

,

Ł

APPROVE	D FOR PUBLIC RELEA	ASE
		UNCLASSIFIED
PUBLICLY RELEASABLE Per 20.20. Jones, FSS-16 Date: 4 By In Chillips, CIC-14 Date: 6	<u>-11-9/</u> <u>11-9/6</u> Elassification ch	UNCLASSIFIF?
LA a	by authority of 586 Per <u>ALPR(TI</u>	the U.S. Atomic Energy Commission,
	By REPORT LI	BRARY Letimanting, 3/12/75
		U
July 19, 1946	This door	amont contains pageso
EQUATION OF STATE OF	HIGH EXPLOSIVE AND CA	LCULATION
OF DETONAT	I'N WAVES	
WORK DONE BY: D. A. Flanders Usual & A J. M. Keller Gischh M R. E. Peterls, Pudulih E	REPOI T. H. Toney	R. Skyrne
VERIFIEI Per <u>2M5</u>	D UNCLASSIFIED 6-11-79	•
By The Sol	<u> 10915- 10-11-960</u>	
3 9338 00424 7515 3 9338 00424 7515	thorized person is pre-	UNCLASSIFIED UNCLASSIFIED

APPROVED FOR PUBLIC RELEASE

. :





#### ABSTRACT

This report summarizes the derivation of the equation of state of A. E. (Composition 'B' at density  $\rho_0 = 1.67$ ) used in the most recent implosion calculations, and includes condensed tables of the important variables. The results of calculations on various types of detonation wave made with this equation of state are reported, and compared with earlier calculations based on  $\gamma$  - law equations.

APPROVED FOR PUBLIC RELEASE

INCLASSIFIED

UNCLASSIFIED



UNCLASSIFIFD UNCLASSIFIED

In a fundamental report on detonation waves <sup>1)</sup>, G. I. Faylor has given the theory of plane and expanding waves and the results for detonation waves in TNT, using an equation of state for the explosive gases found by Jones. In this report we give a brief account of the derivation of the equation of state used here in various numerical calculations and of the results of calculations on detonation waves.

#### 1. Equation of State

The first numerical calculations made on detonation waves used a  $\gamma = 3$  equation of state for the explosive, and neglected changes of entropy. Experiments had indicated that this form was a fair approximation for pressures of the order of the detonation pressure, though it was certainly in error at lower pressures; furthermore this form was well adapted to certain analytic and semi-analytic calculations.

Subsequently, however, a desire for greater accuracy in implosion calculations led to the use of a somewhat more accurate equation of state. In his report <sup>2)</sup>, Jones has calculated the normal (Chapman-Jouguet) adiabatic for certain explosives, ToN.T. at loading densities of 1.0 and 1.5 gm/om<sup>3</sup>, and Composition 'B' at a loading density of 1.5; these were obtained by calculating the composition and thermodynamic properties of the mixture of explosive gases.

For the purpose of calculating the convergent detonation wave in an implosion the equation of state of Composition 'B' was required for a loading density of  $1.67 \text{ gm/am}^3$  and for a range of entropies about normal conditions. To obviate the necessity of making a fresh calculation, analagous to those of Jones, for these cases, the following perturbation method was used **MCLASSIFIF** 1) G.1. Taylor, Detonation waves, A C 639; BMQ.

2) RC-371, BM-647, and carlier reports RC-22. RC-306.

APPROVED FOR PUBLIC RELEASE UNCLASSIFIFM



 $p(v_1S_1)$  where the subscript<sub>1</sub> refers to conditions at the head of an ordinary detonation wave in Composition B at a loading density  $\rho_0 = 1.5 \text{ gm/om}^3$  . At the detonation front the internal energy E may be derived from the shock conditions

$$E(v_1, S_1) = 1/2 p_1(v_0 - v_1)$$
 (1)

and therefore along the adiabatic

$$E(\mathbf{v}_1 \ S_1) = (1/2) \ p_1 \ (\mathbf{v}_0 - \mathbf{v}_1) - \int_{\mathbf{v}_1}^{\mathbf{v}_1} p d\mathbf{v}$$
 (2)

