 |
| 11.390 | $61-110$ | 12 |
| 11.700 | $61-110$ | 12 |
| 12.250 | $26-150$ | 30 |

TABLE II

RANGE OF EXCITATION FUNCTIONS FOR $A_{y}(\theta)$

| Energy <br> (MeV) | $\begin{gathered} \theta_{\text {lab }} \\ (\mathrm{deg}) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \theta_{\text {c.m. }} \text {. } \\ & \text { (deg) } \end{aligned}$ | Data <br> Points |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 7.000-14.200 | $28.5{ }^{\text {a }}$ | 49.6 | 60 |
| 7.000-14.200 | $28.5{ }^{\text {b }}$ | 123.0 | 15 |
| 8.200-8.870 | $35.0{ }^{\text {a }}$ | 60.6 | 12 |
| 8.200-8.870 | $35.0{ }^{\text {b }}$ | 110.0 | 12 |

calibration in Ref. 10, but these particular data should be used with caution in a numerical analysis.

A preliminary report of the polarization data has been presented. ${ }^{0,10,14}$

## IV. DIFFERENTIAL CROSS-SECTION DATA

The differential cross-section data ${ }^{2}$ included in this report were taken with an unpolarized triton


Fig. 4.
Excitation function for the ${ }^{4} \mathrm{He}(t, t)^{4} \mathrm{He}$ analyz. ing power.
beam in an Ortec* $60-\mathrm{cm}$ scattering chamber. Relative and scale errors are listed in the tabulations. Energy errors are the same as those discussed with the analyzing power data. Angular width of the double-slit system was $0.3^{\circ} \mathrm{FWHM}$, and the final accuracy of the measured angle was $\pm 0.03^{\circ}$.
Tables III and IV summarize the differential crosssection data; complete tables are provided in Appendix B. Figures 7 and 8 show the data in graphical form.

## v. DISCUSSION

Experimental procedures and numerical data have been reported for accurate measurements of the ${ }^{4} \mathrm{He}(\mathrm{t}, \mathrm{t})^{4} \mathrm{He}$ reaction from 7 to 14 MeV . Both the analyzing power data and differential cross-section data are presently being used (with data from other laboratories in both the alpha + triton and ${ }^{6} \mathrm{Li}+$ neutron channels) in an R-matrix analysis of the ${ }^{7} \mathrm{Li}$ system. ${ }^{16}$ The solid lines in Figs. 4, 5, 7, and 8 are preliminary R-matrix predictions which were obtained without using a complete set of the present

[^0]

Fig. 5.
Angular distributions of the analyzing power for ' $\mathrm{He}(t, t)^{\text {‘ }} \mathrm{He}$ elastic scattering. The open square points at low angles at 12.25 MeV are from the double-scattering measurements of Keaton et al. (Ref. 13).


Fig. 6.
Angular distributions of the analyzing power for ${ }^{4} \mathrm{He}(t, t){ }^{\wedge} \mathrm{He}$ elastic scattering near $\mathrm{A}_{\mathrm{y}}=-1$. Solid lines only connect data points as a visual guide.
data, in particular the final analyzing powers. The indication is that both the cross sections and the analyzing powers will have a strong influence on the fit.

TABLE III
RANGE OF ANGULAR DISTRIBUTIONS FOR $\boldsymbol{\sigma}$ ( $\boldsymbol{\theta}$ )

| Energy <br> (MeV) | $\theta_{\text {c.m. }}$ <br> (deg) | Data <br> Points |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 8.230 | $22-155$ | 22 |
| 8.580 | $22-155$ | 18 |
| 8.790 | $22-155$ | 17 |
| 8.980 | $22-155$ | 24 |
| 9.880 | $22-155$ | 27 |
| 12.000 | $22-155$ | 17 |

TABLE IV
RANGE OF EXCITATION FUNCTIONS FOR $\sigma$ ( $\theta$ )
(Energy $=\mathbf{7 . 6 0 0} \mathbf{- 1 0 . 0 0 0} \mathbf{~ M e V}$ )

| $\theta_{\text {lab }}$ <br> (deg) | $\theta_{\text {c.m. }}$ <br> (deg) | Data <br> Points |
| :---: | ---: | :---: |
| $15.0^{\mathrm{a}}$ | 26.3 | 21 |
| $15.0^{\mathrm{b}}$ | 150.0 | 21 |
| $28.5^{\mathrm{a}}$ | 49.6 | 22 |
| $28.5^{\mathrm{b}}$ | 123.0 | 21 |
| $40.0^{\mathrm{a}}$ | 69.0 | 21 |

-Tritons.
${ }^{\text {D Alphas. }}$

Although it is not apparent in the figures as drawn, a careful study of the data and the R-matrix fit does indicate a slight anomaly near 8.4 MeV where a Wigner Cusp ${ }^{11,18}$ is expected. The effect is certainly not very marked, and further precision experiments should be done if the nature of the cusp is to be explored.


Fig. 7.
Angular distributions of the differential cross section for ${ }^{4} \mathrm{He}(t, t)^{\wedge} \mathrm{He}$ elastic scattering.
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Fig. 8.
Excitation functions for the ' $\mathrm{He}(t, t)$ ' He differential cross section.

