LA-LZ/Z				
Conv 8 of	56			المراجع المراجع المراجع المراجع المراجع المراجع المراجع
Series A				
<pre>station</pre>				and a second second Second second
n a santa ya kata sa ana ana ana ana ana ana ana ana ana	t	<b>.</b>		[But when the start of a start
				्रि अन्त्रिय राज्यसम्बद्धाः स्टब्स् स्टब्स् सम्प्रदेशसम्
an a		na na filo provinski se na	an a sea an an Sea an Anna an A	
ang kang bang mang bertakan pengan menantan pengan pengan bertakan pengan pengan pengan pengan pengan pengan p Pengan pengan	ا های است. استان و در این این میشود به استان است ا این این این این این این این این این این	an chuir feidin a' san reacailte a' rinn feirinn feirinn an san san san san san san san san sa	n n produktion faith descendent and source and the second s	
			• • بوالا دین سر میشند. - • • • •	
				(a) A strategy and the second s
			· · · · · ·	
			<b>1</b> • •	Fig. 1. States in the state of the state
			, , <u>,</u> ,	د. این از محمد باده این میوندونس و در محمد به دست و روز و در در در در در از این از این از این در در در در در این این این این این این این این این این این
$\label{eq:second} \begin{split} & = \frac{1}{2} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} dt  dt  dt  dt  dt  dt  dt  dt $	n constant a constant a const n an print a constant a const n an print a constant a constant a	inne a search ann an Sharan an Sharan an Sharan Sharan Sharan Sharan Anna Sharan Anna Sharan Sharan Sharan Sharan Anna Sharan	andra anna camada a seonada 1≩1 1⊊1 - 1 - 1 - 1 - 1 - 1 - 1	
a and according to the second			· · · · · · · · · · ·	
			• 	
a an				
			•	
••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••				<ul> <li>Monto and the second secon second second sec</li></ul>
	VERIFIED	UNCLASSIFIED		ې د د د د د د د د د د د د د د د د د د د
	NPA	6/14/79	-	
an a		•	;	
				i i i i i i i i i i i i i i i i i i i
			•	
91				n general a construir ann a' a construir su construir san general The construir ann ann an ann ann ann ann ann ann ann
02			ż	<ul> <li>A second sec second second sec</li></ul>
339				
338			1. 	
3 3 3		• 24127 • 24144 • 1414	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	
			ta ta a su	n ng na na ng na ng na ng na ng na ng
				ананананананананананананананананананан
and the second				



LOS ALAMOS SCIENTIFIC LABORATORY

OF

THE UNIVERSITY OF CALIFORNIA

OCT 2 9 1951

October 13, 1951

This document consists of 15 pages

Classification changed to UNCLASSIFIED THREE-VELOCITY NEUTRON DIFFUSION CALCULATIONS

Per for

BY REPORT LIBRARY

Report Written by:

Bengt Carlson

URSSIFICATION CHANGED TO UNUMAD by suthority of the U.S. Atomic FOR AN UNTAMPED ORALLOY SPHERE

Work done by:

Bengt Carlson Dura Sweeney

CRITICALITY HAZARDS



## UNCLASSIFIED

PUBLICLY RELEASABLE

LA-1272

Classification Group

126/95

APPROVED FOR PUBLIC

# UNCLASSIFIED

CRITICALITY HAZARDS

LA-1272

Los Alamos Report Library J R. Oppenheimer

1-20 21

#### Standard Distribution

Argonne National Laboratory	22-23
Atomic Energy Commission, Washington	24-25
Carbide & Carbon Chemicals Company (K-25 Plant)	26-27
Carbide & Carbon Chemicals Company (Y-12 Area)	28-29
du Pont Company	30-31
General Electric Company, Richland	32-33
Hanford Operations Office	34
Idaho Operations Office	35
Knolls Atomic Power Laboratory	36-37
Oak Ridge National Laboratory (X-10)	38-39
Patent Branch, Washington	40
Savannah River Operations Office	41
Technical Information Service, Oak Ridge	42-56



# UNCLASSIFIED



### UNCLASSIFIED

#### THREE-VELOCITY NEUTRON DIFFUSION CALCULATIONS

#### FOR AN UNTAMPED ORALLOY SPHERE

The results of a series of neutron diffusion calculations relating to an untamped Oralloy sphere are presented in detail in this report. The three-velocity neutron transport theory was taker as the basis for the analytical work preceding the computations. This particular theory, also known as the transport approximation, is defined in LA-1271 and known to be quite accurate for assemblies primarily involving materials of large atomic weight. For a sphere of uniform density and atomic composition the transport theory has another advantage. It can readily be formulated in terms of simultaneous integral equations (in our case three), relatively simple in form, involving the collision densities  $M_g(r)$  (coll/cm<sup>3</sup>sec) and a set of parameter values describing the material.  $N_{g}(r)$  is, as indicated, a function of the radial distance r(cm),  $0 \le r \le a$ , and the velocity index g, g = 1, 2, 3. The parameters, fifteen in number for the three-velocity theory, are comprised of the velocities  $v_g$  (cm/sh), the inverse mean free paths  $\sigma_g$  (l/cm), and the transfer coefficients c (number of neutrons of velocity v emerging per collision of neutron of velocity  $v_h$ ).

