APPROVED FOR PUBLIC RELEASE

				- 14
Conv-R	en in the state of the sole of the second	. e e statistication 2	ge as higher a been brazismaan na salada waxa mahimadhiranaa	
		••••		
Î 				
				•
•				
1 1 1				
· · ·				
		· · · · · · · · ·		
		1000 - 1000 - 1000 - 1000 - 1000 - 1000 - 1000 - 1000 - 1000 - 1000 - 1000 - 1000 - 1000 - 1000 - 1000 - 1000 - 4 1000 - 1000 - 1000 - 1000 - 1000 - 1000 - 1000 - 1000 - 1000 - 1000 - 1000 - 1000 - 1000 - 1000 - 1000 - 1000 - 4 1000 - 1000 - 1000 - 1000 - 1000 - 1000 - 1000 - 1000 - 1000 - 1000 - 1000 - 1000 - 1000 - 1000 - 1000 - 1000		
178				
350				
3335				
8		1	n an alfer de presente antenen alferta esta presente alferta esta antenen alferta esta antenen alferta esta an An antenen esta esta esta esta esta esta esta esta	
i .				

LABORA

TOS ALAMOS NATIONAL

AFFROVED FOR FUBLIC RELIEADE



UNULA**

-2-

and the second	
and the second se	Contraction of the local division of the loc
and any particular in the second s	and the second s
	2.2775.27 T.274238
the second s	

ABSTRACT

With a redesigned and calibrated long counter, relative measurements of the fission cross section of 25 between 500 and 30 Kev have been made. Using these data, and also all the available data obtained in other investigations, a curve has been drawn which represents the best knowledge concerning the 25 fission cross section to date between 20 and 500 KeV. This curve is meant to supersede the curve found in LA-150.

And a second	
second	
	 pressure encoded
	1
And a second	

UNCLASSIFIED

UNCLASSIFIED

UNCLASSIFIED



The fission cross section of 25 as a function of neutron energy has been reported in LA-150. Since then, however, several experiments have been performed $^{1)2)3)}$ in the energy region below 500 Kev which do not agree with the published values. These latter experiments all give results in the neighborhood of 20% lower than the LA-150 cross section.

The LA-150 cross section was based principally on comparison of 25 fission rate with counting rate of a long counter, whose sensitivity as a function of neutron energy was thought to be constant. Measurements with a compensated hydrogen-recoil ionization chamber⁽⁴⁾ confirmed the long-counter data, and two points by Hall, Koontz, and Rossi⁵⁾ at 340 and 460 Kev were in general agreement, although somewhat high.

In view of the discrepanoies, the sensitivity of the long counter (the "5 x 5") which had been used, was re-examined, using various degraded and undegraded natural neutron sources. The sources used were: Mock fission⁶) No. 3, average energy about 2.2 Mev, mock fission No. 3 immersed in D_2O_3 about 400 Kev; a Ra-Be source, about 5 Mev; and an Sb-Be(γ_3 n) source, about 22 Kev. The response of the 5 x 5 long counter to these sources was compared to the response of Hanson's 15" long counters, which, as elsewhere described⁶) had already been calibrated with the same sources. It was found that the sensitivity of the 5 x 5 fell off quite rapidly (about 20%) between 500 and 30 Kev.

- 1) R. F. Taschek, C. M. Turner, LA-445
- 2) A. O. Hanson and L. W. Scagondollar, IA forthcoming
- 3) D. H. Frisch: LA forthcoming
- 4) C. L. Bailey: IA forthcoming, also LA-150.
- 5) P. G. Koontz: LA-128
- 6) C. L. Bailey, A. O. Hanson, James Hush, and J. H. Williams, Multiplication of 25 and 49 Spheres (IA forthcoming).

ロバレレベンショビュック

Since in LA-150 a flat response was assumed for this counter, one was led to underestimate the neutron flux at low energies and thus overestimate the fission cross section. Therefore it seems well indicated that the LA-150 cross section must indeed be too high in the lower-energy region.

_4

The 5x5 long counter was therefore rebuilt. Its active element was made a BF_3 = filled proportional counter, and its sensitivity for low energy neutrons was increased by drilling several holes, 5 1/2" deep, and 1" diameter, in the paraffin surrounding the BF_3 counter. The present 5x5 design is shown in Fig. 1.

The response of the new 5x5 to neutrons of various energies was next measured in the same way as just described; it was now found that the counter was much more nearly flat at low energies. The sensitivity as a function of neutron energy of the new counter is plotted in Fig. 2. This sensitivity curve was taken into account in evaluating the observations with the new counter.