For entropies S slightly different from S<sub>1</sub> we have

$$E(v, S) = E(v, S_1) + T(S - S_1)$$
 (3)

$$p(\mathbf{v}, \mathbf{S}) = p(\mathbf{v}, \mathbf{S}_1) - \left(\frac{\partial \mathbf{T}}{\partial \mathbf{v}}\right)_{\mathbf{S}_1} (\mathbf{S} - \mathbf{S}_1)$$
(4)

Since Jones has given T along his adiabatic, so that  $(\partial T/\partial \psi)_{S_1}$  is also known, the problem is solved when the entropy change  $(S - S_1)$  corresponding to the new conditions is determined.

For the Chapman-Jouguet adiabatic the changes in v and S are determined by perturbation of the equation of conservation of energy and of the Chapman-Jouguet condition, combined with equations (3) and (4). However, these conditions involve first and second derivatives of pressure and temperature at the detonation front; these derivatives are somewhat erratic so that the direct application of these conditions does not lead to a satisfactory result. The values finally chosen were obtained by compromising with additional conditions derived from the experimentally determined detonation velocity  $(7_0800 \text{ m/sec})$  and variation of detonation velocity with loading density  $(3,800 \text{ m/sec}/\text{gm/em}^3)$ . These values corresponded to a  $\gamma$  - value equal to  $3c9_0$ so that the detonation pressure was 0.2073 merebars. The new Chapman-Jouguet adiabatic was then calculated 12 mm formula: (2). . . the primary points determined

APPROVED FOR PUBLIC RELE

UNCLASSIFIFD





are listed in Table 1 (cf, Table 3 of Jones' paper). The remaining calculations, for higher detonation pressures, were then straightforward.

The equation (4) is of the form

$$p_{m} f_{1}(v) + \Delta S f_{2}(v) \qquad (5)$$

A condensed table 3) of smoothed values of  $f_1(v)$  and  $f_2(v)$  is given in Table 2, together with the equation of the Hugoniot curve  $p_{\pm} = \psi(v)$  that satisfies the shock conditions.

For the calculation of plane and expanding detonation waves we also need the following quantities,

$$o = \sqrt{-\partial p} / \partial \sqrt{(6)}$$

$$\sigma = \int \left( -\frac{\partial p}{\partial v} \right) dv \qquad (7)$$

$$\mathbf{f} = (\mathbf{p}/\mathbf{\ddot{o}}^{c}) \quad \mathbf{d}\mathbf{c}^{c}/\mathbf{d}\mathbf{p} \tag{8}$$

The values of these quantities, calculated for the Chapman-Jouguet adiabatic, are given in Table 30

The low-pressure end of the adiabatic was represented by the empirical formula

$$p = (v/v_0)^{-1.2} \left\{ 0.0072456 + \frac{0.0153190}{(v/v_0)} + \frac{0.113443}{(v/v_0)^3} \right\}$$
 megabars (9)

This holds for  $(v/v_0)$  > 2.5;  $v_0 = 0.5938$  om $3/g^m$ .

2. Plane Detonation Waves

If x denotes the distance travelled by the wave and t the time since initiation, the pressure and velocity distribution in the wave are determined by the equations  $\frac{1}{4}$ 





These solutions are given in Table L for the above equation of state and for  $\gamma = 3$  ( $p = (16/27) + C^3/D$  with same values of  $f_{O_3}D$ ). The Pressure distribution is also shown in Fig. 19 together with that calculated by Taylor for T.N.T. of density 1.51.

