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## ABSTRACT

This report presents progress in provision of nuclear data for nuclear design applications. The work described here is carried out through the LASL Applied Nuclear Data Group and covers the period July 1 through September 30, 1974. The topical content of this report is summarized in the Contents.
I. NUCLEAR CROSS-SECTION PROCESSING (R. E. MacFarlane, R. B. Kidman, D. G. Foster, Jr., J. H. Hancock, D. R. Harris, R. J. LaBauve, D. W. Muir, W. B. Wilson, and P. D. Soran [T-1])

Group T-2 is supporting and developing a variety of computer codes for processing evaluated nuclear data into forms that can be used for design purposes. The group's capability includes multigroup neutron, gamma production, and gama interaction cross sections; pointwise neutron and photon cross sections for continuous energy Monte Carlo codes; and a variety of data management, plotting, and format conversion functions. The following subsections sumarize recent progress.
A. Cross-Section Production (R. B. Kidman, W. B. Wilson, and D. W. Muir
During this quarter, multigroup and pointwise cross sections were generated for the four tungsten isotopes ${ }^{182} \mathrm{~W},{ }^{183} \mathrm{~W},{ }^{184} \mathrm{~W}$, and ${ }^{186} \mathrm{~W}$ from ENDF/B-IV (MATS 1128, 1129, 1130, and 1131) for the Los Alamos Scientific Laboratory (LASL) Theoretical Design (TD) Division. Multigroup cross sections in the 239 group structure ${ }^{1}$ and CCCC-II format ${ }^{2}$ were produced for ENDF/B-III ${ }^{238} \mathrm{U}$ and ${ }^{239} \mathrm{Pu}$ (MATS 1158 and 1159). These two isotopes and ${ }^{16} 0,{ }^{23} \mathrm{Na}$, and Fe (processed last quarter) were merged into a CCCC-II library using the MINX auxiliary code LINX. This library has been sent to Westinghouse Advanced Reactor Division (WARD) to test the MINX/SPHINX interface. In order to test the
interface between MINX and other codes in the CCCCIII format, ${ }^{3}$ a two-nuclide test library was generated and sent to LASL group T-1. Some problems were found in the free format $B C D$ input and in the handling of the higher order elastic scattering matrices. The appropriate corrections have been made and a new 11brary has been generated. The computer codes ETOX ${ }^{4}$ and $1 D X^{5}$ were used to generate preliminary resonance self-shielded cross sections to be used in neutronics and safety calculations for a fission driven laser being studied by LASL group P-5. As the project progresses, more refined cross sections and computations will be provided. Multigroup elastic cross sections and transfer matrices for iron between 20 and 60 MeV were produced using MINX and a special data file formed with elastic scattering cross sections determined from the difference between existing total and nonelastic cross-section data. Angular distributions for elastic scattering were determined by optical model calculations with ABACUS- $\mathrm{II}^{6}$ for which potential parameters were determined from interpolation and extrapolation from parameters of earlier optical model fits to measured angular distributions. ${ }^{7}$ These cross sections will be used in the design of a neutron radiotherapy shield. ${ }^{8}$
B. MINX Code Development (R. E. MacFarlane, R. B. Kidman, and J. H. Hancock)

A number of corrections and additions to the MINX code were made during this quarter. ENDF/B-IV includes a description of the sequential ( $n, 2 n$ ) reaction in ${ }^{9} \mathrm{Be}$. The necessary coding was added to treat the first neutron as discrete inelastic scattering (MT 6, $7,8,9$ ), the second neutron as continuum inelastic scattering (MT 46, 47, 48, 49), and to collect the results into a total ( $n, 2 n$ ) scattering matrix. For some shielding problems it is important to have a thermal group. One of the weighting functions in MINX has been modified to include a thermal Maxwellian weight below a breakpoint, a $1 / E$ weight from this point to a second breakpoint, and a fission spectrum above the second breakpoint. The two breakpoints and temperatures are specified by the user. The third significant modification this quarter was to convert the output of MINX to the CCCC version III interface format. ${ }^{3}$ During this conversion several ambiguities and shortcomings of the CCCC interface were encountered. Our recommendations will be communicated to the Code Working Group of the $U$.
S. Atomic Energy Commission Division of Reactor Research and Development (DRRD). Finally, several small additions and corrections were made including additional comments, reduction in printed output, repair of an error in the storage of Legendre coefficients, and correction of the fission chi vector calculation.
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The CCCC auxillary codes for MINX have been extensively rewritten and converted to CCCC-III format. Also, a new code has been added to provide a temporary link to the $1 D X$ code. ${ }^{9}$ The current auxiliary codes are: BINX, convert CCCC ISOTXS and BRKOXS files from binary to $B C D$ and back and list files if desired; LINX, merge two binary ISOTXS or BRKOXS libraries into a single new binary library; CINX, collapse ISOTXS and BRKOXS libraries to a coarser group structure (not operational); and FCFTR, combine ISOTXS and BRKOXS Libraries to obtain a new library in the FTRSET- 300 format ${ }^{10}$ for use by 1DX.

MINX is also capable of producing output directly in DTF format using an output module called DTFLIB. This path is used to supply data to the LASL TD Division and the LASL controlled thermonuclear reactor
(CTR) program. It also has been used for such internal programs as the Texas $A \& M$ radiotherapy shield project. ${ }^{8}$ In the DTF mode, MINX uses an auxillary code MINXPLOT to produce graphs of groupwise cross sections overlayed on the pointwise cross sections for each reaction. This code has been modified this quarter to improve its ease of operation. Also a thinning routine has been added to the section which plots pointwise data in order to reduce the detail plotted in resonance regions.
D. Processing Code Validation and Comparison (R. B. Kidman and R. J. LaBauve)

A multiauthored paper entitled "Fast Reactor Cross-Section Processing Codes -- Is There a Dollars Worth of Difference Between Them?" was presented at the September Atlanta meeting of the American Nuclear Soclety, (ANS) Advanced Reactors: Physics, Design and Economics. ${ }^{11}$ Its purpose was to complete a first pass at discovering academic and practical differences among various cross-section processing codes. LASL's contribution to the paper included discussions and ZPR-6-7 benchmark results for both ETOX and MINX codes. In general, differences were found but their practical consequences were not established. In order to do that plus eliminate coding errors, a much more detailed and in-depth study would be required. A program to perform these studies will be discussed at the "Physics Codes Evaluation Meeting" of the Atomic Energy Commission in Washington D. C. on November 1, 1974.
E. MINX-II Development (R. E. MacFarlane, D. G. Foster, Jr., and J. H. Hancock)
MINX-II is a highly modular code designed to perform the functions of MINX (multigroup neutron interaction cross sections and $n \rightarrow n^{\prime}$ transfer matrices), LAPHAN ${ }^{12}$ (multigroup photon production cross sections and $n \rightarrow Y$ transfer matrices, GAMLEG ${ }^{13}$ (photon interaction cross sections), ETOPL ${ }^{14}$ (preparation of point libraries for continuous energy Monte Carlo codes), and FLANGE ${ }^{15}$ (multigroup thermal neutron scattering cross sections and transfer matrices). In order to communicate the results to a wide variety of users, the processing modules of MINX-II generate an extreme1y general intermediate library. This library can then be collapsed and converted to a wide variety of output formats using simple postprocessor modules. In addition, service modules can be called upon to perform editing, listing, and plotting functions. The modules now under development are described below.

RECONR reconstructs pointwise cross sections on a unionized grid such that all the reaction cross sections can be represented by linear-linear interpolation within a specified accuracy. This form allows for efficient retrieval by Monte Carlo codes and is especially suitable for Doppler broadening and group averaging. It also makes it possible to assure that "redundant" reactions (e.g., total, total inelastic, total fission) are equal to the sum of their parts. This module reads from an ENDF/B file and writes its results onto a PENDF (Pointwise ENDF) file.

UNRESR computes self-shielded temperature-dependent pointwise cross sections in the unresolved resonance region. The method used is that of ETOX ${ }^{16}$ modified for the MINX-II environment. The input file is ENDF/B and the output is written on a special interface file UNRXS for use by other modules.

GROUPR computes groupwise self-shielded temper-ature-dependent neutron interaction and gamma production cross sections, $n \rightarrow n^{\prime}$ and $n \rightarrow \gamma$ transfer matrices, average number of fission neutrons $(\bar{v})$ for prompt and delayed neutrons by time group, and fission spectrum ( $X$ ) vectors for prompt and delayed neutrons by time group. The module reads from ENDF/B, PENDF, and UNRXS files and writes its results onto a special intermediate library called GENDF (Groupwise ENDF).

BROADR Doppler broadens and/or thins pointwise cross sections from a PENDF file. The broadening algorithm works for very high temperatures, and the thinning preserves the unionization of the grid without removing important features such as resonances. The result is also in PENDF format.

When these four modules are completed, MINX-II will be able to process all reactions with neutrons in and neutrons or photons out including delayed neutrons. At the current time BROADR is not operational and the transfer matrices in GROUPR are not implemented. Self-shielded gamma production cross sections can be generated successfully (including correct treatment of the resolved and unresolved energy ranges), and the delayed neutron files for ENDF/B-IV can be processed.

The UNRESR module was completed this quarter. It is very similar to the unresolved calculation from MINX. The self-shielded cross section calculation was also implemented. An attempt was made to improve the efficiency of this part of the code. In a runoff
using the same PENDF tape, MINX took 37.0 s to compute the elastic cross sections at $300^{\circ} \mathrm{K}$ for five dilutions. MNNX-II took 6.7 s for the same problem. The coding for processing secondary energy distributions (ENDF/B files 5 and 15) was completed this quarter. This allows the calculation of delayed neutron $\bar{v}$ and spectra by time group. MINX-II is the first code capable of processing the new delayed neutron sections in ENDF/B-IV.

Work was substantially completed this quarter on a subset of the routines for generating "feed functions" (i.e, the total scattering into sink group $g^{\prime}$ from source energy E) for group-to-group transfer cross sections for elastic and discrete inelastic scattering. The critical points for the feed function to a given sink group are the four discontinuities in slope of the feed function, corresponding to $\mu= \pm 1$ for scattering to the upper and lower boundaries of the sink group. These are calculated in a subroutine which always produces non-negative solutions and correctly deals with various exceptional cases. The feed function for angular distributions given as Legendre coefficients in the laboratory system is calculated analytically using the same algorithm as MINX. If the source group includes the critical energy (at which the secondary energy becomes double valued) it is truncated at $E_{c}$ but begins with the correct limiting value in all flux orders. For Legendre coefficients given in the center-of-mass system a numerical integration is required, but the results are valid for any energy above threshold. For this integration an adaptive Simpson's-rule subroutine was written using a dynamic cosine grid to minimize storage requirements. The initial grid is estimated from the maximum order of Legendre coefficient in the data. Points are added as necessary to achieve convergence on the lowest interval, and then dropped when they have been used. No value of the integrand needs to be computed more than once, and 30 points are sufficient for the worst angular distribution tested. The feed function itself is generated on a dynamic grid in a similar fashion. The grid manager is primed with the critical points and run to convergence on the lowest interval in the feed function. Thereafter the manager supplies values of the feed function interpolated to the required precision, dropping intervals and adding new ones (again refined until convergence) as required. With minor
modifications the routines in this subset can be used directly to convert Legendre coefficients from center-of-mass to laboratory coordinates and vice versa.
F. Processing Code Theory (R. E. MacFarlane, D. R. Harris, M. Becker [Rensselaer Polytechnic Institutel)
For use in practical design problems, the MINX and SPHINX ${ }^{17}$ codes will combine to form self-shielded geometry-dependent macroscopic cross sections. This procedure is usually described as the Bondarenko method. ${ }^{18}$ The approximations implicit in this method have been examined with respect to the advanced capabilities of MINX and SPHINX (e.g., elastic transfer matrices, supergroup structures, anisotropic transport), and several possible trouble areas have been identified for further study. These include elastic transfer matrix self-shielding, collapse theory, transport approximations, and weighting theory. The problems with weighting theory arise for anisotropic scattering, broad resonances, and smalldilution strong-structure situations. Theoretical studies and numerical tests are underway.
II. NUCLEAR DATA PROCESSING FOR HTGR SAFETY RESEARCH (M. G. Stamatelatos and R. J. LaBauve The multigroup cross sections for high temperature gas-cooled reactor (HTGR) neutronic calculations are generated from basic data with the use of a number of available computer programs. For this purpose a number of computer codes were made operational at LASL -- MC ${ }^{2}$ (Ref. 19), FLANGE, ${ }^{15}$ GLEN, ${ }^{20}$ JMBLFAT, ${ }^{21}$ TOR, ${ }^{22}$ HEXSCAT, ${ }^{23}$ and GASKET. ${ }^{24}$ The broad-group energy structure and the nuclides used are given in Tables $I$ and II. The various paths of data flow for broad-group cross-section generation are shown in Fig. 1.