The simultaneous integral equations referred to above can be solved



-3-

UNCLASSIFIED

by rather elementary numberical methods based on dividing the interval (0,a) into L intervals and replacing the continuous function  $N_g(r)$  by step-functions. This reduces in effect the three integral equations to the same number of matrix equations, i.e., to three groups of L simultaneous equations. One finds that the latter can be solved by standard iteration methods, and readily so with IBM machines to do the arithmetic. The procedure is described in detail in LA-1271 which also includes a section with illustrative numerical examples. For the purposes of this report L was taken to be four and the intervals of equal length. Preliminary calculation had shown that such radial resolution would, in fact, give results accurate to within a few per mil.

The calculations were carried out in parallel for two sets of parameter values, given in Tables I and II together with the data required for their computation. Most of the cross-sections in these tables were supplied by H. Bethe. Among these the inelastic cross-sections were considered the most uncertain element. The total scattering crosssection, regarded as the sum of two components, one elastic and one inelastic, was therefore split in two ways. The resulting two sets of parameters are referred to below as the <u>First Set</u> and the <u>Second Set</u>, respectively, the latter having the larger inelastic cross-sections. Note that only the transfer coefficients c<sub>gh</sub> are different for the two sets.

The main purpose of the work reported on here was to obtain

-4-



theoretical predictions for some of the experiments planned in connection with the untamped Oralloy reactor now under construction at the Pajarito Laboratory. It is hoped that a comparison of theory with experiment will indirectly lead to a Third Set (and possibly the final set) of parameters and cross-sections. One would then be in a better position to predict the behavior of more complicated systems involving  $U_{235}$ and  $U_{238}$ . Tables III, IV, and V give comparisons of results obtained theoretically with earlier measurements on untamped Oralloy spheres. From these comparisons it is evident that the Second Set gives the best agreement. The Oralloy reactor now under construction will have a material density of 18.8 gr/cm<sup>3</sup>. When completed the following experiments and very likely others will be considered, some being similar to earlier ones:

(1) The determination of the so-called delayed critical mass m<sub>o</sub>(kg).

(2) The measurement of  $\left(\frac{dl/M}{dm}\right)_{m=m_O}$ , the rate of change near m=m<sub>O</sub> of the inverse of the neutron multiplication due to a central fission source.

(3) The measurement of  $\left(\frac{dec}{dm}\right)_{m=m_{O}}$ , the exponential decay rate near  $m=m_{O}$  of the neutron population in the assembly.

(4) The measurement of 1/M for various values of m less than m<sub>o</sub>.

(5) The determination of approximate neutron velocity spectra, both at the center of the sphere and outside the sphere, by means of fission detectors.

-5-



(6) The determination of detailed velocity spectra by photographic plate and other techniques. Such spectra will, in particular, give us better average velocities  $v_g$  for our calculations.

Using the Second Set of parameters we obtain the following theoretical results for the new reactor:

(1) 
$$m_o = 52.45 \text{ kg.}$$
  
(2)  $\left(\frac{d1/M}{dm}\right)_{m=m_o} = -.00546 \text{ }1/\text{cm}^3$ .  
(3)  $\left(\frac{de}{dm}\right)_{m=m_o} = .00854 \text{ }1/\text{cm}^3\text{sh.}$ 

Taking the fission cross-sections for 25 and 28 from this report, and estimating average fission cross-sections for Pu, 23, and 37 with the aid of LA-140A and LA-520, we construct the following table:

		Fission Cross-Sections in barns					
	Detector	g=l	<b>g</b> ≡2	g=3			
	28	-	.05	.50			
	Оу	1.4	1.17	1.15			
	Pu	1.95	1.94	1.94			
	23	2.7	2.2	1.85			
	37	-	1.1	1.45			
	Į	l i	1	ł			

Using this table and the neutron flux spectra given in Table VI we can calculate the relative fission rates per atom in 28, Oy, Pu, 23, and 37 fission detectors. Taking the fission rate in Oralloy due to a fission source to be unity we obtain the following relative fission rates:

-6-

and the second

Detector	Relative Fission Rates					
Detector	Center of Reactor	Outside Reactor				
28	.14	.16				
Oy	1.06	1.05				
Pu	1.64	1.64				
23	1.93	1.90				
37	.66	•73				

Further calculations, in particular revisions of the above, will be made when new measurements become available.