#### 3. Expanding Detonation Waves

The pressure and velocity distribution behind an expanding wave have been calculated as a preliminary to the numerical integration of the air-blast coming from a spherical charge of H.E. The equations determining the solution bmay be written in the form.

$$\frac{d\psi}{dz} = \frac{\psi \xi}{(1-\xi)^2 \psi^2 - \xi^2} \left\{ 2\xi - f(1-\xi) \psi^2 \right\} (11)$$

$$\frac{d\xi}{d\xi} = \frac{3\xi^2 - (1-\xi)^2 \psi^2}{(1-\xi)^2 \psi^2} \cdot \xi \qquad (12)$$

$$\frac{d\xi}{dz} = \frac{2\xi^2 - (1-\xi)^2 + \xi^2}{(1-\xi)^2 + \xi^2} + \xi^2 \qquad ($$

Here z is the similarity vuriable

$$z = \log_{e} (x/Dt)$$
(13)

and

$$\xi = u e^{-2} ; \quad \gamma = u/c \tag{14}$$

f is the quantity defined by equation (B), and is a known function of  $s = \xi e^{\frac{\pi}{2}}/\gamma_o$ . The boundary conditions at z = o are

$$\xi = 1/\gamma + 1 \quad ; \ \ \psi = 1/\gamma \tag{15}$$

The first step in the solution was the preparation of a smooth table of values of f as a function of  $\bullet$ ; this is reproduced in Table 5. The numerical integration was carried out with  $\xi$  as independent variable starting from z = 0; subsequently, when  $\xi$  became small, z was used as independent variable. It was found that  $\xi$  and  $\gamma$  became zero at  $z = \frac{1}{2}$ .  $Q_0$  74 approximately so that within the sphere x/Dt = 0.0478 the explosive is at rest at a pressure of .0603 mb. The pressure and volved by destributions for this solution are

APPROVED FOR PUBLIC RELEASE



given in Table 6, and for the  $\gamma = 3$  equation of state in Table 7. The pressure distributions in the two cases are illustrated in figure (2), together with that. calculated by Taylor for T.N.T. of density 1.51.

For the subsequent IBM calculations it was desirable to have the hydrodynamic variables listed as functions of  $\mathbf{A}/\mathrm{Dt}$ , where X is a Lagrangean radial coordinate. It follows from the similarity hypothesis that

$$\frac{x}{Dt} = \left(\frac{1-\xi}{v/v_o}\right)^{1/3} \qquad \frac{x}{Dt}$$
(16)

and this quantity is included in Table 5.

For starting the IBM calculation it was useful also to have an expansion of the solution near x = 0 of the form

$$x/Dt = 1 - a_2 e^2 - a_3 e^3 - a_4 e^4$$
 (17)

where

$$\theta^2 = 1 - \chi/\text{Dt}$$
 (18)

The general formulae for the coefficients a; are

where  $\gamma_p$  f have their values at the detonation front. For the modified Jones<sup>9</sup> equation of state these have the values

$$a_2 = 0.79592$$

$$a_3 = 0.13293$$
(20)
$$a_4 = 0.05$$

The last coefficient is uncertain on a coount of the p" termo

40 Convergent Detonation Waves The first calculations as convergent detonation waves were made with



APPROVED FOR PUBLIC RELEASE ANSIFIF

.....

the isentropic  $\gamma = 3$  equation of state; these were reported in LA-143. Subsequently, another calculation was made elsewhere, also using a  $\gamma = 3$  equation of state but admitting changes of entropy according to a perfect-gas law for the Hugoniot curve; this did not differ much from the former, and a comparison of the two solutions was made in a report by J. Calkin, LA=262.

Later a new calculation of the convergent wave was made using the modified Jones' equation of state and formed the basis for all recent implosion calculations (from IBM problem N onwards). <sup>T</sup>he preparatory analytic calculations were reported by J. Keller in LA-424, which also included comparisons of the effect of convergence for different  $\gamma$  - law equations of state.

Complete numerical details of the calculation are available in IBM problem No We include in this report Fig. 3 and 4 to illustrate this solution; the former represents the variation of detonation pressure with radius of convergence, and the latter the pressure distribution at a time t = a/2D(where a is the initial radius). Comparative curves for  $\gamma$  -laws have been taken from LA-424 and included in these figures.





APPROVED FOR PUBLIC RELEASE



1

:.