## REFERENCES

1. R. A. Hardekopf, "Operation of the LASL Polarized Triton Source," Proc. 4th Int. Symp. Polariz. Phenom. Nucl. React., Zürich, 25-29 August 1975 (Birkhảuser Verlag, Basel, 1976), p. 865.
2. N. Jarmie, G. G. Ohlsen, P. A. Lovoi, D. M. Stupin, R. A. Hardekopf, B. D. Anderson, J. W. Sunier, R. V. Poore, R. J. Barrett, G. M. Hale, and D. C. Dodder, "Elastic Scattering of $7-12 \mathrm{MeV}$ Tritons by Alpha Particles," to be published. Abstract in Bull. Am. Phys. Soc. 20, 596 (1975). See Phys. Rev. C3, 10 (1971) for experimental arrangement.
3. G. G. Ohlsen and P. A. Lovoi, "The 'Supercube' Scattering Chamber for Spin $1 / 2$ and Spin 1 Analyzing Power Measurements," Proc. 4th Int. Symp. Polariz. Phenom. Nucl. React., Zürich, 25-29 August 1975 (Birkhäuser Verlag, Basel, 1976), p. 907.
4. P. A. Lovoi, "Proton-Proton Analyzing Power Measurements at $16 \mathrm{MeV}, "$ Ph.D. Dissertation, Univ. of New Mexico, 1975. Also Los Alamos Scientific Laboratory report LA-6041-T (September 1975).
5. G. G. Ohlsen, J. L. McKibben, G. P. Lawrence, P. W. Keaton, Jr., and D. D. Armstrong, "Precise Proton-Polarization Standards Determined with a Lamb-Shift Ion Source Incorporating a Nuclear Spin Filter," Phys. Rev. Lett. 27, 599 (1971).
6. R. A. Hardekopf, G. G. Ohlsen, R. V. Poore, and N. Jarmie, "Calibration of a Polarized Triton Beam," Proc. 4th Int. Symp. Polariz. Phenom. Nucl. React., Zürich, 25-29 August 1975 (Birkhäuser Verlag, Basel, 1976), p. 903.
7. G. G. Ohlsen and P. W. Keaton, Jr., "Techniques for Measurement of Spin-1/2 and Spin-1 Polarization Analyzing Tensors," Nucl. Instrum. Methods 109, 42 (1973).
8. N. Jarmie, R. E. Brown, P. A. Schmelzbach, and R. F. Haglund, Jr., to be published.
9. Nelson Jarmie, J. H. Jett, and A. C. Niethammer, "SPANDY - A Monte Carlo Program for Simulation of Gas Target Scattering Geometry," Los

Alamos Scientific Laboratory report LA-6611, to be published.
10. R. A. Hardekopf, G. G. Ohlsen, R. V. Poore, and N. Jarmie, "Location of a Polarization Extremum in Triton-Alpha Scattering and its Application to a New Polarized Triton Source," Phys. Rev. C13, 2127 (1976).
11. N. Jarmie and R. C. Allen, "T(p,p)T Near the T(p,n) ${ }^{2}$ He Threshold," Phys. Rev. 114, 176 (1959).
12. E. P. Wigner, "On the Behavior of Cross Sections Near Thresholds," Phys. Rev. 73, 1002 (1948).
13. P. W. Keaton, Jr., D. D. Armstrong, and L. R. Veeser, "Polarization of Tritons Scattered from " He ," Phys. Rev. Lett. 20, 1392 (1968).
14. R. A. Hardekopf, N. Jarmie, G. G. Ohlsen, and R. V. Poore, "Analyzing Power for ${ }^{\prime} \mathrm{He}(\mathrm{t}, \mathrm{t})^{\prime} \mathrm{He}$ Elastic Scattering," Proc. 4th Int. Symp. Polariz. Phenom. Nucl. React., Zürich, 25-29 August 1975 (Birkhäuser Verlag, Basel, 1976), p. 579.
15. G. M. Hale, "R-Matrix Analysis of the Light Element Standards," in Nuclear Cross-Sections and Technology, Nat. Bur. Stand. Spec. Publ. 425, Vol. 1 (1975), p. 302.

## APPENDIX A

## ANALYZING POWER Ay FOR ${ }^{\mathbf{~}} \mathbf{H e}(\mathrm{t}, \mathrm{t}){ }^{\mathbf{4}} \mathbf{H e}$

${ }^{4} \mathrm{He}(t, t){ }^{4} \mathrm{He}$
8.785 MeV

| $\theta_{1} \mathrm{ab}$ <br> $(\mathrm{deg})$ | $\theta_{\mathrm{cm}}$ <br> $(\mathrm{deg})$ | $A_{y}(\theta)$ | Error |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 15.0 | 26.3 | -0.169 | 0.007 |
| 17.5 | 30.6 | -0.157 | 0.007 |
| 20.0 | 35.0 | -0.132 | 0.007 |
| 22.5 | 39.3 | -0.103 | 0.007 |
| 25.0 | 43.6 | -0.055 | 0.007 |
| 27.5 | 47.9 | -0.003 | 0.007 |
| 30.0 | 52.2 | 0.045 | 0.007 |
| 32.5 | 56.4 | 0.090 | 0.007 |
| 35.0 | 60.6 | 0.045 | 0.007 |
| 37.5 | 64.8 | -0.134 | 0.007 |
| 40.0 | 69.0 | -0.332 | 0.007 |
| 42.5 | 73.2 | -0.559 | 0.007 |
| 45.0 | 77.2 | -0.664 | 0.007 |
| 47.5 | 81.3 | -0.715 | 0.007 |
| 50.0 | 85.3 | -0.720 | 0.007 |
| 52.5 | 89.3 | -0.634 | 0.007 |
| 55.0 | 93.2 | -0.615 | 0.007 |
| 57.5 | 97.0 | -0.575 | 0.011 |
| $40.0^{a}$ | 100.0 | -0.563 | 0.007 |
| $37.5^{a}$ | 105.0 | -0.526 | 0.007 |
| $35.0^{a}$ | 110.0 | -0.458 | 0.007 |
| $32.5^{a}$ | 115.0 | -0.258 | 0.011 |
| $30.0^{a}$ | 120.0 | 0.168 | 0.010 |
| $29.0^{a}$ | 122.0 | 0.388 | 0.009 |
| $27.5^{a}$ | 125.0 | 0.627 | 0.008 |
| $26.0^{a}$ | 128.0 | 0.717 | 0.007 |
| $25.0^{a}$ | 130.0 | 0.710 | 0.007 |
| $22.5^{a}$ | 135.0 | 0.575 | 0.007 |
| $20.0^{a}$ | 140.0 | 0.446 | 0.007 |
| $17.50^{a}$ | 145.0 | 0.350 | 0.007 |
| $15.0^{a}$ | 150.0 | 0.257 | 0.007 |


| ${ }^{4} \mathrm{He}(\mathrm{t}, \mathrm{t})^{4} \mathrm{He}$ |  | 10.200 MeV |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\begin{gathered} \theta_{l a b} \\ (\mathrm{deg}) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \theta_{\mathrm{cm}} \\ (\mathrm{deg}) \end{gathered}$ | $A_{y}(\theta)$ | Error |
| 35.0 | 60.6 | 0.197 | 0.007 |
| 37.5 | 64.8 | -0.039 | 0.007 |
| 40.0 | 69.0 | -0.312 | 0.007 |
| 42.5 | 73.2 | -0.575 | 0.007 |
| 45.0 | 77.2 | -0.778 | 0.007 |
| 47.5 | 81.3 | -0.894 | 0.007 |
| 50.0 | 85.3 | -0.963 | 0.007 |
| $45.0^{\text {a }}$ | 90.0 | -0.980 | 0.007 |
| $42.5{ }^{\text {a }}$ | 95.0 | -0.986 | 0.007 |
| $40.0^{\text {a }}$ | 100.0 | -0.951 | 0.007 |
| $37.5^{\text {a }}$ | 105.0 | -0.919 | 0.007 |
| $35.0{ }^{\text {a }}$ | 110.0 | -0.884 | 0.007 |