The one-velocity results quoted in Tables IV and V were obtained by the methods of LA-1273, p.p. 8-10.



APPROVED FOR PUBLIC RELEASE

-7-

#### TABLE I THREE-VELOCITY CROSS-SECTIONS

(FIRST SET)

Uranium 235

Source: Memo by H. Bethe, January 17, 1951

	vg	E	Cross-Sections in barns (10 <sup>-24</sup> cm <sup>2</sup> )					
g	cm/shake	Mev	പ	o tr	σ in	of	otr t	
1	6	0.0-0.4	.22	6.28	0.0	1.50	8.0	
2	12	0.4-1.6	.06	2.69	1.0	1.25	5.0	
3	24	1.6-∞	.00	1.50	1.2	1.20	3.9	
]	1						<b>.</b> .	

Supplementary data: A = 235 (atomic masses), Relative fission yields:  $\bar{\nu}_1 = .100$ ,  $\bar{\nu}_2 = .425$ ,  $\bar{\nu}_3 = .475$ . Fission yields:  $\nu_1 = .250$ ,  $\nu_2 = 1.0625$ ,  $\nu_3 = 1.1875$ ,  $\sum_g \nu_g = 2.50$ . Inelastic spectrum:  $\lambda_{12} = 1.000$ ,  $\lambda_{13} = .667$ ,  $\lambda_{23} = .333$ . Avogadro's number:  $A_0 = .6026$  (atomic masses per  $10^{-24}$  gr).

#### Uranium 238

Estimated from data in memo by Richtmyer, T-137 dated 9/28/49.

	V		Cross-Sections in barns (10 <sup>-24</sup> cm <sup>2</sup> )					
g.	g cm/shake	Mev	6	$\sigma_{\rm g}^{\rm tr}$	σ. in	o- f	otr t	
1	6	0.0-0.4	.20	7.80	0.0	.00	8.0	
2	12	0.4-1.6	.10	3.35	1.5	.05	5.0	
3	24	1.6- ∞	.05	1.65 <sup>.</sup>	1.7	.50	3.9	

#### -8-



TABLE I (Continued)

Supplementary data: A = 238, fission yields and inelastic spectrum as for Uranium 235.

Oralloy (.935 Uranium 235 and .065 Uranium 238).

Cross-Sections in barns  $(10^{-24} \text{ cm}^2)$ vg cm/shake E g Mev  $\sigma_{s}^{tr}$  $\sigma_t^{tr}$  $\sigma_{in}$ σf പ്പ 6 0.0-0.4 .219 6.379 1.402 8.0 1 -2 12 0.4-1.6 .063 2.733 1.032 1.172 5.0 1.6- ∞ 3 24 .003 1.510 1.233 1.154 3.9

Calculated from the cross-sections given above.

Supplementary data  $A = .935 \cdot 235 + .065 \cdot 238 = 235.2$ . Fission yields and inelastic spectrum as for Uranium 235.

Oralloy Transfer Coefficients  $c_{gh}$  and Macroscopic Cross-Sections  $\sigma_{gh}$ 

Calculated from	Oralloy	cross-sections	by	formulae	given	in	LA-1271.	
-----------------	---------	----------------	----	----------	-------	----	----------	--

	c Tran			
h g	1	2	3	్
1	.8412	.2650	.2847	·3792
2	.1862	•7956	.4198	.2370
3	.2081	.2784	.7386	.1849

Conversion factor  $N_0$  for  $\sigma$  (barns to 1/cm):

 $N_{o} = \beta A_{o}/A = .04740 \text{ using } \beta = 18.5 \text{ gr/cm}^{3}$ .

-9-



#### 

#### TABLE II THREE-VELOCITY CROSS-SECTIONS

#### (SECOND SET)

Uranium 235

 $\sigma_g^{tr}$  changed to 2.19 for g=2, and to 0.90 for g=3.  $\sigma_{in}$  changed to 1.5 for g=2, and to 1.8 for g=3.  $\nu_g$  changed to .249, 1.05825, and 1.18275, with  $\sum_g \nu_g = 2.49$ . All other data unchanged.