At present, the $M C^{2}$ code is used to generate the cross sections for all absorber nuclides and for the above-thermal cross sections of the graphite moderator. Future plans call for the use of MINX-SPHINX codes when the latter becomes operational at LASL. The use of $\mathrm{MC}^{2}$ is affected by computer storage limitations when considering the energy range of interest ( 10 MeV to 0.0005 eV ). Thus, cross sections for the above-thermal ( 10 MeV to 0.414 eV ) and the thermal ( 2.38 eV to 0.0005 eV ) neutron energy ranges are generated in two separate but overlapping passes. Only the "all fine" option is used in MC ${ }^{2}$ with uni-

TABLE I
broad-Group energy structure $\mathrm{E}_{\text {max }}=10.00 \mathrm{MeV}$

| Group No. | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Lower Energy } \\ & \text { (Nominal) (eV) } \end{aligned}$ | Lower Energy <br> (Actual) (eV) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | $1.83 \times 10^{5}$ | $1.8316 \times 10^{5}$ |
| 2 | $9.61 \times 10^{2}$ | $9.6112 \times 10^{2}$ |
| 3 | $1.76 \times 10^{1}$ | $1.7603 \times 10^{1}$ |
| 4 | 3.93 | 3.9279 |
| 5 | 2.38 | 2. 3800 |
| 6 | $4.14 \times 10^{-1}$ | $4.1358 \times 10^{-1}$ |
| 7 | $1.00 \times 10^{-1}$ | $1.0457 \times 10^{-1}$ |
| 8 | $4.00 \times 10^{-2}$ | $3.8469 \times 10^{-2}$ |
| 9 | $5.00 \times 10^{-4}$ | $5.4873 \times 10^{-4}$ |

TABLE II
HTGR NUCLIDES FOR WHICH CROSS SECTIONS WERE GENERATED

| Nuclide | ENDF/B Version 3 MAT No. | Temperature $\left({ }^{\circ} \mathrm{K}\right)$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Th232 | 1117 | 296.00 |
| U234 | 1043 | 296.00 |
| U235 | 1157 | 296.00 |
| U238 | 1158 | 296.00 |
| C12 | 1165 | 296.00 |
| 016 | 1134 | 296.00 |
| S1 | 1151 | 296.00 |
| U236 | 1163 | 296.00 |
| Th232 | 1117 | 500.00 |
| U235 | 1157 | 500.00 |
| B10 | 1155 | 296.00 |
| Th232 | 1117 | 800.00 |
| U235 | 1157 | 800.00 |
| Th232 | 1117 | 1200.00 |
| U235 | 1157 | 1200.00 |

form fine-group spacings of 0.25 in lethargy for the above-thermal problems and 0.125 for the thermal problem.

For the above-thermal problem, MC ${ }^{2}$ calculates the neutron flux and uses it as a weighting function for collapsing fine-group cross sections to broadgroup data.


Fig. 1. Data flow for HTGR broad-group crosssection generation.

For the thermal problem, $\mathrm{MC}^{2}$ also calculates a 1/E neutron spectrum which, however, is not the true spectrum in this energy region. Therefore, the broadgroup cross sections obtained by collapsing the finegroup absorber cross sections are incorrect.

GLEN, which calculates a much better neutron spectrum, requires as input fine-group cross sections for absorbers which are provided by $\mathrm{MC}^{2}$. It also requires fine-group elastic ( $M T=2$ ) and inelastic ( $M T$ $=4$ ) cross sections for graphite.

The graphite cross sections have been calculated via several alternate routes for comparison. They can be taken and interpolated from ENDF/B graphite data processed by GASKET and hexscat. This is done by the code FLANGE. Alternately, the coherent elastic scattering can be calculated directly by HEXSCAT and the scattering law can be calculated by two relatively equivalent codes, TOR and GASKET. The former code calculates the Fourier integrals in the scattering law "directly" while the latter uses a "phonon expansion." The spectrum calculated by GLEN is used by MC ${ }^{2}$ for properly collapsing the fine- (68) group cross sections to broad- (9) group cross sections for the absorbers.

Finally JMblfat merges the above-thermal and the thermal broad-group cross sections and ouputs them in DTF format required by the discrete ordinates $S_{n}$ transport code.

Since there are several alternate ways of calculating the graphite thermal cross sections, it is interesting to compare some quantities calculated via the various routes, e.g., the scattering law, the fine-group neutron spectrum, and the broad-group cross sections. Some differences are expected at least due to the slightly different phonon distributions used in TOR and in the GASKET runs which generated the ENDF/B scattering law data.
III. NUCLEAR DATA FOR THE CONTROLLED FUSION PROGRAM (D. W. Muir, D. R. Harris, L. Stewart, and D. M. McClellan)

In this quarter we have produced a library of processed reaction cross sections of use in CTR activation and transmutation studies. Reaction cross sections for 73 nuclides of interest in CTR nuclear design were calculated at Broolhaven National Laboratory (BNL) using the nuclear systematics code THRESH. 25 Pointwise cross sections for ten important threshold reactions were written onto a magnetic tape in ENDF/B format and distributed by BNL. Also distributed was a tape containing similar data for CTR materials already contained in the ENDF/A and ENDF/B-III files. These two tapes of pointwise data were processed by the LASL Nuclear Data Group into the GAM-II, 100-neutron-energy-group structure, using the multigroup processing code ETOG. ${ }^{26}$ The resulting multigroup data (for 687 distinct nuclear reactions) are available on a BCD card-image tape for external distribution. The reactions avallable are listed in Table III along with the half-1ife of the product and the source of the data. Under data sources, the entry "COOK" refers to Ref. 27; "BENZI" to Ref. 28; and "UKAEA" to Ref. 29. In the last column is given a reaction identification number which combines the charge and mass of the target with an index of the reaction type.

Work has begun on a new program to determine in detail the highest priority areas for near-term nuclear data reseach and development for the national CTR program. This assessment will take into account the quality of currently available data, the sensitivity of important blanket parameters to data uncertainty, and the accuracy required in CTR design applications.

An appropriate tool for survey calculations of this type is first-order perturbation theory. In this approximation, the standard deviation $\Delta R$ in a design parameter $R$ can be written as follows: ${ }^{30,31}$

$$
\begin{equation*}
(\Delta R)^{2}=\sum_{i, j} \frac{\partial R}{\partial x_{i}} \frac{\partial R}{\partial x_{j}} \operatorname{Cov}\left(x_{i}, x_{j}\right) \tag{1}
\end{equation*}
$$

The quantities $\frac{\partial R}{\partial X_{1}}$, the so-called "sensitivities," can be constructed from the forward and adjoint fluxes for the particular neutron transport problem of interest. ${ }^{32}$

In Eq. (1) $X_{i}$ is the cross section for a particular nuclear reaction at a particular neutron energy. The covariance matrix $\operatorname{Cov}\left(X_{1}, X_{f}\right)$ is related to the joint probability density $f\left(X_{1}, X_{f}\right)$ for the two cross sections $X_{i}$ and $X_{j}$ as follows:

$$
\begin{align*}
& \operatorname{Cov}\left(x_{i}, x_{j}\right)= \\
& \quad \iint_{-\infty}^{\infty} f\left(x_{i}, x_{j}\right)\left(x_{i}-\hat{x}_{i}\right)\left(x_{j}-\hat{X}_{j}\right) d x_{i} d x_{j} . \tag{2}
\end{align*}
$$

Here, $X_{i}$ is the expectation value of $X_{1}$.
The diagonal terms of the covariance matrix, that is $\operatorname{Cov}\left(X_{i}, X_{i}\right)$, are the usual (uncorrelated) cross-section uncertainties, $\left(\Delta X_{1}\right)^{2}$. Information
of this type has been compiled recently for a wide variety of CTR materials by the CTR Subcommittee of the U. S. Nuclear Data Committee. ${ }^{33}$

Off-diagonal terms of the covariance matrix also can be important in estimating the uncertainty $\Delta R$ in Eq. (1). For example, let $X_{i}$ be the neutron elastic scattering cross section and $X_{j}$ the nonelastic cross section in an energy region where the elastic is obtained by subtraction from the total, $\sigma_{\text {tot }}(E)$. That is,

$$
\begin{equation*}
X_{i}=\sigma_{\text {tot }}(E)-X_{j} \tag{3}
\end{equation*}
$$

Using Eq. (3) to make a change of variables in Eq. (2), we have immediately ( $X_{i}$ and $X_{f}$ anticorrelated)

$$
\operatorname{Cov}\left(X_{1}, X_{j}\right)=-\operatorname{Cov}\left(X_{i}, X_{i}\right)=-\left(\Delta X_{i}\right)^{2}
$$

Important correlations also exist between the cross sections for the same reaction but at different neutron energies. These can be treated in a straightforward fashion through the use of the "range parameter" formalism. ${ }^{30}$

This data uncertainty information also can be used 34,35 to construct alternative data sets which permit direct computations of alternative values for CTR design parameters.

TABLE III
MULTIGROUP REACTION CROSS SECTIONS FOR CTR ACTIVATION/TRANSMUTATION STUDIES

| Reaction | Product <br> Half Life | Data Source | Identi- <br> fication |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\mathrm{H}-1(\mathrm{~N}, \mathrm{G}) \mathrm{H}-2$ | STABLE | ENDF/B-3 | 1012 |
| HE-3 (N,P) H-3 | 12.3 Y | ENDF/8-3 | 2033 |
| $\mathrm{HE}-3(\mathrm{~N}, \mathrm{NP}+\mathrm{D}) \mathrm{H}-2$ | STABLE | ENDF/B-3 | 2035 |
| $\mathrm{HF}-3(\mathrm{~N}, \mathrm{NP}+\mathrm{O}) \mathrm{H}-\mathrm{C}$ | StABLE | UKAEA | 2035 |
| $\mathrm{HE}-4$ ( $\mathrm{N}, \mathrm{P}$ ) $\mathrm{H}=3$ | 12.3 Y | . $00013 \mathrm{ENDF} / \mathrm{R}-3$ | 2043 |
| LI-G( $\mathrm{N}, \mathrm{G}) \mathrm{LI}-7$ | StABLE | ENDF/R-3 | 3062 |
| LI-G(N,P)HE-6 | 802. MS | ENDF/8-3 | 3063 |
| LI-6(N.A) H-3 | 12.3 Y | ENDF/8-3 | 3064 |
| LI-7(N, 2N)LI-6 | 5 TABLE | ENDF/8-3 | 3071 |
| LI-7(N,G)L.I-8 | 850. MS | ENDF/R-3 | 3072 |
| LI-7(N,NP + D ) HE-6 | 802. MS | ENDF/B-3 | 3075 |
| BF-9 (N, 2N) BE-8 | 1. E-16S | ENDF/B-3 | 4091 |
| BE-9 (N,G)RE-10 | $2.7 \mathrm{E}+6 \mathrm{Y}$ | ENDF/B-3 | 4092 |
| BE-9 ( $\mathrm{N}, \mathrm{P}) \mathrm{L} \mathrm{I}-9$ | .18 S | ENDF/B-3 | 4093 |
| BE-9 (N.A)HE-6 | 802. MS | ENDF/B-3 | 4094 |
| BF-9 ( $\mathrm{N}, \mathrm{NP}+\mathrm{D}) \mathrm{LI}-8$ | 850. MS | ENDF/B-3 | 4095 |
| BF-9(N,T)LI-7 | STABI_E | ENDF/B-3 | 4097 |
| B-10(N,P)RE-10 | $2.7 \mathrm{E}+6 \mathrm{Y}$ | UKAEA | 5103 |
| B-10(N.A)LI-7 | STABLE | ENDF/B-3 | 5104 |
| R-10 (N,NP + D) RE-G | STARLE | ENDF/8-3 | 5105 |
| B-10 (N,T)RE-8 | 1. E-lf S | ENDF/8-3 | 5107 |
| B-11(N,G)R-12 | 20.4 MS | ENDF/B-3 | 5112 |