[^1]a alpha particle detected.

| $\begin{gathered} \theta_{l a b} \\ \text { (deg) } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \left.\theta_{\mathrm{cm}}^{\mathrm{deg}}\right) \end{gathered}$ | $A_{y}(\theta)$ | Error |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 16.0 | 28.0 | 0.216 | 0.007 |
| 18.0 | 31.5 | 0.279 | 0.007 |
| 20.0 | 35.0 | 0.340 | 0.007 |
| 22.0 | 38.4 | 0.400 | 0.007 |
| 24.0 | 41.9 | 0.444 | 0.007 |
| 26.0 | 45.3 | 0.478 | 0.007 |
| 28.0 | 48.8 | 0.490 | 0.007 |
| 30.0 | 52.2 | 0.477 | 0.007 |
| 32.0 | 55.6 | 0.421 | 0.007 |
| 34.0 | 59.0 | 0.309 | 0.007 |
| 35.0 | 60.6 | 0.237 | 0.007 |
| 36.0 | 62.3 | 0.143 | 0.007 |
| 37.0 | 64.0 | 0.052 | 0.007 |
| 37.5 | 64.8 | 0.003 | 0.007 |
| 38.0 | 65.7 | -0.045 | 0.008 |
| 40.0 | 69.0 | -0.267 | 0.007 |
| 42.0 | 72.3 | -0.480 | 0.009 |
| 42.5 | 73.2 | -0.531 | 0.007 |
| 44.0 | 75.6 | -0.664 | 0.008 |
| 45.0 | 77.2 | -0.732 | 0.007 |
| 46.0 | 78.9 | -0.799 | 0.008 |
| 47.5 | 81.3 | -0.869 | 0.007 |
| 48.0 | 82.1 | -0.918 | 0.007 |
| 48.08 | 84.0 | -0.939 | 0.007 |
| 50.0 | 85.3 | -0.946 | 0.007 |
| $46.0{ }^{\circ}$ | 88.0 | -0.981 | 0.007 |
| 52.0 | 88.5 | -0.984 | 0.007 |
| 45.08 | 90.0 | -0.999 | 0.007 |
| 54.0 | 91.6 | -0.990 | 0.007 |


| $\theta_{1 \text { ab }}$ <br> (deg) | $\theta_{\text {cm. }}^{\text {(deg) }}$ | Ay $(\theta)$ | Error |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| $44.0^{a}$ | 92.0 | -1.006 | 0.007 |
| 56.0 | 94.7 | -1.004 | 0.007 |
| $42.5^{a}$ | 95.0 | -1.002 | 0.007 |
| $42.0^{a}$ | 96.0 | -0.997 | 0.007 |
| 58.0 | 97.8 | -1.002 | 0.008 |
| $40.0^{a}$ | 100.0 | -0.979 | 0.010 |
| $38.0^{a}$ | 104.0 | -0.958 | 0.012 |
| $37.5^{a}$ | 105.0 | -0.968 | 0.007 |
| $37.0^{a}$ | 106.0 | -0.980 | 0.007 |
| $36.0^{a}$ | 108.0 | -0.971 | 0.010 |
| $35.0^{a}$ | 110.0 | -0.965 | 0.007 |
| $34.0^{a}$ | 112.0 | -0.907 | 0.012 |
| $33.0^{a}$ | 114.0 | -0.855 | 0.013 |
| $32.0^{a}$ | 116.0 | -0.566 | 0.018 |
| $31.0^{a}$ | 118.0 | -0.090 | 0.023 |
| $30.5^{a}$ | 119.0 | 0.158 | 0.020 |
| $30.0^{a}$ | 120.0 | 0.375 | 0.020 |
| $29.5^{a}$ | 121.0 | 0.420 | 0.018 |
| $29.0^{a}$ | 122.0 | 0.430 | 0.016 |
| $28.5^{a}$ | 123.0 | 0.369 | 0.015 |
| $28.0^{a}$ | 124.0 | 0.302 | 0.013 |
| $27.0^{a}$ | 126.0 | 0.150 | 0.013 |
| $26.0^{a}$ | 128.0 | 0.056 | 0.012 |
| $25.5^{a}$ | 129.0 | 0.004 | 0.009 |
| $24.0^{a}$ | 132.0 | -0.089 | 0.008 |
| $22.0^{a}$ | 136.0 | -0.174 | 0.008 |
| $20.0^{a}$ | 140.0 | -0.204 | 0.010 |
| $18.0^{a}$ | 144.0 | -0.232 | .0 .010 |
| $16.0^{a}$ | 148.0 | -0.266 | 0.010 |

[^2]
a alpha particle detected.

| ${ }^{4} \mathrm{He}(t, t){ }^{4} \mathrm{He}$ |  | 11.700 MeV |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\begin{gathered} \theta_{1} \text { ab } \\ (\operatorname{deg}) . \end{gathered}$ | $\stackrel{\theta_{\mathrm{cm}}}{(\mathrm{deg})}$ | $A_{y}(\theta)$ | Error |
| 35.0 | 60.6 | 0.348 | 0.007 |
| 37.5 | 64.8 | 0.069 | 0.007 |
| 40.0 | 69.0 | -0.269 | 0.007 |
| 42.5 | 73.2 | -0.579 | 0.007 |
| 45.0 | 77.2 | -0.795 | 0.007 |
| 47.5 | 81.3 | -0.902 | 0.007 |
| $47.5{ }^{\text {a }}$ | 85.0 | -0.960 | 0.007 |
| 45.08 | 90.0 | -0.986 | 0.007 |
| $42.5{ }^{\text {a }}$ | 95.0 | -0.988 | 0.007 |
| $40.0^{\text {a }}$ | 100.0 | -0.981 | 0.007 |
| $37.5{ }^{\text {a }}$ | 105.0 | -0.973 | 0.007 |
| $35.0{ }^{\text {a }}$ | 110.0 | -0.920 | 0.007 |

```
\({ }^{4} \mathrm{He}(t, t)^{4} \mathrm{He}\)
Excitation Function \(\quad \theta_{l a b}=28.5\) deg
```