Uranium 238

 $\sigma_g^{tr}$  changed to 2.60 for g=2, and to 0.80 for g=3.  $\sigma_{in}$  changed to 2.25 for g=2, and to 2.55 for g=3.  $\nu_g$  changed to .249, 1.05825, and 1.18275. All other data unchanged.

Oralloy

 $\sigma_g^{tr}$  changed to 2.217 for g=2, and to .8935 for g=3.  $\sigma_{in}$  changed to 1.548 for g=2, and to 1.8495 for g=3.  $\nu_g$  changed to .249, 1.05825, and 1.18275. All other data unchanged.

Oralloy Transfer Coefficients c and Macroscopic Cross-Section  $\sigma_g$ .

	c Tran			
h g	1	2	3	ട്
1	.8410	.3680	.3899	•3792
2	.1855	.6915	.4713	.2370
3	.2073	.2772	.5789	.1849

Calculated from Table I as modified above.

-10-



#### TABLE III

#### COMPARISON OF FIRST AND SECOND SET WITH EXPERIMENT

#### AT CRITICAL MASS

	First	Second	Experiment
m <sub>o</sub> (kg)	56.33	54.16	54.2
$\left(\frac{dl/M}{dm}\right)_{m=m_o}$	00508	00529	0056
( <sup>d≪</sup> / <sub>dm</sub> ) <sub>m=m</sub> ₀	.00839	.00814	.0075
₹ (1) (1)	10.524	9.749	~9.0 <sup>(4)</sup>
$\overline{\mathbf{v}_{e}}$ (2)	11.235	10.407	-
<del>ور</del> (2)	.2633	.2754	-
- (3)	1.3176	1.3035	-

- (1)  $\overline{v}$  = average neutron velocity in the sphere,  $\overline{v_e}$  = average neutron velocity outside the sphere; averaged using n and  $\binom{n_g}{e}$  respectively as weight functions.
- (2) = average inverse mean free path in the sphere, averaged using v n as weight function.
- (3) c̄ = average number of neutrons per collision, averaged using
   v n as weight function.
- (4) Estimated by H. Bethe from experimental data.

-11-



#### 

#### TABLE IV

#### COMPARISON WITH EXPERIMENT

(First Set)

	1/M			V		
m/m <sub>o</sub>	(1) 1-Vel.	3-Vel.	Experiment <sup>(2)</sup>	v	ve	<u>6</u>
.0	1.0	1.0	1.0	-	13.913	-
•3	•3279	•3309	.370	10.551	11.466	.2628
•5	.1939	.1965	.235	10.536	11.344	.2631
•7	.1000	.1016	.115	10.530	11.283	.2632
.85	.04528	.04599	.055	10.526	11.254	.2633
•95	.01409	.01442	.018	10.525	11.241	.2633
.98	.00552	.00566	.007	10.525	11.237	.2633
1.0	.0	.0	.0	10.524	11.235	.2633
m <sub>o</sub> (kg)	56.33	56.33	54.2 <sup>(3)</sup>	-	-	-
$\left(\frac{d1/M}{dm}\right)_{m=m_o}$	00487	00508	(3) 0056±.0003	-	-	-
$\left(\frac{\mathrm{d}}{\mathrm{d}}\right)_{\mathrm{m=m}}$	.00868	.00839	.0075(3)	-	-	-

- (1) Calculated using  $\sigma = .2633$ , v = 10.524, f = .3126 (corresponding to  $m_{c} = 56.33$ ), and the formulae of LA-1273.
- (2) LA-1155, p. 26, by V. Josephson, L. Woodward, and R. Paine.
- (3) LA-1209, H. Paxton and J. Orndorff.

-12-



#### TABLE V

#### COMPARISON WITH EXPERIMENT

(Second Set)

	1/M					
m/m <sub>o</sub>	1-Vel. <sup>(1)</sup>	3-Vel.	(2) Experiment	v	v <sub>e</sub>	Ь
.0	1.0	1.0	1.0	-	13.913	-
•3	.3292	•3339	.370	9.762	10.666	.2751
•5	.1951	.1984	.235	9.753	10.527	.2753
•7	.1005	.1026	.115	9.750	10.458	.2754
.85	.04545	.04648	.055	9.748	10.428	.2754
•95	.01448	.01457	.018	9.749	10.413	.2754
•98	.00572	.00572	.007	9.748	10.409	.2754
1.0	.0	.0	.0	9.749	10.407	.2754
m <sub>o</sub> (kg)	54.16	54.16	54.2 <sup>(3)</sup>	-	-	-
$\left(\frac{dl/M}{dm}\right)_{m=m_o}$	00509	00529	(3) 0056±.0003	-	-	-
$\left(\frac{\mathrm{d}\boldsymbol{\alpha}}{\mathrm{d}\mathrm{m}}\right)_{\mathrm{m=m_o}}$	.00837	.00814	.0075 <sup>(3)</sup>	-	-	-

(1) Calculated using  $\sigma = .2754$ , v = 9.749, f = .2984 (corresponding to  $m_0 = 54.16$ ), and the formulae of LA-1273.