| B-11( $\mathrm{N}, \mathrm{P}$ ) RE-11 | 14. | S | ENDF/B-3 | 5113 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| B-11(N,A)I.I-8 | 850. | MS | ENDF/B-3 | 5114 |
| B-11(N,T)RE-9 | StAbLE |  | ENDF/B-3 | 5117 |
| C-12(N,G)C-13 | StAbLE |  | ENDF/B-3 | 6122 |
| C-12(N,A)RE-9 | STABLE |  | ENDF/B-3 | 6124 |
| $\mathrm{N}-14(\mathrm{~N}, 2 \mathrm{~N}) \mathrm{N}-13$ | 10. | M | ENDF/B-3 | 7141 |
| $\mathrm{N}-14(\mathrm{~N}, \mathrm{G}) \mathrm{N-15}$ | StAbLE |  | ENDF/B-3 | 7142 |
| $\mathrm{N}-14(\mathrm{~N}, \mathrm{P}) \mathrm{C}-14$ | 5730. | $Y$ | ENDF/8-3 | 7143 |
| $\mathrm{N}-14(\mathrm{~N}, \mathrm{~A}) \mathrm{R}-11$ | stable |  | ENDF/B-3 | 7144 |
| $\mathrm{N}-14(\mathrm{~N}, \mathrm{NP}+\mathrm{D}) \mathrm{C}-13$ | Stable |  | ENDF/B-3 | 7145 |
| $\mathrm{N}-14(\mathrm{~N}, \mathrm{~T}) \mathrm{C}-12$ | StAbLE |  | ENDF/8-3 | 7147 |
| 0-16(N,G)0-17 | StAble |  | ENDF/B-3 | 8162 |
| $0-16(N, P) N-16$ | 7.1 | S | ENDF/B-3 | 8163 |
| 0-16(N,A)C-13 | Stable |  | ENDF/B-3 | 8164 |
| 0-16(N.NP*D) $\mathrm{N}-15$ | StAble |  | ENDF/B-3 | 8165 |
| $F-19(N, 2 N) F-18$ | 110. | M | UKAEA | 9191 |
| F-19(N,2N)F-18 | 110. | M | UKAEA | 9191 |
| NA -23(N, 2N)NA-22 | 2.6 | Y | ENDF/B-3 | 11231 |
| NA-23(N,G)NA-24 | Stable |  | ENDF/B-3 | 11232 |
| NA-23(N,P)NE-23 | 38. | S | ENDF/B-3 | 11233 |
| NA -23 ( $\mathrm{N}, \mathrm{A}) \mathrm{F}-20$ | 11. | S | ENDF/B-3 | 11234 |
| $A L-27(N, 2 N) A L-26$ | 7.3 E+5 | Y | ENDF/B-3 | 13271 |
| $A L-27(N, G) A L-28$ | 2.3 | M | ENDF/B-3 | 13272 |
| AL-27 ( $\mathrm{N}, \mathrm{P}$ ) $1 \mathrm{MG-27}$ | 9.5 | M | ENDF/8-3 | 13273 |
| $A L-27(N, A) N A-24$ | 15. | H | ENDF/B-3 | 13274 |
| AL-P7(N.NP + D) MG-26 | StAble |  | ENDF/B-3 | 13275 |
| AL-27(N,T)MG-25 | StAbLE |  | ENDF/B-3 | 13277 |
| SI-28(N,P) AL-28 | 2.3 | M | UKAEA | 14283 |
| P-31 ( $\mathrm{N}, \mathrm{P}$ ) SI-31 | 2.6 | H | UKAEA | 15313 |
| K-39(N,N)K-39 | Stable | ZFROES | ENDF/B-3 | 19390 |
| K-39 (N, 2N)K-38 | 7.6 | MGS +150 | ENDF/B-3 | 19391 |
| K-39(N.G)K-40 | 1.3 E+9 | Y | ENDF/B-3 | 19392 |
| K-39 (N, P) AR-39 | 269. | Y | ENDF/B-3 | 19393 |
| K-39(N,A) CL-36 | 3.7 E*5 | Y | ENDF/B-3 | 19394 |
| TI-46(N, 2N) TI-45 | 3.08 | H | THRESH | 22461 |
| TI-46(N,P) SC-46 | * |  | THRESH | 22463 |
| TI-46(N, A) CA-43 | StABLE |  | THRESH | 22464 |
| TI-46(N,NP+1) $\mathrm{SC}^{\text {S }}$-45 | StAbLE |  | THRESH | 22465 |
| TI-46(N,NA) CA-42 | Stable |  | THRESH | 22466 |
| TI-47(N, 2N) TI-46 | StAble |  | THRESH | 22471 |
| TI-47(N,P) SC-47 | 3.35 | D | THRESH | 22473 |
| TI-47(N,A)CA-44 | stable |  | THRESH | 22474 |
| TI-47(N,NP+0) SC-46 | T |  | THRESH | 22475 |
| TI-47(N,NP+0) SC-46 | - |  | THRESH | 22475 |
| TI-47(N,NA) CA-43 | StABLE |  | THRESH | 22476 |
| TT-47(N,T)SC-45 | StAbLE |  | THRESH | 22477 |
| TI-47(N,HF-3) CA-45 | 162.7 | D | THRESH | 22479 |
| TI-48(N, 2N) TI-47 | StABLE |  | THRESH | 22.481 |
| TI-48(N,P) SC-48 | 1.82 | D | THRESH | 22483 |
| TI-48(N,A)CA-45 | 162.7 | D | THRESH | 22484 |
| TI-48(N.NP+1) SC-47 | 3.4 | D | THRESH | 22485 |
| TI-48(N,NP+0)SC-47 | 3.35 | 0 | THRESH | 22485 |
| TI-48(N,NA) CA-44 | Stable |  | THRESH | 22486 |
| TI-48(N,T)SC-46 | - |  | THRESH | 22487 |
| TI-48(N,HF-3) CA-46 | STABLE |  | THRESH | 22489 |
| TI-49(N, ${ }^{\text {N }}$ ) TI-48 | StABLE |  | THRESH | 22491 |
| TI-49(N,P) SC-49 | 57.5 | M | THRESH | 22493 |
| TI-49(N,A)CA-46 | stable |  | THRESH | 22494 |
| TI-49(N,NP+7) SC-48 | 1.8 | D | THRESH | 22495 |
| TI-49(N,NP+U)SC-48 | 1.82 | D | THRESH | 22495 |
| TI-49(N,NA) CA-45 | 162.7 | D | THRESH | 22496 |
| TI-49(N,T)SC-47 | 3.35 | D | THRESH | 22497 |
| TI-49 ( $\mathrm{N}, \mathrm{HF}-3$ ) CA-47 | 4.53 | D | THRESH | 22499 |
| TI-50(N, 2N) TI-49 | stable |  | THRESH | 22501 |
| TT-50(N,P)SC-50 | - |  | THRESH | 22503 |
| TI-50 ( $\mathrm{N}, \mathrm{A}$ ) CA-47 | 4.53 | D | THRESH | 22504 |
| TI-50(N,NP*0)SC-49 | 57.5 | M | THRESH | 22505 |
| TI-50 (N,NP+i) SC-49 | 57.5 | M | THRESH | 22505 |
| TI-50 ( $\mathrm{N}, \mathrm{NA}$ ) CA-46 | stable |  | THRESH | 22506 |
| TI-50 (N,T)SC-48 | 1.82 | D | THRESH | 22507 |
| TI-50(N.HE-3) CA-48 | STABLE |  | THRESH | 22509 |


| $\mathrm{V}-49 \mathrm{~N}, 2 \mathrm{~N}) \mathrm{V}-48$ | 16.1 | D | THRESH | 23491 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| V-49(N,P) TI-49 | StAbLE |  | THRESH | 23493 |
| $V-49(N, A) S C-46$ | - |  | THRESH | 23494 |
| $\mathrm{V}-49(\mathrm{~N}, \mathrm{NP}+\mathrm{D}) \mathrm{TI-48}$ | stable |  | THRESH | 23495 |
| $V-49$ ( $\mathrm{N}, \mathrm{NA}) \mathrm{SC}-45$ | StAbLE |  | THRESH | 23496 |
| $\mathrm{V}-50(\mathrm{~N}, 2 \mathrm{~N}) \mathrm{V}-49$ | 331. | D | thresh | 23501 |
| $\mathrm{V}-50(\mathrm{~N}, \mathrm{P}$ ) TI-50 | Stable |  | THRESH | 23503 |
| $\mathrm{V}-50(\mathrm{~N}, \mathrm{~A}) \mathrm{SC-47}$ | 3.4 | 0 | THRESH | 23504 |
| $\mathrm{V}-50(\mathrm{~N}, \mathrm{NP}+\mathrm{D}) \mathrm{TI-49}$ | StABLE |  | THRESH | 23505 |
| $\mathrm{V}-50(\mathrm{~N}, \mathrm{NP}+\mathrm{D}) \mathrm{TI-49}$ | stable |  | THRESH | 23505 |
| $V-50$ ( $\mathrm{N}, \mathrm{NA}$ ) SC-46 | - |  | THRESH | 23506 |
| V-50 (N,T)TI-48 | * |  | THRESH | 23507 |
| V -50 ( $\mathrm{N}, \mathrm{HE}-3$ ) SC-48 | 182. | D | THRESH | 23509 |
| V-51(N,2N)V-50 | 4. E+16 | Y | THRESH | 23511 |
| $V-51(N, P)$ TI-51 | 5.76 | M | THRESH | 23513 |
| $v-51(N, A) S C-48$ | 1.82 | D | THRESH | 23514 |
| V-51( $\mathrm{N}, \mathrm{NP}+\mathrm{D}$ ) TI-50 | Stable |  | THRESH | 23515 |
| V-51 (N,NP+D)TI-50 | stable |  | THRESH | 23515 |
| $\mathrm{V}-51(\mathrm{~N}, \mathrm{NA}) \mathrm{SC-47}$ | 3.35 | D | THRESH | 23516 |
| V-51(N.T) TI-49 | stable |  | THRESH | 23517 |
| V-51 ( $\mathrm{N}, \mathrm{HE}-3$ ) SC-49 | 57.5 | M | THRESH | 23519 |
| CR-50 (N, 2N) CR-49 | 41.8 | M | THRESH | 24501 |
| CP-50 ( $\mathrm{N}, \mathrm{P}$ ) V-50 | 4. E+16 | Y | THRESH | 24503 |
| CR-50 (N, A) TI-47 | stable |  | THRESH | 24504 |
| CR-50 ( $\mathrm{N}, \mathrm{NP}+\mathrm{D}$ ) V-49 | 331. | D | THRESH | 24505 |
| CR-50 ( $N, N P+D) V-49$ | 331. | D | THRESH | 24505 |
| CP-50(N,NA)TI-46 | StAbLE |  | THRESH | 24506 |
| CR-50 ( $\mathrm{N}, \mathrm{T}$ ) V-48 | 16.13 | D | THRESH | 24507 |
| CR-50 ( $\mathrm{N} \cdot \mathrm{HF}-3$ ) TI-48 | StABLE |  | THRESH | 24509 |
| CR-51(N,2N)CR-50 | STABLE |  | THRESH | 24511 |
| CP-51 ( $\mathrm{N}, \mathrm{P}$ ) V-51 | Stable |  | THRESH | 24513 |
| CR-51 (N,A)TI-48 | 16.13 | D | THRESH | 24514 |
| CR-51 ( $N$, NP + D ) V-50 | 4. E+16 | Y | THRESH | 24515 |
| CR-51(N.NA)TI-47 | StABLE |  | THRESH | 24516 |
| CR-52 (N,2N)CR-51 | 27.8 | D | THRESH | 24521 |
| CR-52 ( $\mathrm{N}, \mathrm{P}$ ) V-52 | 3.75 | M | THRESH | 24523 |
| CR-52 (N.A) TI-49 | STABLE |  | THRESH | 24524 |
| CR-52 ( $\mathrm{N}, \mathrm{NP}+\mathrm{D}$ ) V-51 | STABLE |  | THRESH | 24525 |
| $C D-52(N+N P+0) V-51$ | STABLE |  | THRESH | 24525 |
| CR-52(N.NA)TI-48 | StABLE |  | THRESH | 24526 |
| CR-52(N,T)V-50 | 4. F. 16 | Y | THRESH | 24527 |
| CP-52 (N,HF-3)TI-50 | STABLE |  | THRESH | 24529 |
| CR-53(N, PN) CR-52 | Stable |  | THRESH | 24531 |
| CR-53(N,P) V-53 | 2.0 | M | THRESH | 24533 |
| CF-53(N,A)TI-50 | Stable |  | THRESH | 24534 |
| $C P-53(N \cdot N P+D) V-52$ | 3.75 | M | THRESH | 24535 |
| CR-53 (N,NP+1) V-52 | 3.75 | M | THRESH | 24535 |
| CR-53(N.NA)TI-49 | STABLE |  | THRESH | 24536 |
| CR-53(N.T) V-5l | STABLE |  | THRESH | 24537 |
| CP-53(N.HE-3)TI-51 | 5.76 | M | THRESH | 24539 |
| CP-54(N,2N)CR-53 | Stable |  | THRESH | 24541 |
| CP-54 (N, P) V-54 | 55. | S | THRESH | ? 24543 |
| CR-54 (N,A) TI-5l | 5.76 | M | THRESH | 24544 |
| CP-54 (N,NP+D) V-53 | 2.0 | M | THRESH | 24545 |
| CR-54 ( $\mathrm{N} \cdot \mathrm{NP}+1$ ) $\mathrm{V}-53$ | 2.0 | M | THRESH | 24545 |
| CR-54 (N.NA) TI-50 | Stable |  | THRESH | 24546 |
| CR-54 (N, T) V-52 | 3.75 | M | THRESH | 24547 |
| CP-54 (N, HE-3)TI-52 | * |  | THRESH | 24549 |
| MN-53(N, 2 N ) MN-52 | * |  | THRESH | 25531 |
| MN-53 (N,P) CR-53 | Stable |  | THRESH | 25533 |
| M $\mathrm{N}-53(\mathrm{~N}, \mathrm{~A}) \mathrm{V}-50$ | 4. E+16 |  | THRESH | 25534 |
| MN-53(N.ND+D) $\mathrm{Cl}^{\text {-52 }}$ | Stable |  | THRESH | 25535 |
| MN-53(N,NA) V-49 | 331. | D | THRESH | 25536 |
| MN-54(N, 2 N ) MN-53 | 2. E+6 | Y | THRESH | 25541 |
| MN-54 (N, P) CR-54 | STABLE |  | THRESH | 25543 |
| M M - $54(\mathrm{~N}, \mathrm{~A}) \mathrm{V}-51$ | StABLE |  | THRESH | 25544 |
| MN-54(N.NP*O)CR-53 | StABLE |  | THRESH | 25545 |
| MN-54 (N.NP+0) CR-53 | STABLE |  | THRESH | 25545 |
| MN-54 (N,NA) V-50 | 4. E+16 | Y | THRESH | 25546 |
| MN-54 (N,T)CR-52 | STABLE |  | THRESH | 25547 |
| MN-54(N,HF-3)V-52 | 3.75 | M | THRESH | ? 5549 |
| MN-55 ( $\mathrm{N}, 2 \mathrm{~N}$ ) MN-54 | 313. | D | ENDF/8-3 | 25551 |