| $E_{t}$ <br> (MeV) | $\begin{array}{r} \text { tri } \\ \theta_{A_{y}(\theta)}= \end{array}$ | $9.6 \mathrm{deg}$ | $\theta_{A_{y}(\theta)}=1$ | . 0 deg Error | $\begin{gathered} E_{t} \\ (\mathrm{MeV}) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{array}{r} \text { trit } \\ \theta_{\mathbf{C m}_{\mathbf{l}}}={ }^{4} \\ \mathrm{~A}_{y}(\theta) \end{array}$ | 6. deg Error | $\theta_{\mathrm{Am}_{\mathrm{A}}}=12$ | 0 deg Error |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 7.000 | -0.804 | 0.007 |  |  | 9.600 | 0.377 | . 0.007 | 0.269 | 0.014 |
| 7.100 | -0.802 | 0.007 |  |  | 9.800 | 0.395 | 0.007 | 0.272 | 0.017 |
| 7.200 | -0.767 | 0.007 |  |  | 10.000 | 0.413 | :0.007 |  |  |
| 7.300 | -0.706 | 0.007 |  |  | 10.200 | 0.427 | 0.007 |  |  |
| 7.400 | -0.616 | 0.007 |  |  | 10.400 | 0.440 | 0.007 |  |  |
| 7.500 | -0.501 | 0.007 |  |  | 10.600 | 0.463 | 0.007 |  |  |
| 7.600 | -0.374 | 0.007 |  |  | 10.800 | 0.485 | $\cdot 0.007$ |  |  |
| 7.800 | -0.108 | 0.007 | 0.273 | 0.008 | 11.000 | 0.515 | 0.007 |  |  |
| 8.000 | 0.073 | 0.007 | 0.279 | 0.008 | 11.200 | 0.548 | 0.007 |  |  |
| 8.200 | 0.202 | 0.007 | 0.253 | 0.008 | 11.400 | 0.585 | $\cdot 0.007$ |  |  |
| 8.300 | 0.242 | 0.007 | 0.253 | 0.008 | 11.600 | 0.633 | 0.007 |  |  |
| 8.350 | 0.261 | 0.007 |  |  | 11.800 | 0.681 | 0.007 |  |  |
| 8.375 | 0.271 | 0.007 |  |  | 12.000 | 0.748 | 0.007 |  |  |
| 8.400 | 0.268 | $\cdot 0.007$ | 0.214 | 0.008 | 12.200 | 0.848 | 0.007 | - |  |
| 8.425 | 0.279 | 0.007 |  |  | 12.300 | 0.910 | 0.007 |  |  |
| 8.450 | 0.288 | 0.007 |  |  | 12.400 | 0.952 | 0.007 |  |  |
| 8.475 | 0.294 | 0.007 |  |  | 12.500 | 0.933 | 0.007 |  |  |
| 8.500 | 0.304 | 0.007 | 0.191 | 0.010 | 12.600 | 0.804 | 0.007 |  |  |
| 8.550 | 0.310 | 0.007 |  |  | 12.700 | 0.642 | 0.007 |  |  |
| 8.600 | 0.299 | 0.007 | 0.254 | 0.013 | 12.800 | 0.503 | 0.007 |  |  |
| 8.650 | 0.277 | 0.007 | 0.492 | 0.011 | 12.900 | 0.416 | 0.007 |  |  |
| 8.700 | 0.126 | 0.007 | 0.770 | 0.011 | 13.000 | 0.356 | 0.007 |  |  |
| 8.750 | -0.023 | 0.007 | 0.596 | 0.011 | 13.200 | 0.316 | 0.007 |  |  |
| 8.800 | 0.024 | . 0.007 | 0.431 | 0.011 | 13.400 | 0.299 | 0.007 |  |  |
| 8.850 | 0.131 | 0.007 |  |  | 13.500 | 0.306 | 0.007 |  |  |
| 8.900 | 0.208 | 0.007 | 0.358 | 0.012 | 13.600 | 0.304 | 0.007 |  |  |
| 9.000 | 0.278 | 0.007 |  |  | 13.700 | 0.294 | 0.007 |  |  |
| 9.100 | 0.314 | 0.007 |  |  | 13.800 | 0.307 | 0.007 |  |  |
| 9.200 | 0.338 | 0.007 |  |  | 14.000 | 0.289 | 0.007 |  |  |
| 9.400 | 0.365 | 0.007 | 0.257 | 0.013 | 14.200 | 0.293 | 0.007 |  |  |

${ }^{4} \mathrm{He}(t, t){ }^{4} \mathrm{He}$


## APPENDIX B

## DIFFERENTIAL CROSS SECTIONS $\sigma(\theta)$ FOR ${ }^{〔} \mathbf{H e}(t, t){ }^{\mathbf{4}} \mathrm{He}$

| ${ }^{4} \mathrm{He}(t, t)^{4} \mathrm{He} 8.230 \mathrm{MeV}$ |  |
| :--- | :--- |
| Scale Error $=0.40 \%$ | $\quad 4 \mathrm{He}(t, t)^{4} \mathrm{He} \quad 8.580 \mathrm{MeV}$ |
| Scale Error $-0.40 \%$ |  |