V



# UNCLASSIFIED

cm <sup>3</sup> /gm	dynes/ .cm <sup>2</sup>	
°2110	1.6363	x 10 <sup>11</sup>
o5384	1.5050	
· · 6084	1.0963	
a 6823	8.124	<b>x</b> 10 <sup>10</sup>
o7568	6-017	
o8335	4.449	
·915/	3,253	
1.012	2.302	
1.138	1.543	
1.331	9.41	x 10 <sup>9</sup>
1.491	6.986	
1.725	4.790	
2.063	3.233	
2-567	2.094	
3.346	1.323	<b>a</b> :
4-595	8.169	<b>x</b> 10 <sup>0</sup>
6.682 -	4.760	
10-34	2.638	
17.12	1.407	-
30.62	6.783	x 107
59。96	2.922	
131.4	1.141	6
33401	30723	x 10 <sup>0</sup>
1050.4	· 90460	x 10 <sup>2</sup>

P

## IINCLASSIFIED





:..

:::

BLE 2

:

megabars



## UNCLASSIFIED

v/v <sub>o</sub>	f <sub>1</sub> (v)	f2(v)
•500	°2661 <sup>1</sup>	2.114
<b>°</b> ۶۶0	<i>₀7</i> 232	1.738
•600	•5579	1.507
•650 ·	•4371	1.260
° 700	•3486	1.048
°750	°2677	°852
(CJ) 0796	₀2073	.693
°900	°5034	·· 630
<b>.850</b>	<b>16</b> 85	. 0544
•900	-1442	مليك
°950	<b>.1288</b>	o <b>381</b>
1.00	<b>.11</b> 40	·348
1.50	°03466	-239
2.00	.°01596	.112
2,50	•006871	051
500	$1.626 \times 10^{-3}$	·
<b>1</b> 0°0	5.610 x 10 <sup>-4</sup>	
20.0	2.204	
50.0	6₀908 x 10 <sup>∞5</sup>	
<b>100</b> 00	2.946	
200.0	1.269	
500.0	$4.199 \times 10^{-0}$	
1000.0	1.824	
8	0	

γ/(v)

.4612	
<b>•3</b> 586	
°502°	
s2073	

V _ ==	0•5988	om <sup>3</sup> /gm
--------	--------	---------------------



-11-:.. ::: TABLE 5 

((

;

5

v/v <sub>o</sub>	c/D	σ/D	f
CJ) ₀796	∘79 <del>59</del>	0	10.13
.800	o7749	-00402	10.81
°850	<b>.</b> 6833	<b>02199</b>	7.66
<u>.840</u>	°6365	o03791	5.58
•860	°263°	·05244	4.58
°330	°5720	•06589	3.63
<b>₀900</b>	•5529	°07851	2.39
°920	°2403	•09053	1.70
•940	e5326	<b>•10206</b>	1.19
°960	•5272	<b>.11322</b>	•75
°980	s5240	-1240 <del>5</del>	045
1.00	- 65219	°13/†65	•34
1.10	•5095	<b>~18381</b>	•66
1.20	°4913	·22741	·1.04
1.30	•4674	•26582	1.44
1040	·4405	• <b>299</b> 49	1.77
1050	°4132	o32894	1.94
1.60	o3879	o35477	1.88
1.70	°3636	• <b>37</b> 758	2.47
<b>1</b> °80	03365	o39760	2.84
1.90	•3122	·41512	2.49
2.00	¢2892	o43053	3.26
2.5	°5077	°748425	
3₀0	°1723	°5 <b>1</b> 89	
3.5	°1502	o5437	
40	•1354	o5627	
405	<b>12490</b>	°5780	
5.0	<b>.11</b> 717	°5908	
10.0	-08825	°6607	
2000	•07512	•7165	
50.0	•06L95	•7503	
100.0	•05949	<b>.8234</b>	
200.0	·05493	°3630	
500.0	•04988	°0110	
1000.0	04645	ه؟ليليل	
( يحر )	• 0	1.4137	