| NI-59(N.P) CO-59 | STABLE |  | THRESH | 28593 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| NI-59 ( $\mathrm{N} \cdot \mathrm{A}) \mathrm{FE}-56$ | STABLE |  | THRESH | 28594 |
| NI-59(N+NP+0) CO-58 | - |  | THRESH | 28595 |
| NI-59 (N.NA) FE-55 | 2.7 | $Y$ | THRESH | 28596 |
| NI-60 (N,2N)NI-59 | 3. $E+6$ | $Y$ | THRESH | 28601 |
| NI-60 ( $\mathrm{N}, \mathrm{P}$ ) CO-60 | * |  | THRESH | 28603 |
| NI-GO (N, A) FE-57 | STABLE |  | THRESH | 28604 |
| NI-60 ( $\mathrm{N}, \mathrm{NP}+\mathrm{D}) \mathrm{CO}-59$ | STABLE |  | THRESH | 28605 |
| NI-KO (N,NP+O) CO-59 | STABLE |  | THRESH | 28605 |
| NI-SO (N,NA)FE-56 | STABLE |  | THRESH | 28606 |
| NI-SO ( $\mathrm{N}, \mathrm{T}$ ) CO-58 | * |  | THRESH | 28607 |
| NI-60 (N, HF-3)FE-58 | STABLE |  | THRESH | 28609 |
| NI-61 (N, 2N)NI-60 | STABLE |  | THRESH | 28611 |
| NI-61 (N, P) CO-61 | 1.65 | H | THRESH | 28613 |
| NI-G1 (N,A)FE-58 | STABLE |  | THRESH | 28614 |
| NI-61 ( $\mathrm{N}, \mathrm{NP}+\mathrm{U}$ ) CO-60 | * |  | THRESH | 28615 |
| NI-61 ( $\mathrm{N}, \mathrm{NP}+\mathrm{D}$ ) CO-60 | $*$ |  | THRESH | 28615 |
| NI-61 (N,NA)FE-57 | STABLE. |  | THRESH | 28616 |
| NI-61 (N, T) CO-59 | STABLE |  | THRESH | 28617 |
| NI-61 (N, HF-3)FE-59 | 45 | D | THRESH | 28619 |
| NI-62 (N, 2N)NI-61 | STABLE |  | THRESH | 28621 |
| NI-62 (N,P) CO-62 | - |  | THRESH | 28623 |
| NI-F2 (N, A)FE-59 | 45. | D | THRESH | 28624 |
| NI-G2 (N,NP+D) CO-61 | 1.65 | H | THRESH | 28625 |
| NI-62 ( $\mathrm{N}, \mathrm{NP}+\mathrm{D}) \mathrm{CO}-6 \mathrm{l}$ | 1.65 | H | THRESH | 28625 |
| NI-62 (N,NA)FE-58 | STABI.E |  | THRESH | 28626 |
| NI-62 (N, T) CJ-60 | * |  | THRESH | 28627 |
| NI-62 (N, HF-3)FE-60 | 1. $E+5$ | $Y$ | THRESH | 28629 |
| NI-63 (N, 2N)NI-62 | STABLE |  | THRESH | 28631 |
| NI-63 (N, P) CO-63 | 52. | S | THRESH | 28633 |
| NI-63(N, A)FE-60 | 1. $E+5$ | Y | THRESH | 28634 |
| NI-63 (N,NP+U) CO-62 | * |  | THRESH | 28635 |
| NI-63 (N,NP+1) CO-62 | * |  | THRESH | 28635 |
| NT-63(N.NA)FE-59 | 45. | D | THRESH | 28636 |
| NI-63(N,T) CO-61 | 1.65 | H | THRESH | 28637 |
| NI-A3 (N, HF-3)FE-61 | 6.06 | M | THRESH | 28639 |
| NI-64 (N, 2N)NI-63 | 92. | $Y$ | THRESH | 28641 |
| NI-64 (N,P) CO-64 | $*$ |  | THRESH | 28643 |
| NI-G4 (N, A)FE-KI | 6.06 | M | THRESH | 28644 |
| NI-G4 (N,ND+D) CO-63 | 52. | S | THRESH | 28645 |
| $\mathrm{NI}-\mathrm{Ki4}$ ( $\mathrm{N}+\mathrm{NP}+\mathrm{D}) \mathrm{CO}-63$ | 52. | S | THRESH | 28645 |
| NI-A4 (N,NA)HE-60 | 1. $E+5$ | Y | THRESH | 28646 |
| NT-64 (N,T) CO-62 | * |  | THRESH | 28647 |
| CU-K3 (N, 2N) CU-62 | 9.8 | M | ENDF/8-3 | 29631 |
| Cll-63 (N.G) CU-64 | 12.8 | H | ENDF/ $\mathrm{H}-3$ | 29632 |
| Cll-63 (N.P)NI-63 | 92. | Y | ENDF/B-3 | 29633 |
| $\mathrm{Cl} 1-63(\mathrm{~N}, \mathrm{~A}) \mathrm{CO}-60$ | 5.27 | Y | ENDF/8-3 | 29634 |
| C(I-65 (N, PN) CU-64 | 12.8 | H | ENDF/B-3 | 29651 |
| Cll-65 (N,G) CJ-66 | 5.1 | M | ENDF/B-3 | 29652 |
| Cll-h5 (N, P) NI-65 | 2.6 | H | ENDF/日-3 | 29653 |
| ClJ-65 (N, A) CO-62 | 13.9 | M | ENDF/8-3 | 29654 |
| $Y-8 Ч(N, 2 N) Y-84$ | 107. | D | UKAEA | 39891 |
| $Y-89(N, 2 N) Y-88$ | * |  | THRESH | 39891 |
| $Y-89(N, G) Y-Y 0$ | * |  | COOK | 39892 |
| $Y-49(N . P) S R-89$ | 50.8 | D | THRESH | 39893 |
| $Y-84(N, A) P R-86$ | * |  | THRESH | 39894 |
| $Y-R O(N, N P+D) S R-8 R$ | STARLE |  | THRESH | 39895 |
| $Y-89(N, N P+U) S R-88$ | STABLE |  | THRESH | . 39895 |
| $Y-89$ (N,NA)RH-85 | STABLE |  | THRESH | . 39896 |
| Y-89(N,T) ¢R-87 | * |  | THRESH | 39897 |
| Y-89 (N, HE-3) RH-87 | 5. F+10 | Y | THRESH | 39899 |
| Y-90(N.2N)Y-R9 | * |  | THRESH | 39901 |
| $Y-90(N, G) Y-Y 1$ | * |  | COOK | 39902 |
| $Y-90(N, P) \subset R-90$ | 28.9 | Y | THRESH | 39903 |
| Y-90(N, A) RĖ-87 | 5. $E+10$ | Y | THRESH | 39904 |
| $Y-90(N, N P+U) S R-89$ | 50.8 | D | THRESH | 39905 |
| Y-90(N,NP+D) SR-89 | 50.8 | D | THRESH | 39905 |
| Y-9n ( $N, N A$ ) RH-86 | $\square$ |  | THRESH | 39906 |
| $\mathrm{Y}-90$ (N. N$) \mathrm{SR}-88$ | STABLE |  | THRESH | 39907 |
| Y-90(N.HE-3) RR-88 | 17.7 | M | THRESH | 39909 |
| Y-91(N.2N)Y-90 | - |  | THRESH | 39911 |
| Y-91(N,G)Y-92 | 3.53 | H | COOK | 39912 |


| Y-91( $\mathrm{N}, \mathrm{P}$ ) $\mathrm{S}^{R-91}$ | 9.67 | H | THRESH | 39913 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\mathrm{Y}-91(\mathrm{~N}, \mathrm{~A}) \mathrm{R}^{8-88}$ | 17.7 | M | THRESH | 39914 |
| Y-91 ( $N, N$ + + ) SR-90 | 28.9 | $r$ | THRESH | 39915 |
| Y-91 ( $\mathrm{N}, \mathrm{NP}+\mathrm{D}$ ) SR-90 | 28.9 | $Y$ | THRESH | 39915 |
| $\mathrm{Y}-91$ ( $\mathrm{N}, \mathrm{NA}$ ) RB -87 | 5.0 E+10 | Y | THRESH | 39916 |
| $\mathrm{Y}-91(\mathrm{~N}, \mathrm{~T}) \mathrm{SR-89}$ | 50.8 | D | THRESH | 39917 |
| Y-91( $N, H E-3$ )RE-89 | 15.2 | M | THRESH | 39919 |
| ZR-90(N,2N) ZR-89 | 79. | H | UKAEA | 40901 |
| ZR-90(N,2N) $2 R-89$ | * |  | THRESH | 40901 |
| ZR-90 (N,G) ZR -91 | stable |  | COOK | 40902 |
| ZR-90(N,P) Y-90 | * |  | THRESH | 40903 |
| ZR-90(N,A)SK-87 | - |  | THRESH | 40904 |
| ZR-90(N,NP+D)Y-89 | * |  | THRESH | 40905 |
| ZR-90( $N, N P+0) Y-89$ | * |  | THRESH | 40905 |
| ZR-90( $N$, NA) SR-86 | stable |  | THRESH | 40906 |
| ZR-90(N.T) Y-88 | * |  | THRESH | 40907 |
| ZR-90(N.HE-3) SR-88 | stable |  | THRESH | 40909 |
| ZR-91(N,2N) ZR-90 | * |  | THRESH | 40911 |
| ZR-91( $N, G$ ) $2 R-92$ | stable |  | COOK | 40912 |
| ZR-91( $N, P$ ) Y-91 | * |  | THRESH | 40913 |
| ZR-91 (N, A) SR-88 | stable |  | THRESH | 40914 |
| ZR-91 ( $N$-NP + O) Y-90 | - |  | THRESH | 40915 |
| ZR-91(N.NP+D)Y-90 | - |  | THRESH | 40915 |
| ZR-91( $N$, NA) SR-87 | - |  | THRESH | 40916 |
| ZR-91(N.T) Y-89 | * |  | THRESH | 40917 |
| ZR-91(N.HF-3)SR-89 | 50.8 | D | THRESH | 40919 |
| ZR-92(N,2N) ZR-91 | Starle |  | THRESH | 40921 |
| ZR-92(N,G) ZR-93 | 9.5 E 5 | Y | COOK | 40922 |
| ZR-92(N, P) Y-92 | 3.53 | H | thresh | 40923 |
| ZR-92(N,A)SR-89 | 50.8 | D | THRESH | 40924 |
| ZR-92 ( $N+N P+0) Y-91$ | * |  | THRESH | 40925 |
| ZR-92 (N.NP+D) Y-91 | * |  | THRESH | 40925 |
| ZR-92(N.NA)SR-88 | stable |  | THRESH | 40926 |
| ZR-92(N,T)Y-90 | + |  | THRESH | 40927 |
| ZR-92(N.HE-3)SR-90 | 28.9 | Y | THRESH | 40929 |
| ZR-93(N.2N) 2R-92 | StABLE |  | THRESH | 40931 |
| ZH-93(N,G) $2 \mathrm{H}-94$ | Stable |  | COOK | 40932 |
| ZP-93( $N, P$ ) Y-93 | 10.2 | H | THRESH | 40933 |
| ZR-93(N,A)Si2-90 | 28.9 | Y | THRESH | 40934 |
| ZR-93(N,NP+D)Y-9? | 3.53 | H | THRESH | 40935 |
| ZR-93(N,NP+U)Y-92 | 3.53 | H | THRESH | 40935 |
| ZR-93(N.NA)SR-89 | 50.8 | D | THRESH | 40936 |
| ZR-93( $N$, T) Y-91 | * |  | THRESH | 40937 |
| ZR-93(N.HE-3)SR-91 | 9.67 | ${ }_{\text {H }}$ | THRESH | 40939 |
| ZR-G4 (N, ?N) 2R-93 | 9.5 E+5 | r | THRESH | 40941 |
| ZR-94 (N, $\mathrm{C}_{\text {( ) }}$ ZR-95 | Stable |  | COOK | 40942 |
| Z $\mathrm{P}-\mathrm{Q4}(\mathrm{~N}, \mathrm{P}$ ) Y-94 | 20.3 | M | THRESH | 40943 |
| Z $\mathrm{H}-94(\mathrm{~N}, ~ 4) ~ S R-91$ | 9.7 | H | THRESH | 40944 |
| ZR-94(N,NP*O)Y-93 | 10.2 | H | THRESH | 40945 |
| ZR-94 ( $N$ - NP +1) $) \mathrm{Y}-93$ | 10.2 | H | THRESH | 40945 |
| ZP-94(N.NA) SR-90 | 28.9 | $r$ | THRESH | 40946 |
| ZR-94(N.T) Y-92 | 3.53 | H | THRESH | 40947 |
| ZE-44 (N, HF-3)SR-92 | ? 7 | H | THRESH | 40949 |
| ZR-95(N, 2N) <R-94 | stable |  | THRESH | 40951 |
| ZR-95(N.G) ZR-96 | stable |  | COOK | 40952 |
| ZR-95(N.G) ZR-96 | Stable |  | ENDF/8-3 | 40952 |
| ZR-95 (N, P) Y-95 | 10.5 | M | THRESH | 40953 |
| ZR-95 (N,A)SR-92 | 2.7 | H | THRESH | 40954 |
| ZR-95 ( $N \cdot N$ P +1) $) \mathrm{Y}-94$ | 20.3 | M | THRESH | 40955 |
| ZR-95(N.NP + 1) Y-94 | 20.3 | M | THRESH | 40955 |
| 2R-95(N.NA)SR-91 | 9.67 | H | THRESH | 40956 |
| ZR-45 (N,T) Y-93 | 10.2 | H | THRESH | 40957 |
| ZR-96(N,2N) ZR-95 | 65.5 | D | THKESH | 40961 |
| ZR-96(N.G) ZR-97 | 16.8 | H | COOK | 40962 |
| ZR-96(N.P) Y-96 | 2.3 | M | THRESH | 40963 |
| ZR-96(N.A)SR-93 | 7.5 | M | THRESH | 40964 |
| ZP-96(N,ND+U) Y-95 | 10.5 | M | THRESH | 40965 |
| Z $\mathrm{H}-96$ ( N , $\mathrm{NP}+1$ ) $\mathrm{Y}-95$ | 10.5 | M | THRESH | 40965 |
| ZR-96(N,NA) SR-92 | 2.69 | H | THRESH | 40966 |
| ZH-96 (N, T) Y-94 | 20.3 | M | THRESH | 40967 |
| NH-72 ( $\mathrm{N}, 2 \mathrm{~N}$ ) NB-91 | * |  | THRESH | 41921 |
| NH-92 ( $\mathrm{N}, \mathrm{P}$ ) ZR-92 | stable |  | THRESH | 41923 |