| $\begin{aligned} & \theta_{1 a b} \\ & \text { (deg) } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & (8) 1 \mathrm{ab} \\ & (\mathrm{mb} / \mathrm{Br}) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 8_{\text {c.m. }} \\ & \text { (deg) } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \sigma(\theta)_{c . m} . \\ & (m b / g r) \end{aligned}$ | Rel. Error (\%) | $\begin{aligned} & \theta_{\text {lab }} \\ & \text { (deg) } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \sigma(\theta)_{1 a b} \\ & (\mathrm{mb} / \mathrm{gr}) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \theta_{\text {c.m. }} \\ & \text { (deg) } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \sigma(\theta) c . \mathrm{z} . \\ & (\mathrm{mb} / \mathrm{Br}) \end{aligned}$ | Rel. Error (\%) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 12.50 | 3247.0 | 21.90 | 1078.0 | 0.60 | 12.50 | 2812.0 | 21.90 | 933.9 | 0.40 |
| 15.00 | 2364.0 | 26.27 | 793.1 | 0.40 | 15.00 | 2025.0 | 26.27 | 679.3 | 0.40 |
| 20.00 | 1275.0 | 34.96 | 439.1 | 0.40 | 20.00 | 1102.0 | 34.96 | 379.3 | 0.40 |
| 22.50 | 900.5 | 39.29 | 314.9 | 0.40 | 25.00 | 562.3 | 43.60 | 200.2 | 0.40 |
| 25.00 | 613.7 | 43.60 | 218.5 | 0.40 | 30.00 | 268.9 | 52.17 | 99.81 | 0.40 |
| 27.50 | 400.7 | 47.89 | 145.5 | 0.40 | 35.00 | 138.5 | 60.65 | 54.07 | 0.49 |
| 30.00 | 251.0 | 52.17 | 93.21 | 0.45 | 40.00 | 98.71 | 69.01 | 40.93 | 0.49 |
| 32.50 | 156.1 | 56.42 | 59.34 | 0.50 | 45.00 | 95.01 | 77.24 | 42.26 | 0.52 |
| 35.00 | 100.60 | 60.65 | 39.27 | 0.60 | 50.00 | 91.59 | 85.30 | 44.18 | 0.46 |
| 37.50 | 77.04 | 64.84 | 30.96 | 0.60 | 55.00 | 78.65 | 93.16 | 41.60 | 0.71 |
| 40.00 | 72.79 | 69.01 | 30.19 | 0.60 | $35.00^{\text {a }}$ | 63.27 | 109.96 | 19.30 | 0.9 |
| 45.00 | 92.80 | 77.24 | 41.28 | 0.50 | $32.50{ }^{\text {a }}$ | 40.25 | 115.00 | 11.93 | 0.9 |
| 50.00 | 111.1 | 85.30 | 53.61 | 0.70 | $30.00^{\text {a }}$ | 27.87 | 119.97 | 8.041 | 1.0 |
| $35.00^{\text {a }}$ | 115.7 | 109.97 | 35.31 | 2.0 | $27.50{ }^{\text {a }}$ | 32.59 | 124.97 | 9.181 | 1.1 |
| $32.50{ }^{\text {a }}$ | 87.84 | 114.97 | 26.03 | 0.9 | $25.00^{\text {a }}$ | 61.51 | 129.97 | 16.96 | 1.5 |
| $30.00^{\text {a }}$ | 68.98 | 119.97 | 19.90 | 0.9 | $20.00^{\text {a }}$ | 219.6 | 139.98 | 58.37 | 0.70 |
| $27.50{ }^{\text {a }}$ | 71.68 | 124.97 | 20.19 | 1.0 | $15.00^{\text {a }}$ | 504.1 | 149.97 | 130.3 | 0.60 |
| $25.00^{\text {a }}$ | 106.1 | 129.98 | 29.26 | 1.1 | $12.50{ }^{\text {a }}$ | 670.7 | 155.01 | 171.6 | 0.60 |
| $22.50{ }^{\text {a }}$ | 180.5 | 134.98 | 48.81 | 0.90 |  |  |  |  |  |
| $20.00{ }^{\text {a }}$ | 301.3 | 139.98 | 80.09 | 0.80 | Alpha particle detected. |  |  |  |  |
| $15.00^{\text {a }}$ | 653.7 | 149.98 | 169.0 | 0.60 |  |  |  |  |  |
| $12.50{ }^{\text {a }}$ | 871.7 | 154.99 | 223.0 | 0.60 |  |  |  |  |  |

[^3]${ }^{4} \mathrm{He}(t, t){ }^{4} \mathrm{He} \quad 8.790 \mathrm{MeV}$
Scale Error $-0.40 \%$
${ }^{4} \mathrm{He}(\mathrm{t}, \mathrm{t})^{4} \mathrm{He} \quad 3.980 \mathrm{MeV}$
Scele Error $=0.40 \%$