- (2) LA-1155, p. 26, by V. Josephson, L. Woodward, and R. Paine.
- (3) LA-1209, H. Paxton and J. Orndorff.

-13-





#### TABLE VI

 $\sigma$  vn, vn, and n as functions of m/m and v.

(Second Set)

			σvn			vn			n			(vn) <sub>e</sub>		
m/m <sub>o</sub>	a(cm)	m(kg)	g=1	g=2	g=3	g=l	g=2	g=3	g=1	g=2	g=3	g=1	g=2	g=3
.0 .3 .5 .7 .85 .95 .98 1.0	5.941 7.044 7.880 8.406 8.724 8.815 8.874	16.25 27.08 37.91 46.04 51.45 53.08 54.16	.1419 .1428 .1432 .1434 .1435 .1436 .1436	.0796 .0785 .0779 .0775 .0774 .0773 .0773	.0536 .0540 .0543 .0545 .0546 .0546 .0546	.3743 .3765 .3777 .3782 .3785 .3786 .3786 .3786	.3357 .3313 .3286 .3272 .3264 .3262 .3260	.2900 .2922 .2937 .2946 .2951 .2952 .2954	.0624 .0628 .0629 .0630 .0631 .0631 .0631	.0280 .0276 .0274 .0273 .0272 .0272 .0272 .0272	.0121 .0122 .0122 .0123 .0123 .0123 .0123	.1 .2998 .3114 .3173 .3199 .3212 .3215 .3217	.425 .3508 .3456 .3430 .3419 .3413 .3412 .3411	.475 .3494 .3430 .3397 .3382 .3375 .3375 .3373 .3372

#### TABLE VII

-14-

vn as a function of r and v for  $m/m_0 = 1$ .

	F	irst Set		Second Set				
r <sub>i</sub>	g=l	g=2	g=3	g=l	g=2	g=3		
1/8 a <sub>0</sub>	.00577	.00648	.00604	.00728	.00611	.00547		
3/8 a <sub>o</sub>	.00503	.00567	.00529	.00634	.00534	.00479		
5/8 a <sub>o</sub>	.00370	.00422	.00396	.00467	.00397	.00357		
7/8 a <sub>o</sub>	.00205	.00242	.00231	.00258	.00228	· .00208		
$\sum r_i^2(vn)_i$	.3082	•3559	.3360	.3786	.3260	.2954		



			1.1		. :
••		•		• •	•
••					
•		•		•	
•	٠	••	•		
•	•	•	•	•	
• •	•	•	٠	•	
٠		•			

#### TABLE VIII

vn as a function of  $m/m_o$ , r, and v.

m/m<sub>o</sub> g≡l g≖2  $r_i$ **g**=3 1/8 a .03472 .03278 .02627 3/8 a 5/8 a .01667 .01483 .01248 •3 .00861 .00744 .00977 7/8 a .00411 .00510 .00464 1/8 a .02064 .01884 .01550 3/8 a .01140 .00989 .00853 •5 5/8 a .00543 .00617 .00712 7/8 a .00376 .00337 .00304 1/8 a.01348 .01193 .01010 3/8 a .00870 .00744 .00653 •7 5/8 a .00580 .00497 .00443 7/8 a .00311 .00277 .00251 . 1/8 a .00995 .00859 .00745 3/8 a.00736 .00624 .00554 .85 5/8 a .00439 .00515 .00394 7/8 a .00281 .00248 .00227 1/8 a .00810 .00686 .00607 3/8 a 5/8 a .006666 .00561 .00502 •95 .00481 .00410 .00369 7/8 a .00265 .00214 .00234 1/8 **a** .00760 .00640 .00570 3/8 a .00646 .00544 .00487 .98 5/8 a .00472 .00402 .00362 7<sup>'</sup>/8 a .00261 .00230 .00210  $1/8 a_0$ .00728 .00611 .00547 3/8 ao .00479 .00634 .00534 1.0 5/8 ao .00467 .00397 .00357 7/8 a .00258 .00228 .00208



(Second Set)

REC. FROM 24	<u>.</u>
DATE 10/22/51	
RECNO. REC	
	ADDOUTED FOR DURITC DETEASE