| NR-92 (N, A) Y-89 | * |  | THRESH | 41924 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| NR-92 ( $N$, NP + D) $\mathrm{ZR}-91$ | STABLE |  | THRESH | 41925 |
| NR-92 ( $N$, NP+U) $2 R-91$ | STABLE |  | THRESH | 41925 |
| NR-92(N.NA) Y-88 | * |  | THRESH | 41926 |
| NR-92 ( $\mathrm{N}, \mathrm{T}$ ) $2 \mathrm{H}-90$ | * |  | THRESH | 41927 |
| NH-92 (N,HE-3)Y-90 | * |  | THRESH | 41929 |
| NR-93(N,2N)NB-92 | * |  | OLE TENDF/8-3 | 41931 |
| NH-93(N, ? N)NB-92 | * |  | THRESH | 41931 |
| NR-93 (N,G) NB-94 | 2.0 E+4 | YBG | ONL YENDF/B-3 | 41932 |
| NR-93 (N,P) ZR-93 | $9.5 \mathrm{E}+5$ | $Y$ | ENDF/B-3 | 41933 |
| NR-93 ( $N, P$ ) $2 R-93$ | $9.5 \mathrm{E}+5$ | Y | THRESH | 41933 |
| NB-93 ( $N, A$ ) Y-90 | 64. | H | ENDF/B-3 | 41934 |
| NR-93(N,A)Y-90 | * |  | THRESH | 41934 |
| NH-93 ( $\mathrm{N}+\mathrm{NP}+\mathrm{D}) \mathrm{ZR}-92$ | STABLE |  | THRESH | 41935 |
| NR-93(N,NP + D) ZR-92 | STABLE |  | THRESH | 41935 |
| NR-93 (N,NA) Y-89 | * |  | THRESH | 41936 |
| NR-93 (N,T) $\mathrm{ZH}-91$ | StABLE |  | THRESH | 41937 |
| NP-93(N.HF-3) Y-91 | * |  | THRESH | 41939 |
| NR-94 (N, 2N) NB-93 | * |  | THRESH | 41941 |
| NR-94 (N,P) ZR-94 | STABLE |  | THRESH | 41943 |
| NR-94(N,A)SR-91 | 9.7 | H | THRESH | 41944 |
| NR-94 (N,NP+D) ZR-93 | $9.5 \mathrm{E}+5$ | Y | THRESH | 41945 |
| NR-44 ( $N$, NP + D) $\mathrm{NR}-93$ | $9.5 \mathrm{E}+5$ | $Y$ | THRESH | 41945 |
| NR-94 (N, NA) Y-90 | * |  | THRESH | 41946 |
| NR-94 (N, T) ZK-92 | StABLE |  | THRESH | 41947 |
| NR-94(N.HF-3) Y-92 | 3.53 | H | THRESH | 41949 |
| MO-100(N. 2 N) MO-99 | 66.6 | H | THRESH | 42001 |
| MO-100(N,G)MO-101 | 14.6 | M | COOK | 42002 |
| MO-100(N,G) 4 (4O-101 | 15. | M | ENDF/B-3 | 42002 |
| $M \cap-100(N, P) N B-100$ | 4 |  | THRESH | 42003 |
| MO-100(N,A) ZR-97 | 16.8 | H | THRESH | 42004 |
| MO-100(N, NP + D) NB-99 | * |  | THRESH | 42005 |
| MO-100(N.NP + D ) NB-99 | * |  | THRESH | 42005 |
| M $0-100(N, I N A) Z R-96$ | STABLE |  | THRESH | 42006 |
| M $0-100(N, T)$ NR-98 | * |  | THRESH | 42007 |
| Mn-92 (N, 2N) MO-91 | * |  | THRESH | 42921 |
| MO-92 (N,G)MO-93 | 3. $E+3$ | $Y$ | BENZ I | 42922 |
| M $0-92(N, P) N H-92$ | * |  | THRESH | 42923 |
| M()-92 (N,A) ZH-89 | * |  | THRESH | 42924 |
| M0-92 (N,NP + D)NB-91 | * |  | THRESH | 42925 |
| MO-92(N,NP+U)NB-91 | * |  | THRESH | 42925 |
| M $0-92(N, N A) ~ 2 R-88 ~$ | 85. | 0 | THRESH | 42926 |
| MO-92(N.T)NH-90 | * |  | THRESH | 42927 |
| MO-42(N.HF-3)ZR-90 | STABLE |  | THRESH | 42929 |
| M0-93 (N. 2 N ) M 0-92 | StARLE |  | THRESH | 42931 |
| M0-93 (N.P)NH-93 | * |  | THRESH | 42933 |
| M $0-93$ ( $\mathrm{N}, \mathrm{A}) \mathrm{ZR}-90$ | * |  | THRESH | 42934 |
| M0-93( $\mathrm{N}, \mathrm{NP}+\mathrm{O}$ ) NB-92 | * |  | THRESH | 42935 |
| M()-93 ( $\mathrm{N}, \mathrm{NP}+\mathrm{O}$ ) NR-92 | * |  | THRESH | 42935 |
| Mn-93 (N,NA) ZR-89 | * |  | THRESH | 42936 |
| MO-93(N,T)NH-91 | * |  | THRESH | 42937 |
| Mn-93 (N,HF-3) ZR-91 | STABLE |  | THRESH | 42939 |
| Mn-94 (N, 2N) MO-93 | * |  | THRESH | 42941 |
| Mn-94 (N,G) MO-95 | STABLE |  | BENTI | 42942 |
| M1)-94 (N, P ) NB-94 | * |  | THRESH | 42943 |
|  | StABLE |  | THRESH | 42944 |
| Mn-94 (N+NP+1) $N$ B-93 | * |  | THRESH | 42945 |
| Mn-94 ( $\mathrm{N}+\mathrm{NP}+\mathrm{D}$ ) NP-93 | * |  | THRESH | 42945 |
| Mn-94(N.NA) ZR-90 | * |  | THRESH | 42946 |
| MO-94 (N,T)NH-92 | * |  | THRESH | 42947 |
| Mn-94 (N.HE-3) ZR-92 | STARLE |  | THRESH | 42949 |
| M $0-95(N, 2 N) M 0-94$ | STABLE |  | THRESH | 42951 |
| MO-45 (N,G)MO-96 | STABLE |  | COOK | 42952 |
| Mn-95 ( $N, G$ ( M 1 - -96 | STABLE |  | ENDF/B-3 | 42952 |
| MU- $55(\mathrm{~N}, \mathrm{P}$ ) $\mathrm{NH}-95$ | * |  | THRESH | 42953 |
| M $0-95(N, A) Z K-92$ | StABLE |  | THRESH | 42954 |
| M1)-45 (N+NP+1) NB-94 | * |  | THRESH | 42955 |
| M $-95(\mathrm{~N}, \mathrm{NP}+15) \mathrm{NH}-94$ | * |  | THRESH | 42955 |
| $M \cap-75(N, N A)<R-91$ | StABLE |  | THRESH | 42956 |
| M $0-95(N, T) N \sim-93$ | $\stackrel{ }{*}$ |  | THRESH | 42957 |
| $\begin{aligned} & M \cap-95(N \cdot H F-3) Z R-93 \\ & M \cap-46(N \cdot 2 N) M O-95 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 9.5 E+5 \\ & \text { STABLE } \end{aligned}$ | $Y$ | THRESH | 42959 42961 |


| M0-96(N,G)MU-97 | Stable |  | COOK | 42962 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| M $0-96$ ( $\mathrm{N}, \mathrm{P}$ ) $\mathrm{NH}-96$ | 23.4 | H | THRESH | 42963 |
| M $n=96(N, A)$ 2R-93 | 9.5 E+5 | r | THRESH | 42964 |
| MO-96(N,NP+D)NB-95 | * |  | THRESH | 42965 |
| MO-96( $N, N$ + + ) NB-95 | * |  | THRESH | 42965 |
| M0-96 (N.NA) LR-92 | stable |  | THRESH | 42966 |
| MO-96(N,T) NS-94 | * |  | THRESH | 42967 |
| M0-96(N.HF-3) ZR-94 | stable |  | THRESH | 42969 |
| MO-97(N.2N)MO-96 | Stable |  | THRESH | 42971 |
| MO-G7(N.G)MU-98 | Stable |  | COOK | 42972 |
| MO-G7(N.G)MO-98 | STABLE |  | ENDF/B-3 | 42972 |
| M $0-47(\mathrm{~N}, \mathrm{P}$ ) $\mathrm{NH}-97$ | * |  | THRESH | 42973 |
| M $0-97(\mathrm{~N}, \mathrm{~A})$ ZR-94 | stable |  | THRESH | 42974 |
| MO-97( $\mathrm{N}, \mathrm{NP}+\mathrm{U}$ ) NB -96 | 23.4 | H | THRESH | 42975 |
| M0-97(N.NP+D) NB-96 | 23.4 | H | THRESH | 42975 |
| M0-97(N,NA) ZR-93 | 9.5 E+5 | Y | THRESH | 42976 |
| MO-97(N.T)NH-95 | * |  | THRESH | 42977 |
| Mn-97( $\mathrm{N}, \mathrm{HE}-3) \mathrm{ZR}-95$ | 65.5 | D | THRESH | 42979 |
| MO-48(N.2N)MO-97 | StABLE |  | THRESH | 42981 |
| MO-98( $\mathrm{N}, \mathrm{F}$ ) MU-99 | 66.6 | H | COOK | 42982 |
| Mn-98(N,Fi)MU-99 | 67. | H | ENDF/B-3 | 42982 |
| Mn-98(N,P) NH-98 | * |  | THRESH | 42983 |
| M $0-48(N, A) 2 R-95$ | 65.5 | D | THRESH | 42984 |
| M $0-98(N, N P+D) N B-97$ | * |  | THRESH | 42985 |
| M $0-98(\mathrm{~N}, \mathrm{NP}+\mathrm{U}$ ) NB-97 | * |  | THRESH | 42985 |
| M $0-98$ ( $\mathrm{N} \cdot \mathrm{NA}$ ) $7 \mathrm{R}-94$ | StABLE |  | THRESH | 42986 |
| M1)-98(N, T) NS-96 | 23.4 | H | THRESH | 42987 |
| MO-9R(N.HF-3) $2 R-96$ | STABLE |  | THRESH | 42989 |
| Mn-99 ( $\mathrm{N}, 7 \mathrm{~N}$ ) $40-98$ | STABLE |  | THRESH | 42991 |
| M -99 ( $\mathrm{N}, \mathrm{G}$ ) MU-100 | STABLE |  | COOK | 42992 |
| Mn-G9 ( $\mathrm{N} \cdot(\mathrm{G}) \mathrm{M}$ ()-100 | StAbLE |  | ENDF/H-3 | 42992 |
| M $\mathrm{M}-\mathrm{G9}$ ( NOF ) $\mathrm{NH}-99$ | * |  | THRESH | 42993 |
| MO-G9(N,A) ZR-96 | stABLE |  | THRESH | 42994 |
| MO-99 ( $\mathrm{N}, \mathrm{NP}+1 \mathrm{j}$ ) NR -98 | * |  | THRESH | 42995 |
| M $0-99(N \cdot N P+D) N B-98$ | - |  | THRESH | 42995 |
| Mn- ${ }^{\text {( }}$ ( $\left.N \cdot N A\right)<R-95$ | 65.5 | 0 | THRESH | 42996 |
| MO-99 ( $\mathrm{N}, \mathrm{T}$ ) $\mathrm{NH}-97$ | - |  | THRESH | 42997 |
| MO-99 ( $\mathrm{N}, \mathrm{HF}-3$ ) $\mathrm{ZR-97}$ | 16.8 | H | THRESH | 42999 |
| TC-97(N, 2N)TC-96 | - |  | THRESH | 43971 |
| TC-97(N.P)MU-97 | stable |  | THRESH | 43973 |
| Tr--97(N,A)N-3-94 | - |  | THRESH | 43974 |
| Tr.-97 ( $\mathrm{N}, \mathrm{NP}+\mathrm{U}$ ) MO-96 | STABLF |  | THRESH | 43975 |
| TC.-97( $\mathrm{N} \cdot \mathrm{HP}+\mathrm{D}$ ) M0-96 | Stable |  | THRESH | 43975 |
| Tr-97( $\mathrm{N}, \mathrm{NA}$ ) NB-43 | - |  | THRESH | 43976 |
| TC-97(N,T)M1)-45 | stable |  | THRESH | 43977 |
| TC-97(N.HE-3)NB-95 | * |  | THRESH | 43979 |
| TC-98(N. PN)TC-97 | * |  | THRESH | 43981 |
| Tr-Or ( $\mathrm{N}, \mathrm{P}$ ) M()-9\% | stable |  | THRESH | 43983 |
| Tr-9R(N,A) $\mathrm{NH}-95$ | * |  | THRESH | 43984 |
| Tr-GR(N,NP+D) MO-97 | StABLF |  | THRESH | 43985 |
| Tr-98(N,NP + 1) MO-97 | stable |  | thresh | 43985 |
| Tr-GR(N,NA) VR-94 | * |  | THRESH | 43986 |
| TC-98(N.T)MO-96 | Stable |  | THRESH | 43987 |
| TC-08 ( $\mathrm{N}, \mathrm{HF}-3) \mathrm{NB}-96$ | 23.4 | H | THRESH | 43989 |
|  | 1.5 E+K | r | ENDF/H-3 | 43991 |
| Tr-49(N.PN) TC-98 | 1.5 E+6 | Y | THRESH | 43991 |
| TC-99(N.G) TC-100 | 15.9 | 5 | COOK | 43992 |
| TH-99(N.G)TC-100 | 16. | S | ENDF/H-3 | 43992 |
| TC.-99(N.P)MU-99 | 66.6 | H | THRESH | 43993 |
| TC-49(N.A) NH-96 | 23.4 | H | THRESH | 43994 |
| TC-C9(N.NP+7) MO-98 | Stable |  | THRESH | 43995 |
| TC-99(N.ND+1) MO-9B | Stable. |  | THRESH | 43995 |
| TC-49(N,NA) iNR-95 | * |  | THRESH | 43996 |
| Tr-49(N.T)M1)-97 | stable |  | THRESH | 43997 |
| TC-59(N,HF-3) NR-97 | * |  | THRESH | 43999 |
| RII-104(N.G)R(I-105 | 4.44 | H | COOK | 44042 |
| SN-112(N.?N) SN-111 | 35.1 | M | THRESH | 50121 |
| SN-11?(Nor, ) SN-113 | 115. | 0 | BENZ I | 50122 |
| SN-112(N.D)IN-112 | - |  | THRESH | 50123 |
| SN-112(N.A)CD-109 | * |  | THRESH | 50124 |
| Stj-112(N•NP + D) In-111 | * |  | THRESH | 50125 |
| SN-11? $(N+N P+0) I N-111$ | * |  | THRESH | 50125 |