| $\begin{aligned} & \theta_{1 a b} \\ & \text { (deg) } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \sigma(\theta) 1 \mathrm{ab} \\ & (\mathrm{mb} / \mathrm{sr}) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \theta_{\text {c.an. }} \\ & \text { (deg) } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \sigma(\theta)_{c . m} \\ & (\mathrm{mb} / \mathrm{sr}) \end{aligned}$ | Rel. Error (\%) | $\begin{aligned} & \theta_{1 a b} \\ & (\mathrm{deg}) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \sigma(\theta) 1 a b \\ & (\mathrm{mb} / \mathrm{gr}) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \theta_{\text {c.m. }} \\ & \text { (deg) } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \sigma(\theta)_{c . n .} \\ & (\mathrm{mb} / \mathrm{sr}) \end{aligned}$ | Rel. Error (\%) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 12.50 | 2549.0 | 21.90 | 846.5 | 0.46 | 12.50 | 2636.0 | 21.40 | 875.4 | 0.40 |
| 25.00 | 539.1 | 43.59 | 191.9 | 0.40 | 15.00 | 1932.0 | 26.27 | 648.1 | 0.40 |
| 30.00 | 240.8 | 52.17 | 89.37 | 0.40 | 20.00 | 1062.0 | 34.96 | 365.7 | 0.40 |
| 37.57 | 72.25 | 64.97 | 29.06 | 0.60 | 22.50 | 760.5 | 39.29 | 265.9 | 0.40 |
| 40.00 | 62.28 | 69.00 | 25.83 | 0.60 | 25.00 | 534.7 | 43.60 | 190.3 | 0.40 |
| 45.00 | 66.26 | 77.25 | 29.47 | 0.56 | 27.50 | 364.9 | 47.88 | 132.5 | 0.40 |
| 50.00 | 76.43 | 85.29 | 36.86 | 0.70 | 30.00 | 243.4 | 52.16 | 90.34 | 0.40 |
| 55.00 | 74.50 | 93.17 | 39.40 | 0.90 | 32.50 | 165.9 | 56.43 | 63.09 | 0.40 |
| $37.50^{\text {a }}$ | 88.85 | 104.96 | 27.99 | 1.0 | 35.00 | 113.5 | 60.64 | 44.33 | 0.50 |
| $35.00^{\text {a }}$ | 70.10 | 109.97 | 21.38 | 1.0 | 37.50 | 89.14 | 64.86 | 35.83 | 0.58 |
| $32.50{ }^{8}$ | 51.82 | 114.97 | 15.36 | 1.1 | 40.00 | 79.91 | 69.01 | 33.13 | 0.62 |
| $30.00^{\text {a }}$ | 41.10 | 119.97 | 11.85 | 1.3 | 45.00 | 83.64 | 77.24 | 37.20 | 0.51 |
| $25.00^{\text {a }}$ | 76.25 | 129.97 | 21.02 | 1.3 | 50.00 | 88.09 | 85.30 | 42.50 | 0.51 |
| $20.00^{\text {a }}$ | 225.5 | 139.99 | 59.94 | 1.0 | 55.00 | 79.96 | 93.16 | 42.34 | 0.70 |
| $16.76{ }^{\text {a }}$ | 388.0 | 146. 50 | 101.2 | 0.90 | $37.50{ }^{\text {a }}$ | 89.40 | 104.96 | 28.16 | 1.1 |
| $15.00^{\text {a }}$ | 485.0 | 149.99 | 125.4 | 0.80 | $35.00^{\text {a }}$ | 67.68 | 109.98 | 20.64 | 0.9 |
| $12.50{ }^{\text {a }}$ | 638.1 | 154.99 | 163.2 | 0.70 | $32.50{ }^{\text {a }}$ | 46.06 | 114.96 | 13.65. | 1.0 |
|  |  |  |  |  | $30.00^{\text {a }}$ | 33.96 | 119.98 | 9.796 | 1.0 |
| Alphe particle detected. |  |  |  |  | $27.50{ }^{\text {a }}$ | 39.36 | 124.97 | 11.09 | 1.6 |
|  |  |  |  |  | $25.00^{\text {a }}$ | 71.44 | 129.97 | 19.69 | 1.2 |
|  |  |  |  |  | $22.50{ }^{\text {a }}$ | 138.4 | 134.98 | 37.41 | 1.0 |
|  |  |  |  |  | $20.00{ }^{\text {a }}$ | 240.6 | 139.98 | 63.93 | 0.80 |
|  |  |  |  |  | $15.00^{\text {a }}$ | 534.0 | 149.98 | 138.1 | 0.70 |
|  |  |  |  |  | $12.50^{\text {a }}$ | 711.9 | 154.99 | 182.1 | 0.60 |

[^4]${ }^{4} \mathrm{He}(t, t){ }^{4} \mathrm{He} \quad 9.880 \mathrm{MeV}$
Scale Error $=0.40 \%$

| $\begin{aligned} & \theta_{1 \mathrm{ab}} \\ & (\mathrm{deg}) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \sigma(\theta) 1 \mathrm{ab} \\ & (\mathrm{mb} / \mathrm{sr}) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & { }^{\theta_{c . m}} \\ & \text { (deg): } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \sigma(\theta) c_{c, m .} \\ & (\mathrm{mb} / \mathrm{gr}) \end{aligned}$ | Rel. Error ( Z ) | $\begin{aligned} & { }^{\boldsymbol{l}_{1 \mathrm{ab}}} \\ & (\mathrm{deg}) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \sigma(\theta)_{1 a b} \\ & (\mathrm{mb} / \mathrm{sr}) \end{aligned}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 12.50 | 2023.0 | 21.91 | 671.6 | 0.40 | 12.50 | 1187.0 |
| 15.00 | 1494.0 | 26.27 | 501.2 | 0.42 | 15.00 | 988.6 |
| 20.00 | 856.2 | 34.96 | 294.7 | 0.40 | 20.00 | 685.7 |
| 25.00 | 476.00 | 43.60 | 169.4 | 0.40 | 25.00 | 432.7 |
| 30.00 | 255.6 | 52.17 | 94.88 | 0.40 | 30.00 | 236.7 |
| 30.00 | 257.1 | 52.17 | 95.44 | 0.40 | 35.00 | 117.7 |
| 32.50 | 190.3 | 56.41 | 72.38 | 0.40 | 40.00 | 71.78 |
| 35.00 | 146.6 | 60.65 | 57.23 | 0.42 | 45.00 | 67.50 |
| 36.63 | 128.4 | 63.39 | 51.08 | 0.37 | 50.00 | 71.61 |
| 37.50 | 120.2 | 64.83 | 48.29 | 0.47 | $45.00^{\text {a }}$ | 98.84 |
| 40.00 | 104.8 | 69.02 | 43.45 | 0.52 | $40.00^{\text {a }}$ | 78.79 |
| 42.50 | 94.59 | 73.14 | 40.56 | 0.45 | $35.00^{\text {a }}$ | 34.95 |
| 45.00 | 88.30 | 77.25 | 39.20 | 0.40 | $30.00^{\text {a }}$ | 15.92 |
| 47.50 | 81.80 | 81.30 | 37.83 | 0.51 | $25.00^{8}$ | 71.04 |
| 50.00 | 76.32 | 85.29 | 36.70 | 0.45 | $20.00^{8}$ | 195.6 |
| 52.50 | 68.59 | 89.27 | 34.61 | 0.51 | $15.00^{8}$ | 339.2 |
| 53.85 | 63.62 | 91.38 | 32.94 | 0.90 | $12.50{ }^{\text {a }}$ | 403.8 |
| 55.00 | 58.36 | 93.17 | 30.90 | 2.00 | ${ }^{\mathbf{a}}$ Alpha particle detected. |  |
| 57.50 | 48.82 | 97.00 | 27.21 | 0.80 |  |  |
| $40.00^{\text {a }}$ | 73.11 | 99.96 | 23.85 | 0.61 |  |  |
| $35.05^{\text {a }}$ | 33.88 | 109.89 | 10.335 | 0.84 |  |  |
| $30.00^{\text {a }}$ | 9.924 | 119.97 | 2.863 | 1.2 |  |  |
| $25.00{ }^{\text {a }}$ | 50.96 | 129.99 | 14.05 | 0.80 |  |  |
| $20.00^{\text {a }}$ | 188.0 | 139.98 | 49.96 | 0.80 |  |  |
| $15.00^{\text {a }}$ | 398.1 | 150.00 | 102.9 | 0.49 |  |  |
| $15.00^{\text {a }}$ | 398.3 | 150.00 | 103.0 | 0.50 |  |  |
| $12.50{ }^{\text {a }}$ | 507.5 | 154.98 | 129.8 | 0.90 |  |  |