### D == 0.78 cm/µsec



## 

### TABLE 4

### Plane Detonation Wave (fixed wall)

		Jones' Equation of State		Υ === 3	
x/Dt	u/D	F/poD <sup>2</sup>	u/D	P/p D2	
1.0000	.2041	°5011	°500	°5200	
°9760	-2001	°5005°	<b>2380</b>	°5385	
<b>.862</b> 4	.1821	·1340	.1812	°1873	
<b>.8037</b>	a1672	o1713	°15 <b>1</b> 9	.1642	
07513	a1517	.1608	·1257	·1452	
o7102	o1382	°1217	.1051	.1313	
°6785	<b>1256</b>	o11,39	•0393	<b>°1206</b>	
. 6346	°1020	.1299	o0673	<b>.1082</b>	
·6040	<sub>e</sub> 0800	.1178	°0520	°09967	
•5293	0203	°08797	.0149	°08030	
.5010	0	07763	.0005	07430	
•5000			0	•07407	
0	0	•07768	0	-07407	

### TABLE 5

	1
~~	m
- La	1 11

.

**P** ., £

(CJ) 0796	• 11.026
₀780	10.927
.760	10.703
.740	10.258
°720	90457
•700	8,327
° 680	7+255
°99°	6.4.88
a640	5.828
°620	5.168
° <del>6</del> 00	4.572
°280	3.862
<b>~560</b>	2.986
o540	1.724
°20	0.481
٥500	0.951
<b>.480</b>	1.264
.460	1.525
ol40	1.750
.420	1.950
400ء	2,130
o380	2.300
o360	2.460
0340	2.620
°350	2.780
•300	2.940

APPROVED FOR PUBLIC RELEASE

## -13-TABLE 6

.

X/Dt

0

1.00000
•99756
<b>•98821</b>
•96902
•93714
•39314
•94641
<b>.</b> 80564
°77101
•74077
•67032
·60653
°27723

°49659

.47688

0

٩

x/Dt

.

K

1.00000	.2041
°99697	<b>•1936</b>
·98544	.1319
.96206	<b>•16</b> 37
·921,16	o1539
<b>.</b> 87248	•1376
•81909	°1550
•77460	a <b>1</b> 080
a73549	°0957
°70158	·0845
°62856	•0578
°56473	°0343
o50839	°0158
·45893	°0031
126ءليا	0

u/D



0

	Expanding Wave	(r.#3)
x/Dt	u/D	p/pop <sup>2</sup>
1.00000	°2500	°5200
°2220	.2400	-2400
· <b>9</b> 9963	·2300	°5200
099639	°2200	°5500
099197	°5100	°5105
° 93599	°5000	°5007
o96929	<b>.</b> 1300	o1812
.94564	°1600	<b>°16</b> 56
°91456	o1400	.1449
.87577	.1200	<b>-1282</b>
° 92920	<i>•</i> 1000	o1127
°77500	°0800	·09843
o71329	°0600	·08555
°64383	00400	·07408
.56457	°0500	.06407
°4536	0	-05531
0	0	•05531



-----

P/poD2

•2041 •1936 •1820 •1691 •1553 •1410 •1284 •1194 •10940 •10110 •08619 •07440

06537

05979

05932

c05932

1





Acureet Essen 201, Normal Contra a South Statement - South Environment - South Statement - South State

<u>.</u> ÷7. FIGURE 그는 17: i Fi 1:2 - 7 /prob. 4 121-122 APPROVED comp B po - 167 (prob. N) F . . 10.4. 00 D2 EASE 1911-1911-1 0.1 4 1. - - -.... 0 1.0 0.2 0.1 0.5 0.3 0 0.9 0.8 0.7 0.6 0.4  $T/T_{-}$ 

APPROVED

FOR

PUBLIC

RELEASE



0

1.4 1.3 1.2 0.9

1.0

7/10

1.1

0.8 0.7 0.6

0.4

0.5

APPROVED

FOR

PUBLIC

RELEASE

	:	:	•••
 	•••		•••

UNCLASSIFIED

DOCUMENT ROOM

DATE: 7/29/44 REC. NGT REC.







ł

ţ,