| SN-112(N,NA) CD-108 | $\wedge$ |  | THRESH | 50126 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| SN-112(N.T) IN-110 | * |  | THRESH | 50127 |
| SN-112(N.HE-3) CD-110 | STABLE |  | THRESH | 50129 |
| SN-114(N.2N)SN-113 | * |  | THRESH | 50141 |
| SN-114(N, $\mathrm{S}_{\text {I }}$ ) SN-115 | STABLE |  | BENZI | 50142 |
| SN-114(N.P) IN-114 | * |  | THRESH | 50143 |
| SN-114(N,A)CD-111 | * |  | THRESH | 50144 |
| SN-114(N, $N^{+}+$D) IN-113 | * |  | THRESH | 50145 |
| SN-114(N,NP+D) IN-113 | * |  | THRESH | 50145 |
| SN-114(N.NA) CD-110 | StABLE |  | THRESH | 50146 |
| SN-114(N,T)IN-112 | * |  | THRESH | 50147 |
| SN-114(N.HE-3)CD-112 | STABLE |  | THPESH | 50144 |
| SN-115(N.2N)SN-114 | STABLE |  | THRESH | 50151 |
| SN-115(N.G) SN-116 | STABLE |  | COOK | 50152 |
| SN-115(N.P) IN-115 | 4 |  | THRESH | 50153 |
| SN-115(N.A)CD-112 | STABLE |  | THRESH | 50154 |
| SN-115(N+NP+D) IN-114 | * |  | THRESH | 50155 |
| SN-115(N+NP+D) I S -114 | * |  | THRESH | 50155 |
| SN-115(N,NA) CO-111 | * |  | THRESH | 50156 |
| SN-115(N,T) IN-113 | * |  | THRESH | 50157 |
| SN-115(N.HE-3)CD-113 | * |  | THRESH | 50159 |
| SN-116(N.2N) SN-115 | * |  | THRESH | 50161 |
| SN-116(N,G) SN-117 | $\checkmark$ |  | COOK | 50162 |
| SN-116(N,P) IN-116 | * |  | THRESH | 50163 |
| SN-116(N,A) CD-113 | $*$ |  | THRESH | 50164 |
| SN-116(N.NP+D) IN-115 | * |  | THRESH | 50165 |
| SN-116(N•NP+D)IN-115 | $*$ |  | THRESH | 50165 |
| SN-116(N.NA) CD-112 | STABLE |  | THRESH | 50166 |
| SN-116(N,T)1N-114 | * |  | THRESH | 50167 |
| SN-116(N,HE-3)CD-114 | STABLE |  | THRESH | 50169 |
| SN-117(N, SN ) SN-116 | STABLE |  | THRESH | 50171 |
| SN-117(N,G)SN-118 | STABLE |  | COOK | 50172 |
| SV-117(N,P) IN-117 | * |  | THRESH | 50173 |
| SN-117(N.A) CO-114 | STABLE |  | THRESH | 50174 |
| SN-117(N*NP+D)IN-116 | * |  | THRESH | 50175 |
| SN-117(N.NP+D) IN-116 | * |  | THRESH | 50175 |
| SN-117(N.NA) CD-113 | * |  | THRESH | 50176 |
| SN-117(N.T) IN-115 | * |  | THRESH | 50177 |
| SN-117(N.HE-3) CD-115 | * |  | THRESH | 50179 |
| SN-11H(N.ON) SN-117 | $\cdots$ |  | THRESH | 50181 |
| SN-118(N,G)SN-119 | * |  | COOK | 50182 |
| SN-11 $\mathrm{S}(\mathrm{N}, \mathrm{P}) \mathrm{IN}-118$ | * |  | THRESH | 50183 |
| SN-118(N.A)CD-115 | * |  | THRESH | 50184 |
| $S^{N}=118(N, N P+D) 1 N-117$ | $\cdots$ |  | THRESH | 50185 |
| SN-11R(N, $\left.N^{P}+10\right)$ IN-117 | * |  | THRESH | 50185 |
| SN-11R(N,NA) CD-114 | STABLE |  | THRESH | 50186 |
| SN:-11R(N,T) 1N-116 | * |  | THRESH | 50187 |
| SN-118(N.HE-3)CD-116 | STABLE |  | THRESH | 50189 |
| SN-119(N.2N) SN-118 | * |  | THRESH | 50191 |
| SN-119(N.G)SN-120 | STABLE |  | COOK | 50192 |
| SN-119(N,P)1N-119 | * |  | THRESH | 50193 |
| SN-114(N,A)CD-116 | STABLE |  | THRESH | 50194 |
| SN-119(N,NP+D)IN-118 | * |  | THRESH | 50195 |
| SN-119(N, NP+1) IN-118 | * |  | THRESH | 50195 |
| SN-119(N.NA) CD-115 | * |  | THRESH | 50196 |
| SN-119(N,T)1N-117 | * |  | THRESH | 50197 |
| SN-120(N, PN) SN-119 | - |  | THRESH | 50201 |
| SN-120(N.P) IN-120 | * |  | THRESH | 50203 |
| SN-120(N,G)SN-121 | $*$ |  | COOK | 50204 |
| SN-120(N.A)CD-117 | * |  | THRESH | 50204 |
| SN-120(N,NP+D) IN-119 | * |  | THRESH | 50205 |
| SN-120(N, NP + D ) IN-119 | * |  | THRESH | 50205 |
| SN-120(N,NA) CD-116 | STABLE |  | THRESH | 50206 |
| SN-120(N,T)IN-118 | * |  | THRESH | 50207 |
| SN-122(N,2N)SN-121 | * |  | THRESH | 50221 |
| SN-122(N,G) SN-123 | * |  | COOK | 50222 |
| SN-122(N,P) IN-122 | 8. | S | THRESH | 50223 |
| SN-122(N,A)CD-119 | $\stackrel{ }{*}$ |  | THRESH | 50224 |
| SN-122(N, NP+D)IN-121 | * |  | THRESH | 50225 |
| SN-122(N+NP+D) IN-121 | * |  | THRESH | 50225 |
| SN-12? (N,NA) CD-118 | 49. | M | THRESH | 50226 |
| SN-122(N,T) IN-120 | $\checkmark$ |  | THRESH | 50227 |


| SN-124(N, 2N) SN-123 | * |  | THRESH | 50241 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| SN-124(N,P) ${ }^{\text {N }}$ N-124 | 4. | S | THRESH | 50243 |
| SN-124(N.NP+D)IN-123 | - |  | THRESH | 50245 |
| SN-124(N,NP+D)IN-123 | * |  | THRESH | 50245 |
| SN-124(N,T)IN-122 | 8. | S | THRESH | 50247 |
| SN-124(N,G) SN-125 | * |  | COOK | 50252 |
| TA-181 ( $\mathrm{N}, 2 \mathrm{~N}$ ) TA-180 | 600. | D | ENDF/B-3 | 73811 |
| TA-181 ( $\mathrm{N}, 2 \mathrm{~N}$ ) TA-180 | * |  | THRESH | 73811 |
| TA-181(N,G)TA-182 | 115. | 0 | ENDF/B-3 | 73812 |
| TA-181( $\mathrm{N}, \mathrm{P}$ ) HF -181 | 42.4 | D | THRESH | 73813 |
| TA-181 ( $\mathrm{N}, \mathrm{A}) \mathrm{LU} \mathrm{L} 178$ | 28. | M | ENDF/B-3 | 73814 |
| TA-181( $\mathrm{N}, \mathrm{A}) \mathrm{LU} \mathrm{C} 178$ | * |  | THRESH | 73814 |
| TA-181( $N+N P+D) H F-180$ | 。 |  | THRESH | 73815 |
| TA-181( $N, N P+D) H F-180$ | - |  | THRESH | 73815 |
| TA-181( $\mathrm{N}, \mathrm{NA}$ ) LU-177 | * |  | THRESH | 73816 |
| TA-181( $\mathrm{N}, \mathrm{T}$ ) HF-179 |  |  | THRESH | 73817 |
| TA-181 ( $\mathrm{N}, \mathrm{HE}-3$ )LU-179 | 4.6 | H | THRESH | 73819 |
| W-182(N, 2N) W-181 | 121. | D | ENDF/B-3 | 74821 |
| W-1H2 (N, 2N)W-181 | . |  | THRESH | 74821 |
| W-182 (N,G)W-183 | StAbLE | GS+150 | ENDF/B-3 | 74822 |
| W-182 (N,P) TA-182 | 115. | D | ENDF/8-3 | 74823 |
| W-182(N.P)TA-182 | 9. $E+6$ | Y | THRESH | 74823 |
| W-182(N,A)HF-179 | * |  | THRESH | 74824 |
| W-182 ( $\mathrm{N}, \mathrm{NP}+\mathrm{D}$ ) TA-181 | * |  | THRESH | 74825 |
| W-1H2(N,NP+U)TA-181 | * |  | THRESH | 74825 |
| W-192 (N.NA) HF-178 | * |  | THRESH | 74826 |
| W-182(N,T)TA-180 | * |  | THRESH | 74827 |
| W-182(N,HE-3) HF-180 | * |  | THRESH | 74829 |
| W-183( $\mathrm{N}, 2 \mathrm{~N}) \mathrm{W}-182$ | StABLE |  | ENDF/B-3 | 74831 |
| W-183(N, 2N)W-182 | STABLE |  | THRESH | 74831 |
| W-183(N,G)W-184 | StAbLE |  | ENDF/E-3 | 74832 |
| W-183(N,P) TA-183 | 5.0 | D | ENDF/B-3 | 74833 |
| W-183(N,P) TA-183 | 5. | D | THRESH | 74833 |
| W-183(N,A)HF-180 |  |  | THRESH | 74834 |
| W-183( $N, N D+D) T A-182$ | - |  | THRESH | 74835 |
| W-1R3( $N, N P+D) T A-182$ | * |  | THRESH | 74835 |
| W-183(N,NA) HF-179 | - |  | THRESH | 74836 |
| W-1R3(N,T)TA-181 | - |  | THRESH | 74837 |
| W-183(N,HF-3) HF-181 | 42.4 | D | THRESH | 74839 |
| $W-184(N, 2 N) W-183$ | Stable |  | ENDF/B-3 | 74841 |
| $W-184(N, 2 N) W-183$ | - |  | THRESH | 74841 |
| W-184 (N, G) W-185 | 75. | D | ENDF/8-3 | 74842 |
| W-184(N,P) TA-184 | 8.7 | H | ENDF/B-3 | 74843 |
| W-184 (N,P)TA-184 | 8.7 | H | THRESH | 74843 |
| W-1R4(N,A)HF-181 | 42.4 | D | THRESH | 74844 |
| W-184( $\mathrm{N}, \mathrm{NP}+\mathrm{D}$ ) TA-183 | 5. | D | THRESH | 74845 |
| $W-184(N, N P+U) T A-183$ | 5.0 | D | THRESH | 74845 |
| W-184(N.NA) HF-180 | * |  | THRESH | 74846 |
| W-184(N.T)TA-182 | - |  | THRESH | 74847 |
| W-184(N.HF-3) HF-182 | 9. $E+6$ | Y | THRESH | 74849 |
| W-186(N.2N) W-185 | 75. | D | ENDF/8-3 | 74861 |
| W-186(N, 2N) N-185 | - |  | THRESH | 74861 |
| W-186(N,G)W-187 | 24. | H | ENDF/B-3 | 74862 |
| W-186(N,P) TA-186 | 11. | M | ENDF/B-3 | 74863 |
| W-186(N.P) TA-186 | 10.6 | M | THRESH | 74863 |
| $W-146(N, A) H F-183$ |  |  | THRESH | 74864 |
| W-186( $N$ • $N P+1$ ) TA-185 | 49. | M | THRESH | 74865 |
| $W-196(N+N P+D) T A-185$ | 49. | M | THRESH | 74865 |
| W-186(N,NA) HF-182 | 9. E+6 | Y | THRESH | 74866 |
| W-186(N, T) TA-184 | 8.7 | H | THRESH | 74867 |
| PR-204 (N, 2N)PB-203 | - |  | THRESH | 82041 |
| PR-? $04(\mathrm{~N}, \mathrm{P}$ ) TL-202 | * |  | THRESH | 82043 |
| PR-204 (N,A)HG-201 | - |  | THRESH | 82044 |
| PR-204 (N, NP+D) TL-203 | STABLE |  | THRESH | 82045 |
| PR-204 ( $\mathrm{N}, \mathrm{N}^{(2+D) T L-203}$ | Stable |  | THRESH | 82045 |
| PR-204(N,NA) HG-200 | stable |  | THRESH | 82046 |
| PR-204 (N,T) TL-202 |  |  | THRESH | 82047 |
| PR-204(N.HE-3) HG-202 | stable |  | THRESH | 82049 |
| PR-206 (N. 2 N ) PR-205 | * |  | THRESH | 82061 |
| PH-206(N.P) TL-206 | * |  | THRESH | 82063 |
| PR-206 (N,A)HG-203 | sta |  | THRESH | 82064 |
| PR-206( $N, N^{\text {P }}+\mathrm{D}$ ) TL-205 | stable |  | THRESH | 82065 |