[^5]${ }^{4} \mathrm{He}(\mathrm{t}, \mathrm{t})^{4} \mathrm{He}\left(15.00^{\circ} \mathrm{lab}\right)$ Tritons Detected Scale Brror $=0.40 \%$

| $\begin{gathered} { }^{E_{t}} \\ (\mathrm{MeV}) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \sigma(\theta) 1 \mathrm{ab} \\ & (\mathrm{mb} / \mathrm{ar}) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \theta_{c, m} \\ & \text { (deg) } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \sigma(\theta) \mathrm{c} \cdot \mathrm{~m} . \\ & (\mathrm{mb} / \mathrm{sr}) \end{aligned}$ | Rel. error (\%) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 7.600 | 2728.0 | 26.26 | 915.3 | 0.40 |
| 7.800 | 2657.0 | 26.25 | 891.4 | 0.40 |
| 8.000 | 2529.0 | 26.26 | 848.4 | 0.40 |
| 8.200 | 2387.0 | 26.27 | 801.0 | 0.40 |
| 8.300 | 2311.0 | 26.27 | 775.5 | 0.40 |
| 8.391 | 2240.0 | 26.27 | 751.3 | 0.40 |
| 8.500 | 2136.0 | 26.26 | 716.7 | 0.40 |
| 8.580 | 2031.0 | 26.26 | 681.4 | 0.40 |
| 8.650 | 1888.0 | 26.27 | 633.3 | 0.40 |
| 8.700 | 1745.0 | 2E. 27 | 585.6 | 0.40 |
| 8.750 | 1720.0 | 26.27 | 576.9 | 0.40 |
| 8.800 | 1881.0 | 26.27 | 630.9 | 0.40 |
| 8.850 | 1971.0 | 26.27 | 661.2 | 0.40 |
| 8.900 | 1979.0 | 26.27 | 663.9 | 0.40 |
| 9.000 | 1924.0 | 26.27 | 645.5 | 0.40 |
| 9.100 | 1859.0 | 26.27 | 623.4 | 0.40 |
| 9.200 | 1809.0 | 26.27 | 606.9 | 0.40 |
| 9.400 | 1704.0 | 26.28 | 571.7 | 0.40 |
| 9.600 | 1608.0 | 26.28 | 539.2 | 0.40 |
| 9.844 | i517.0 | 26.28 | 508.9 | 0.40 |
| 10.000 | 1446.0 | 26.27 | 484.8 | 0.40 |

${ }^{4} \mathrm{He}(\mathrm{t}, \mathrm{t}){ }^{4} \mathrm{He}$ (28.50* 1ab) Tritons Detected: Excitation Function Scale Error $=0.40 \%$

| $\begin{gathered} \mathrm{E}_{\mathrm{t}} \\ (\mathrm{MeV}) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \sigma(\theta)_{1 a b} \\ & (\mathrm{mb} / \mathrm{sr}) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} \theta_{\text {c.m. }} \\ (\mathrm{deg}) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \sigma(\theta) c \cdot a . \\ & (m b / a r) \end{aligned}$ | Rel. error (Z) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 7.600 | 354.3 | 49.58 | 129.8 | 0.40 |
| 7.800 | 336.6 | 49.58 | 123.3 | 0.40 |
| 8.000 | 332.7 | 49.59 | 121.9 | 0.40 |
| 8.200 | 334.2 | 49.59 | 122.4 | 0.40 |
| 8.300 | 336.8 | 49.59 | 123.4 | 0.40 |
| 8.350 | 337.4 | 49.59 | 123.6 | 0.40 |
| 8.391 | 338.4 | 49.58 | 123.9 | 0.40 |
| 8.500 | 334.9 | 49.60 | 122.6 | 0.40 |
| 8.600 | 339.0 | 49.60 | 124.2 | 0.40 |
| 8.650 | 340.6 | 49.60 | 124.8 | 0.40 |
| 8.700 | 342.0 | 49.61 | 125.3 | 0.40 |
| 8.750 | 328.4 | 49.60 | 120.3 | 0.40 |
| 8.800 | 313.8 | 49.61 | 114.9 | 0.40 |
| 8.850 | 307.7 | 49.61 | 112.7 | 0.40 |
| 8.900 | 309.9 | 49.61 | 113.5 | 0.40 |
| 9.000 | 311.9 | 49.62 | 114.2 | 0.40 |
| 9.100 | 312.7 | 49.61 | 114.5 | 0.40 |
| 9.200 | 312.9 | 49.61 | 114.6 | 0.40 |
| 9.400 | 313.7 | 49.60 | 114.9 | 0.40 |
| 9.600 | 312.5 | 49.60 | 114.4 | 0.40 |
| 9.800 | 309.9 | 49.60 | 113.5 | 0.40 |
| 10.000 | 306.1 | 49.60 | 112.1 | 0.40 |