Using the rebuilt 5x5, measurements of the 25 fission cross section were then made. The 5x5, and a small fission counter, were exposed to the Li(p,n) neutrons from a Li target of 5 to 10 Kev stopping power for protons, on the short electrostatic generator. The geometry is shown in Fig. 4. Data at the following energies were taken at 45° ; 500, 350, 200, 150, and 100 Kev. At 120° , measurements were made at 200, 150, 100, 60, and 30 Kev. The procedure was to measure the relative counting rates of the 25 counter and the 5x5 at the various neutron energies, under the assumption that the two detectors receive neutrons of the same mean energy. In every case enough counts were recorded to reduce the statistical error to about 2 0/0.

		• * *
	•	
 	· · ·	 ,

UNCLASSIFIED

-- i --



The observed ratios are given in Table I, against the mean neutron energy as given by the angular spread of the detectors and the target thickness. For 45° , the cross section was normalized to 1.42 barns at 500 Kev. This choice of normalization value seems the most reasonable when all the available data in the region above and around 500 Kev are taken into account. For 120° values, the normalization was to the value observed at 45° . The normalization could not all be to 500 Kev, because the counters had to be placed at somewhet different distances at the two angles. The "overlap" observations at 150 and 100 Kev, as taken at the two angles, are in good agreement.

Background neutrons which would affect the ratio of 25 to 5x5 might be of three kinds: neutrons scattered from the walls of the room, scattered from the target material, or scattered from one detector into the other. Tests were made for all these effects, and it turned out that although some background of each kind was present, the effect on the ratio as a function of energy was in general negligible, mostly because only relative measurements of the cross section were made. A small correction of a fraction of one percent (see Table I) for room neutrons were made in the 45°_{data} .

The cross sections as finally listed in Table I include a correction for the sensitivity of the 5x5, as taken from Fig. 2. The values are plotted in Fig. 3. The errors are largely due to the uncertainty in the calibration of the 5x5

These results are first of all to be compared with those in IA-150. The latter are certainly too high at least as far as the long-counter data are concorned, for the reasons already given; the compensated ionization chanker results, since they agree with the old long-counter data, must also be too high.

					······································
APPROVED	FOR	PUBLIC	RELEAS	SE	

-6-

the second se
and the second s
and the second se

The reason may perhaps be round in the decrease of the energy loss of proton recoils per ion pair with decreasing neutron energy. The point of Hall, Koontz, and Rossi⁵) at 340 Kev seems to be high, but their values at 460 and 590 Kev were given weight in drawing the curve through 500 kev.

The other messurements to be compared are the following:

B. Mn-bath met od 1 point at 250 Kev.

C. Determination with an Sb-Ee (γ, n) neutron source²: point at 22 Kev.

D. leasurement with a proton-recoil proportional counter by the "thresh.ld ticklo" technique³; point at 34 Kev.

These points are all included in drawing the curve between 500 and 30 Kev, since there seems to be no reasons to feel that any of them are invalid. The data 'rom $A_g B_s C_s D_s$ are recorded in Table I and plotted in Fig. 3. It is seen that in general the agreement between these and the new 5x5 data is fairly good.

The 25 cross section as given in LA-150 is therefore deemed obsolete below 500 Kev. The curve which is old to represent the present best value of 5 below 500 dev is presented in Fig. 3, and is the consensus of opinion of all the people whose work has been mentioned here.

MABLE I

	Ey-Fev (mean)	Ratio of bouncing rates 40 ⁶	25 fission counter 5x5 long counter 1200	30r Ostion for 5x5 sensitivity	$\int_{0}^{1} \frac{\sigma_{2,j}}{\sigma_{2,j}}$ for $\int_{0}^{1} \frac{\sigma_{2,j}}{\sigma_{2,j}}$	^î.k 4.50	-4 .7 <u>1</u> 20 ⁰	Jacobia Contraction of the second sec	barns coustre e ago 4) B	ats C	 ī:
	<u>1</u> 54	6_26		0		1.42				1	1.01
	344	6,72		- 2%	+ U. A %	1.51					
	250							1.50	1.54 7.50		
APP]	195	7.25	- ü.61	- 470	+ 0.8%	1,60	1,60	•••••			
ROVI	145	8.30	7₀58	- 5 %	+ 0.9%	1.80	1.82				
Ю Н	95	9.49	₿ ₀60	- 6%	+ 1.0 %	2.05	2,03				
'OR	ö 7		9,93	- 7%			2.32				
PUBL	34							2,30			2.17 +0.4
H Q	27		10,94	-7%			2,56				
RELEASE	22				1 				n managamina ing sing Star	2.7 2.7 7 70	

Margin of error in points with new 5x5

APPROVED FOR PUBLIC RELEASE

344 Kov	I 4%
195	5 %
145	6 %
95	5 75
57	8 %
27	9 %

- 7 -





PRESENT 5x5 DESIGN



APPRONED HOR

PUBLAC! Add SA 38



SECRET Li film-Chamber p beam Ta_ Long Counter -Fig. 4.