| PB-206( $N$, $\mathrm{NP}^{\text {P }} \mathrm{D}$ ) TL-205 | stable |
| :---: | :---: |
| PR-206(N,NA)HG-202 | StAbLE |
| PR-206(N,T)TL-204 | * |
| PR-206(N.HE-3) HG-204 | stable |
| PB-207(N, 2N) PB-206 |  |
| PR-207 (N, P) TL-207 | - |
| PR-207(N,A) HG-204 | stable |
| PR-207(N. $\left.N^{P}+\mathrm{D}\right) \mathrm{TL}-206$ |  |
| PR-207(N.NP+D) TL-206 | 4.21 |
| PB-207(N,NA)HG-203 | - |
| PB-207(N,T) TL-205 | stable |
| PR-2.08(N, 2 N$) \mathrm{PB}$-207 | * |
| PR-208 ( $\mathrm{N}, \mathrm{P}$ ) TL-208 | * |
| PB-208(N.A)HG-205 | 5.5 |
| PR-208(N.NP+D) TL-207 | * |
| PR-208( $\mathrm{N}, \mathrm{NP}^{(1)}$ ) TL-207 | * |
| PR-208(N,NA)HG-204 | stable |
| PR-208(N,T) TL-206 | * |


| THRESH | 82065 |
| :--- | :--- |
| THRESH | 82066 |
| THRESH | 82067 |
| THRESH | 82069 |
| THRESH | 82071 |
| THRESH | 82073 |
| THRESH | 82074 |
| THRESH | 82075 |
| THRESH | 82075 |
| THRESH | 82076 |
| THRESH | 82077 |
| THRESH | 82081 |
| THRESH | 82083 |
| THRESH | 82084 |
| THRESH | 82085 |
| THRESH | 82085 |
| THRESH | 82086 |
| THRESH | 82087 |

IV. ENDF/b-IV Yield, decay, and cross-section files
(T. R. England and N. L. Whittemore)
A. Yields, $\bar{v}_{p}, \bar{v}_{d}$

The fissionable nuclide charge would be exactly conserved by the fission products (i.e., by a yield weighting of the product charges) if all independent yield data were exact. Similarly, yield weightings along with delayed neutron emission probabilities can be used to estimate prompt and delayed neutrons per fission ( $\bar{v}_{p}, \bar{v}_{d}$ ) and various other quantities as an integral test of yield data. The preliminary ENDF/B-IV yields did not conserve charge, a result of an error in a General Electric (GE) code. LASL, Hanford Engineering Development Laboratory (HEDL), and GE cooperated in revising and checking the yield data.

The basis for the yield data has been described in previous progress reports. Table IV summarizes the number of independent yields per fissionable nuclide and energy now in the files.

TABLE IV
EndF/b-IV Yield CONTENT ${ }^{\text {a }}$
(Masses $72 \rightarrow 167$, Charges $26 \rightarrow 70$ )

| No. of Yields | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Fissionable } \\ & \text { Nuclide } \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | Thermal | Energy Fast | $\xrightarrow{\sim} 14 \mathrm{MeV}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1130 | ${ }^{235}$ U | X | X | x |
| 1130 | ${ }^{238}$ U |  | X | X |
| 1146 | ${ }^{239} \mathrm{Pu}$ | x | X |  |
| 1146 | ${ }^{241}{ }_{P u}$ | x |  |  |
| 1097 | ${ }^{233} \mathrm{U}$ | x |  |  |
| 1130 | ${ }^{232}$ Th |  | x |  |

[^0]Table V lists the weightings obtained using the revised yields in a local code prepared for processing ENDF/B-IV yields. These results can be used to estimate several quantities. All yields now sum to 200\%.

Table VI lists the changes in charge balance, prompt and delayed neutrons per fission found for the preliminary and final ENDF/B-IV files. The delayed neutron calculations required the additional input of neutron emission probabilities for 57 nuclides.

Charge balance is now within the assumed error of $\pm 0.1$ charge units of the most probable charge per fission, $Z_{p}$. The largest deviation ( +0.07 units) occurs for the ${ }^{\mathrm{P}}{ }^{235} \mathrm{U}$ 14-MeV yields. Charge balance is off only 0.008 units for ${ }^{235} \mathrm{U}$ the.iwal fission.

Delayed neutrons per fission now exhibit the general energy dependence found experimentally, namely, that the yfeld is essentially constant up to second chance fission. In addition, the quantitative agreement with experiment is also improved for most of the ten yield sets. Uranium-238 fast fission has worsened.

Delayed neutron calculations for each precursor, fissionable nuclide, and each delayed group have been distributed to interested members of the Cross Section Evaluation Working Group (CSEWG) Decay Data Task Force.

Readable listings of independent and cumulative yields and their fractions of each total mass yield have been processed for use in the CINDER code.

## B. Decay and Absorption Data

A processing code was written to extract basic nuclide decay parameters from a preliminary ENDF/BIV tape. This was combined with ( $n, \gamma$ ) branching fractions and thermal and resoance cross sections
to form a very compact data listing covering 825 nuclides. The result will be used to determine all linear chains needed to describe the time-dependence of fission products.

The final input format of LASL processed data for use in a revised form of CINDER-7 has been determined by LASL and Bettis Atomic Power Laboratory (BAPL).

TABLE V
ENDF/B-IV FINAL YIELD WEIGHTINGS

| Fissionable Nuclide | Average Charge No. $\sum_{1} y_{1} z_{1}$ | Average Stable Mass $\sum_{j} y_{j} \mathrm{MS}_{j}$ | Average Neutron No. $\sum_{i} y_{i} N_{i}$ | Average <br> Mass No. $\sum_{\underline{1}} y_{1} A_{1}$ | Average Stable Charge No. $\sum_{j} y_{j} z S_{j}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| ${ }^{235}$ U(T) | 9.20077E+01 | 2.33411E+02 | $1.41589 \mathrm{E}+02$ | 2.33597E+02 | $9.80818 \mathrm{E}+01$ |
| ${ }^{235}$ U(F) | $9.20148 \mathrm{E}+01$ | 2.33447E+02 | $1.41618 \mathrm{E}+02$ | 2.33633E+02 | $9.80840 \mathrm{E}+01$ |
| ${ }^{235}$ U (HE) | $9.20731 \mathrm{E}+01$ | 2.32257E+02 | $1.40371 \mathrm{E}+02$ | $2.32444 \mathrm{E}+02$ | $9.76128 \mathrm{E}+01$ |
| ${ }^{238}$ U(F) | 9.20298E+01 | 2.36143E+02 | $1.44299 \mathrm{E}+02$ | $2.36329 \mathrm{E}+02$ | $9.92165 E+01$ |
| ${ }^{238}$ U (HE) | $9.20704 \mathrm{E}+01$ | 2.34860E+02 | $1.42977 \mathrm{E}+02$ | $2.35047 \mathrm{E}+02$ | $9.86443 \mathrm{E}+01$ |
| ${ }^{239} \mathrm{Pu}(\mathrm{T})$ | $9.40148 \mathrm{E}+01$ | 2.36906E+02 | $1.43077 \mathrm{E}+02$ | 2.37092E+02 | $9.94858 \mathrm{E}+01$ |
| ${ }^{239} \mathrm{Pu}(\mathrm{F})$ | $9.40053 \mathrm{E}+01$ | 2.37047E+02 | $1.43228 \mathrm{E}+02$ | $2.37234 \mathrm{E}+02$ | $9.95331 \mathrm{E}+01$ |
| ${ }^{241}{ }^{\text {Pu (T) }}$ | $9.40054 \mathrm{E}+01$ | 2.38822E+02 | $1.45003 \mathrm{E}+02$ | $2.39009 \mathrm{E}+02$ | $1.00266 \mathrm{E}+02$ |
| ${ }^{233}$ U(T) | 9.20027E+01 | 2.31346E+02 | $1.39529 \mathrm{E}+02$ | $2.31532 \mathrm{E}+02$ | $9.72075 \mathrm{E}+01$ |
| ${ }^{232} \mathrm{Th}(\mathrm{F})$ | $9.00134 \mathrm{E}+01$ | 2.30443E+02 | $1.40614 \mathrm{E}+02$ | 2.30628E+02 | $9.68762 \mathrm{E}+01$ |

$y_{1}=$ Direct Yield
$Y_{j}=$ Mass Chain Yield
$Z_{i}=$ Charge (of Direct Yield)
$Z S_{j}=$ Most Stable Charge, Mass $j$ $A_{1}=$ Neutron No. (of Direct Yield)
$M_{j}=$ Mass of Most Stable Charge Mass Chain $j$

NOTE: Following the nuclide, $T, F$, and $H E$ denote thermal, fast, and $14-\mathrm{MeV}$ neutron fission energies.

TABLE VI
CHANGE FROM PRELIMINARY ( $1 / 74$ ) TO FINAL (8/74) CHARGE AND $\bar{v}$ VALUES CALCULATED FROM ENDF/B-IV YIELDS AND DEIAYED NEUTRON EMISSION PROBABILITIES

| Nuclide | \% Error in Charge Balance |  | $\bar{v}_{p}$ |  | $\bar{v}_{\mathrm{d}}$ |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Initial | Final | Initial | Final | Initial | Final |
| ${ }^{235}$ U(T) | 0.041 | 0.008 | 2.429 | 2.411 | 0.0157 | 0.0158 |
| ${ }^{235}$ U(F) | 0.245 | 0.016 | 2.641 | 2.382 | 0.0105 | 0.0146 |
| ${ }^{235}$ U (HE) | 0.008 | 0.079 | 3.607 | 3.629 | 0.0123 | 0.0107 |
| ${ }^{238}$ U(F) | 0.072 | 0.032 | 2.628 | 2.701 | 0.0309 | 0.0285 |
| ${ }^{238}$ U ( HE ) | 0.261 | 0.076 | 4.199 | 4.023 | 0.0171 | 0.0189 |
| ${ }^{239} \mathrm{Pu}(\mathrm{T})$ | 0.061 | 0.016 | 2.852 | 2.923 | 0.0056 | 0.0052 |
| ${ }^{239} \mathrm{Pu}(\mathrm{F})$ | 0.352 | 0.006 | 3.145 | 2.772 | 0.0032 | 0.0051 |
| ${ }^{241} \mathrm{Pu}(\mathrm{T})$ | 0.031 | 0.006 | 3.045 | 2.997 | 0.0098 | 0.0103 |
| ${ }^{233} \mathbf{U}$ (T) | 0.027 | 0.003 | 2.474 | 2.471 | 0.0080 | 0.0082 |
| ${ }^{232} \mathrm{Th}(\mathrm{F})$ | 0.628 | 0.015 | 2.393 | 2.386 | 0.0295 | 0.0388 |

V. CINDER-7 (T. R. England and N. L. Whittemore)

This code is now operational at LASL. It is variably dimensioned, has a free-form input format, uses dynamic storage, and is capable of computing $\gamma$ spectra as exhibited in the last progress report. Several new I/O options have been incorporated into this version.

In cooperation with BAPL and Knolls Atomic Power Laboratory (KAPL), this version is to be extensively modified to eliminate redundant data input and to further reduce required memory size; the changes along with the existing variable dimensioning should permit calculations of decay heat, absorption buildup, and $\gamma$-spectra using the total ensemble of fission and activation products. Such calculations and coding are needed for comparison with the decay heat experiments now in progress at LASL, various uses in LASL's HTGR safety analysis program, proposed disposal studies of high-level waste products, and for use in meeting specific Atomic Energy Commission Division of Physical Research, Division of Reactor Research and Development, and Defense Nuclear Agency commitments.