| $\begin{aligned} &{ }^{4} \mathrm{He}(t, t)^{4} \mathrm{He}\left(40.00^{\bullet} 1 \mathrm{sb}\right) \text { Tritons Detected } \\ & \text { Scale Exror }=0.40 \mathrm{Z} \end{aligned}$ |  |  |  |  |  | ${ }^{6} \mathrm{He}(t, t){ }^{4} \mathrm{He}\left(28.50^{\circ}\right.$ Lab) Alphas Detected Scale Error - 0.40Z |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\begin{gathered} \mathbf{E}_{\mathbf{t}} \\ (\mathrm{MeV}) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \sigma(\theta) 1 \mathrm{ab} \\ & (\mathrm{mb} / \mathrm{ar}) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & { }^{\boldsymbol{o}_{\mathrm{c}, \mathrm{n}}} \\ & \text { (deg) } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \sigma(\theta) c, m . \\ & (\mathrm{mb} / \mathrm{mr}) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { Rel. } \\ \text { Error } \\ (X) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \mathbf{E}_{t} \\ (\mathrm{HeV}) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \sigma(\theta)_{1 \mathrm{ab}} \\ & (\mathrm{mb} / \mathrm{Br}) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & { }^{\theta_{\text {c.m. }}} \\ & (\mathrm{deg}) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \sigma(\theta)_{c . m .} \\ & (m b / \mathrm{Br}) \end{aligned}$ |  |
| 7.600 | 26.92 | 69.00 | 11.16 | 0.90 | 7.600 | 174.2 | 123.01 | 49.52 | 3.0 |
| 7.800 | 37.09 | 69.01 | 15.38 | 0.90 | 7.800 | 133.0 | 123.01 | 37.80 | 3.0 |
| 8.000 | 53.86 | 69.01 | 22.34 | 0.80 | 8.000 | 98.65 | 122.99 | 28.04 | 3.0 |
| 8.200 | 69.97 | 69.00 | 29.01 | 0.75 | 8.200 | 70.97 | 122.99 | 20.18 | 3.0 |
| 8.300 | 77.95 | 69.01 | 32.32 | 0.75 | 8.300 | 59.76 | 122.99 | 16.98 | 3.0 |
| 8.391 | 82.71 | 69.01 | 34.29 | 0.75 | 8.350 | 54.65 | 122.99 | 15.54 | 3.0 |
| 8.500 | 90.92 | 69.01 | 37.70 | 0.70 | 8.391 | 49.85 | 123.0 | 14.17 | 3.0 |
| 8.580 | 99.61 | 69.0 .1 | 41.31 | 0.70 | 8.500 | 38.05 | 122.97 | 10.82 | 3.0 |
| 8.650 | 105.9 | 69.02 | 43.93 | 0.70 | 8.600 | 25.31 | 122.97 | 7.194 | 3.0 |
| 8. 700 | 103.2 | 69.0i | 42.78 | 0.70 | 8.650 | 18.33 | 122.97 | 5.211 | 3.0 |
| 8.750 | 76.02 | 69.01 | 31.52 | 0.75 | 8. 700 | 15.10 | 122.97 | 4.292 | 3.0 |
| 8.800 | 61.39 | 69.0 .1 | 25.46 | 0.75 | 8.750 | 29.62 | 122.98 | 8.422 | 3.0 |
| 8.850 | 66.97 | 69.01 | 27.77 | 0.70 | 8.800 | 42.56 | 122.97 | 12.10 | 3.0 |
| 8.900 | 71.44 | 69.01 | 29.62 | 0.70 | 8.850 | 42.39 | 122.97 | 12.05 | 4.0 |
| 9.000 | 81.24 | 69.02 | 33.68 | 0.65 | 8.900 | 39.05 | 122.97 | 11.10 | 4.0 |
| 9.100 | 87.82 | 69.02 | 36.42 | 0.65 | 9.000 | 31.63 | 122.96 | 8.994 | 4.0 |
| 9.200 | 91.55 | 69.02 | 37.95 | 0.65 | 9.100 | 27.17 | 122.97 | 7.724 | 4.0 |
| 9.400 | 98.13 | 69.02 | 40.69 | 0.65 | 9. 200 | 23.74 | 122.97 | 6.748 | 5.0 |
| 9.600 | 102.0 | 69.02 | 42.28 | 0.60 | 9.400 | 19.20 | 122.98 | 5.460 | 5.0 |
| 9.800 | 103.0 | 69.02 | 42.70 | 0.60 | 9.600 | 16.16 | 122.98 | 4.592 | 6.0 |
| 10.000 | 105.6 | 69.02 | 43.76 | 0.60 | 9.800 | 13.89 | 122.98 | 3.947 | 6.0 |
|  |  |  |  |  | 10.000 | 12.76 | 122.99 | 3.628 | 6.0 |

${ }^{4} \mathrm{He}(t, t){ }^{4} \mathrm{He}\left(15.00^{\circ} 1 \mathrm{ab}\right)$ Alphas Detected Scale Error - 0.40\%

| $\begin{gathered} \mathrm{E}_{\mathrm{t}} \\ (\mathrm{MeV}) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \sigma(\theta)_{1 \mathrm{ab}} \\ & (\mathrm{mb} / \mathrm{ar}) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \theta_{\mathrm{c}, \mathrm{~m}} \\ & \text { (deg) } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{array}{r} \sigma(\theta) c \cdot m . \\ (\mathrm{mb} / \mathrm{gr}) \end{array}$ | Rel. error (\%) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 7.600 | 889.9 | 149.99 | 230.1 | 0.53 |
| 7.800 | 829.0 | 150.00 | 214.4 | 0.54 |
| 8.000 | 754.7 | 149.93 | 195.1 | 0.57 |
| 8.200 | 677.0 | 149.97 | 175.1 | 0.59 |
| 8.300 | 640.8 | 149.98 | 165.7 | 0.60 |
| 8.391 | 597.2 | 149.98 | 154.4 | 0.62 |
| 8.500 | 556.9 | 149.99 | 144.0 | 0.65 |
| 8.580 | 504.2 | 149.98 | 130.4 | 0.58 |
| 8.650 | 434.7 | 149.97 | 112.4 | 0.62 |
| 8.700 | 362.2 | 149.97 | 93.64 | 0.67 |
| 8.750 | 373.2 | 149.98 | 96.48 | 0.77 |
| 8.800 | 494.0 | 149.97 | 127.7 | 0.67 |
| 8.850 | 540.7 | 149.97 | 139.8 | 0.64 |
| 8.900 | 546.7 | 149.97 | 141.3 | 0.64 |
| 9.000 | 528.6 | 149.97 | 136.7 | 0.65 |
| 9.100 | 509.2 | 149.97 | 131.7 | 0.66 |
| 9.200 | 488.9 | 149.98 | 126.4 | 0.67 |
| 9.400 | 459.0 | 149.97 | 118.7 | 0.69 |
| 9.600 | 437.3 | 149.97 | 113.1 | 0.70 |
| 9.844 | 409.2 | 149.97 | 105.8 | 0.72 |
| 10.000 | 393.9 | 149.98 | 101 : 8 | 0.74 |


[^0]:    *Ortec Inc., Oak Ridge. TN. 37830.

[^1]:    a alpha particle detected.

[^2]:    a alpha particle detected.

[^3]:    ${ }^{8}$ Alphe particle detected.

[^4]:    ${ }^{\mathbf{a}}$ Alpha particle detected.

[^5]:    ${ }^{\mathbf{a}}$ Alpha particle detected.