Currently, CINDER-7 is tied to CDC-processors. K. H. Witte (LASL C-3) has recently removed most machine dependence from the code. It is now being debugged. This version will also be tested during the next quarter at BAPL and KAPL.
VI. ANS 5.1 DECAY HEAT STANDARD (T. R. England)

A new American Nuclear Society (ANS) working group was formed in July for the purpose of reviewing, updating, and extending the current decay heat standard to include other fuels and fission neutron energies. Currently the standard applies only to ${ }^{235} \mathrm{U}+\mathrm{n}_{\mathrm{th}}$.

On August 26, 1974, the first meeting of this group was held for the specific purpose of "laying out a general approach and approximate time table for the development of an improved and more comprehensive standard for fission product decay heat." Initial membership of this group consists of V. E. Schrock, Chairman, University of California, Berkeley; T. R. England, LASL; G. J. Scatena, GE, San Jose; R. E. Schenter, HEDL; K. Shure, BAPL; and C. R. Weisbin, ORNL.

No formal action was taken, but there was general agreement that the basis for the present stand-
ard would not support any significant reduction in its uncertainty, and that extension to other fuels and irradiation spectra and histories was needed. There were suggestions but no final decision as to the final form of the extended standard.
VII. EVALUATION OF ACTIVATION AND TOTAL ( $\mathrm{n}, 2 \mathrm{n}$ ) CROSS SECTIONS FOR ${ }^{93} \mathrm{Nb}$ (C. Philis [Centre d'Etudes de Bruyères-le-Châtel, Montrouge, France] and P. G. Young)

Preliminary evaluations of the total ( $n, 2 n$ ) cross section of ${ }^{93} \mathrm{Nb}$ and of the ${ }^{93} \mathrm{Nb}(\mathrm{n}, 2 \mathrm{n})$ activation cross section leading to the metastable first excited state of ${ }^{92} \mathrm{Nb}$ have been completed for neutron energies between threshold and 20 MeV . All a~ vailable experimental data were assembled for the evaluation and were carefully examined for sources of error. Where sufficient information was available, the measurements were renormalized to modern standards and a set of recommended values and errors was determined from the corrected measurements. Measurements for which insufficient information was available for renormalization were treated either as relative or were not included in the evaluation.

To analyze the activation measurements, we adopted the evaluation of Kokher and Horen ${ }^{36}$ for the disintegration scheme of ${ }^{92} \mathrm{Zr}$ following positron decay of the metastable first excited state of ${ }^{92} \mathrm{Nb}$ $\left(E_{x}=136 \mathrm{keV}, \Gamma_{1 / 2}=10.15\right.$ days). Although several different reactions were used in the various experiments to determine neutron fluxes, the most frequently used were the ${ }^{27} \mathrm{Al}\left(\mathrm{n}, \alpha\right.$ ) ${ }^{24} \mathrm{Na}$ reaction in activation measurements and the ${ }^{238} U(n, f)$ reaction in direct measurements of the total ( $n, 2 n$ ) cross section. In our analysis we used the evaluations of Young and Foster ${ }^{37}$ and Sowerby et al. ${ }^{38}$ as standards for these reactions.

Figure 2 compares the uncorrected experimental data ${ }^{39-54}$ (upper half of the figure) for both activation and total ( $n, 2 n$ ) measurements with the values obtained after renormalization to consistent standards (lower half). Little adjustment was required for the total ( $n, 2 n$ ) measurements, because they are recent and are based on reasonably consistent standards. Significant corrections were required, however, for several of the older activation measurements, and a significant decrease in the "scatter" of the experimental points was accomplished by the renormalizations. The largest correction required


Fig. 2. Experimental data ${ }^{39-54}$ for the ${ }^{93} \mathrm{Nb}(\mathrm{n}, 2 \mathrm{n}){ }^{92} \mathrm{Nb}$ and ${ }^{93} \mathrm{Nb}(\mathrm{n}, 2 \mathrm{n}){ }^{92 \mathrm{M}}{ }_{\mathrm{Nb}}$ reactions before (upper half of figure) and after (lower half) correction for consistent standards. The solid curves are the results of the evaluation.
was an increase of approximately $40 \%$ in the Tewes activation data. 40

The solid curves in the lower half of Fig. 2 give our recommended values for the activation and total ( $n, 2 n$ ) cross sections. The curve for the activation cross section near 14 MeV is based on the Tewes ${ }^{40}$ and Paulsen ${ }^{50}$ measurements, and above 15 MeV on the Tewes, ${ }^{40}$ Paulsen, 50 and Bormann ${ }^{49}$ measurements and on the relative data of Prestwood. 55 Note that the Paulsen ${ }^{50}$ data near 14 MeV 1ie about $15 \%$ below the evaluated curve.

The recommended curve for the total ( $n, 2 n$ ) cross section below 11.5 MeV is based on the direct measurement of Frehaut. ${ }^{54}$ Above 11.5 MeV the recommended curve is assumed to have the same shape as the activation curve. The normalization of the curve ( $\sigma_{\text {total }}$ $=2.67 \sigma_{\text {activation }}$ ) was determined from the Frehaut ${ }^{54}$ and Mather ${ }^{53}$ measurements, which were both performed using large liquid scintillators and are relative to the fission cross section of ${ }^{238} U$. The Frehaut and Mather results are in good agreement near 14 MeV but the Mather point at 12.4 MeV lies roughly $25 \%$ below the Frehaut results.
VIII. EVALUATION OF THE ${ }^{169} \operatorname{Tm}(n, 2 n){ }^{168}$ Tm CROSS SECTION (P. G. Young and C. Philis [Centre d'Etudes de Bruyères-1e-Châte1, Montrouge, France])
An evaluation of the nuclear cross section for the ${ }^{169} \mathrm{Tm}(\mathrm{n}, 2 \mathrm{n}){ }^{168} \mathrm{Tm}$ reaction has been completed from threshold to 20 MeV . The available experimental data were critically reviewed and were normalized to consistent standards in a manner similar to that described in Section VII for ${ }^{93} \mathrm{Nb}(\mathrm{n}, 2 \mathrm{n})$ reactions.

Figure 3 presents a comparison of the uncorrected $169 \operatorname{Tm}(n, 2 n)$ measurements $40,46,51,53,56-60$ (upper half of the figure) with the measurements adjusted to common standards (lower half). As was the case with the ${ }^{93} \mathrm{Nb}(\mathrm{n}, 2 \mathrm{n})$ reaction, the agreement among the various measurements was significantly improved with the standards corrections. It should be noted, however, that the Vallis ${ }^{46}$ and Bari ${ }^{58}$ points were not included with the corrected data due to lack of standards information, and the Tewes ${ }^{40}$ results were treated as relative and were simply renormalized.

The present reaction differs from the ${ }^{93} \mathrm{Nb}(\mathrm{n}, 2 \mathrm{n})$ case in that the activation and direct experiments both measure the same quantity, that is, the total ( $n, 2 n$ ) cross section. Because the two methods involve entirely different techniques and standards,
it is interesting to compare results from the direct measurements of Mather ${ }^{53}$ and Frehaut ${ }^{60}$ with the activation results of Dilg, ${ }^{56}$ Druzhinin, ${ }^{57}$ Nethaway, ${ }^{51}$ and Vos. ${ }^{59}$ The results obtained with the two techniques appear to be in good agreement in Fig. 3, although there is a tendency for the direct measurements to lie a few percent higher than the activation results. This difference is entirely consistent with uncertainties in the different standards used in the two methods. The direct measurements of Mather ${ }^{53}$ and Frehaut ${ }^{60}$ agree reasonably near 14 MeV , but the Mather point at 12.4 MeV iles significantly below Frehaut's data, as was the case for ${ }^{93} \mathrm{Nb}(\mathrm{n}, 2 \mathrm{n})$.

The recommended curve is in good agreement from 13-15 MeV with statistical theory calculations by Jary, ${ }^{61}$ and the calculations have been used to extend the curve to 20 MeV . The +20 uncertainty in the recommended data is estimated to be $+10 \%$ near $14 \mathrm{MeV}, \pm 20 \%$ above 16 MeV , and $\pm 50 \%$ below 9 MeV .
IX. MEDIUM ENERGY LIBRARY (D. G. Foster, Jr., G. M. Hale, W. B. Wilson, and D. R. Harris)

Extensive revision of the intranuclear-cascade-plus-evaporation code CROIX is virtually complete. The changes made are required to provide more meanIngful results for very light nuclei and energies above a few hundred MeV, where the original CROIX exhibited numerous pathological symptoms. The revised version has been designated CROIX-2.

The cascade module itself is unchanged; it remains equivalent to the MECC- 3 code of ORNL. After completion of the cascade, however, the residual nucleus is inspected before beginning evaporation. If it has negative $Z$ or $N$; is a nucleon, multineutron, or multiproton; has a mass excess greater than 100 MeV (as determined from the revised mass subroutine described in previous reports); or has an excitation energy, $E_{x}$, which is negative by more than $2 \%$ of the incident energy, the event is rejected and the event counter set back. If $E_{x}$ is negative by less than $2 \%$ the event is accepted and the energies of all the cascade particles are scaled down so as to leave $E_{x}$ $=0$. The resulting momentun imbalance is ignored and the evaporation phase is aborted.

The evaporation module has been completely rewritten except for the basic calculations of emission probabilites taken from EVAP-3. However, the


Fig. 3. Experimental data ${ }^{39,45,50,55-59}$ for the ${ }^{169} \mathrm{Tm}(\mathrm{n}, 2 \mathrm{n}){ }^{168} \mathrm{Tm}$ reaction before (upper half of figure) and after (lower half) correction for consistent standards. The solid curve is the result of the evaluation.
emission probabilities are now calculated correctly using the reduced mass of the system instead of the mass of the emitted particle. Double counting of channels in which the residual nucleus is also an emittable particle has been eliminated. Emission of the evaporated particles is now isotropic in the cen-ter-of-mass system instead of the laboratory system and the correct polar angle of emission is retained in the output. The kinematics are exact throughout, except that nonrelativistic mechanics is used instead of relativistic mechanics. The subroutine begins with the actual recoil momentum before evaporation, recalculates the recoil direction in the lab system after each emission, and recomputes the transformation matrix for the next emission. Creation of impossible residual nuclei is prevented. Residual nuclei in the emittable category, none of which have any excited states below their particle-breakup energies, are scrutinized before emission of the corresponding particle is attempted in order to determine whether they will have enough excitation energy to disintegrate further. If not, the entire remaining energy is converted to kinetic energy of the fragments which are then transformed to the lab system with no remaining excitation, and the residual nucleus is set to $Z=A=0$. If the channel-energy algorithm fails to select an allowed disintegration energy in five tries, it is backed up by an analytical iterative algorithm which always works, but takes much more computation time than the exponential randomnumber generator used in the primary algorithm. Since there are some conceptual difficulties in the treatment of the pairing-energy correction, we have not adopted the method used in EVAP-4 of removing the pairing correction for a final try at obtaining an energetically possible emission which was prevented by the pairing correction. However, once a channel has been determined to be open (including the pairing correction) any energetically possible emission is allowed.

CROIX-2 defers any output to the history tape until after an event has been certified as valid. This permits the events with minor overshoots in $E_{x}$ to be corrected and used, but still prevents accepting an event with a defective evaporation. Since $40 \%$ of the events for $800-\mathrm{MeV}$ neutrons on ${ }^{16} 0$ produce overshoots, this represents a major saving in machine time. Later versions of CROIX included on the his-
tory tapes the sum of the energies (but not the multiplicities) of charged secondaries heavier than the proton. CROIX-2 also includes the individual energies and (tentatively) direction cosines of these heavier particles, which are of possible interest in dose calculations for thin regions of living tissue. The tape format is such that existing codes can read the tapes without any modification and ignore the added information.

A number of potentially interesting quantities have been added to the printout in CROIX-2. The geometric cross section used in the calculation is both printed and added to the first event on the history tape. The nuclear radius assumed for this is read from a data tape which came originally from ORNL. Multiplicities, average kinetic and excitation energies, and average cosines of the polar angle of production are also displayed for all particles and for the intermediate and final residual nuclei. Various diagnositc summaries have been included to determine the frequency and nature of the pathological events.

No abnormalities other than those provided for as outlined above have been observed in an exhaustive test of 500 events (using $800-\mathrm{MeV}$ neutrons incident on ${ }^{16} 0$ ). Some intuitively unreasonable channel probabilities have been observed, but these are caused by approximations in the Dostrovsky form of the evaporation model rather than by coding errors. Better models are available and we are beginning a review of some of them. The transverse direction cosines average to zero as the number of events increases, and the polar cosines are qualitatively reasonable. In the test problem less than $1 \%$ of the cascade events are unusable, although about $25 \%$ of all interactions leave no residual nucleus after evaporation (that is, the residual nucleus is an alpha particle or lighter and is tabulated as a particle). Energy imbalance caused by the nonrelativistic approximation is normally less than 0.1 MeV , although this approximation does, of course, distort the energy spectra without producing an erroneous overall energy release. Since CROIX-2 lists the starting random numbers for all pathological events, if further problems turn up during production runs, the events can be repeated for detailed diagnosis.

Work has begun on a version of the processor DANA1 to convert the history tapes to processed cross sections in the form required by the National Aero-
nautic and Space Administration (NASA). Production running of histories for the first four nuclides for NASA is expected to be completed next quarter, and the results processed and shipped. One element from the older history tapes has been transferred to Photostore, and this effort will continue until the entire set of histories for the medium-energy library has been transferred.
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