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## ABSTRACT

A method is given for sampling the nonrelativistic Fermi-Dirac electron energy density for all values of the "degeneracy parameter" $\eta$ on the range $-\infty<\eta \leqslant 50$. The efficiency of the various rejection techniques employed is never less than $30 \%$, and drops below $50 \%$ only for a short range of $\eta$ values around $\eta=2$. The range can certainly be extended beyond $\eta=50$, the efficiency there being $71 \%$, and decreasing very slowly.

## I. THE FERMI-DIRAC DENSITY

The nonrelativistic Fermi-Dirac density for the electron velocity (Ref. 1, p. 333) is given by

$$
\begin{align*}
& P\left(v_{x}, v_{y}, v_{z}\right) d v_{x} d v_{y} d v_{z}=\frac{2 m^{3}}{n h^{3}} \Phi(E) d v_{x} d v_{y} d v_{z},  \tag{1}\\
& \Phi(E)=1 /\left[\exp \left(\frac{E}{\theta}-\eta\right)+1\right], \tag{2}
\end{align*}
$$

where $m=9.1096 \times 10^{-28} \mathrm{~g}$ is the electron mass, n is the number of electrons per $\mathrm{cm}^{3}, \mathrm{~h}=6.6262 \times 10^{-27}$ erg sec is Planck's constant, $\mathrm{E}=\frac{1}{2} \mathrm{mv}^{2}$ erg is the electron energy, with $v^{2}=v_{x}^{2}+v_{y}^{2}+v_{z}^{2}$, and $\theta=k T$ erg is the "temperature," $\mathrm{k}=1.3806 \times 10^{-16} \mathrm{erg} /{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{K}$ being the Boltzmann constant. In Eq. (2), $\eta$ is the "degeneracy parameter," depending on n and $\theta$ in such a way as to make

$$
\begin{equation*}
\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} P\left(v_{x}, v_{y}, v_{z}\right) d v_{x} d v_{y} d v_{z}=1 . \tag{3}
\end{equation*}
$$

If $Q(v, \theta, \phi)$ denotes the corresponding "spherical coordinate density," then $Q(v, \theta, \phi) d v d \theta d \phi=\frac{2 m^{3}}{n h^{3}} \Phi(E)\left(v^{2} \sin \theta\right) d v d \theta d \phi$, and the speed density is, therefore, given by
$q(v) d v=\frac{8 \pi m^{3}}{n h^{3}} \Phi(E) v^{2} d v, 0<v<\infty$.
Since $E=\frac{1}{2} \mathrm{mv}^{2}$, we obtain for the energy density
$f(E) d E=q(v) \frac{d v}{d E} d E=\frac{8 \sqrt{2} \pi m^{3 / 2}}{n h^{3}} E^{\frac{1}{2}} \Phi(E) d E, 0<E<\infty$.

Setting $y=E / \theta$, the $y$ density is seen to be

$$
\begin{align*}
p(y) d y & =f(E) \frac{d E}{d y} d y=\frac{8 \sqrt{2} \pi m^{3 / 2} \theta^{3 / 2}}{n h^{3}} \cdot \frac{y^{\frac{1}{2}} d y}{e^{y-\eta}+1}  \tag{6}\\
& \equiv C^{-1} \frac{y^{\frac{1}{2}} d y}{e^{y-n}+1}, 0<y<\infty . \tag{7}
\end{align*}
$$

From the necessary relation

$$
\int_{0}^{\infty} p(y) d y=1
$$

it follows that the $n, \theta$-dependent parameter $\eta$ must be determined so that
$I(\eta) \equiv \int_{0}^{\infty} \frac{y^{\frac{1}{2}} d y}{e^{y-\eta}+1}=\frac{h^{3}}{8 \sqrt{2} \pi m^{3 / 2}} \cdot \frac{n}{\theta^{3 / 2}} \equiv C$.

It is easy to verify that $I(\eta)$ and $I^{\prime}(\eta)$ are positive
for $-\infty<\eta<\infty$, while

$$
\begin{aligned}
& I(\eta)=\int_{0}^{\infty} \frac{y^{\frac{1}{2}} e^{-y} d y}{e^{-\eta}+e^{-y}}<\frac{\Gamma(3 / 2)}{e^{-\eta}} \rightarrow 0 \text { as } \eta \rightarrow-\infty, \\
& I(\eta)>\int_{0}^{\eta} \frac{y^{\frac{1}{2}} d y}{e^{y-\eta}+1}>\int_{0}^{\eta} \frac{y^{\frac{1}{2}} d y}{2}=\frac{\eta^{3 / 2}}{3} \rightarrow \infty \text { as } \eta \rightarrow \infty
\end{aligned}
$$

Thus $I(\eta)$ strictly increases from $I(-\infty)=0$ to $I(\infty)=\infty$, and for every $C>0$ in Eq. (8), there is a unique $\eta$ on $(-\infty, \infty)$ such that $I(\eta)=C$.

Values of $I(\eta)$ have been tabulated (Ref. 2,3) at intervals of 0.1 for $-4 \leqslant \eta \leqslant 20$. Table I below gives an idea of the variation of $I(\eta)$ on this range.

TABLE I
THE FUNCTION I ( $\eta$ )

| $n$ | $I(\eta)$ |
| :---: | :---: |
|  |  |
| -4 | .016128 |
| -3 | .043366 |
| -2 | .114588 |
| -1 | .290501 |
| 0 | .678094 |
| 1 | 1.39638 |
| 2 | 2.50246 |
| 2.5 | 3.1966 |
| 3 | 3.97699 |
| 4 | 5.77073 |
| 5 | 7.83797 |
| 6 | 10.1443 |
| 7 | 12.6646 |
| 8 | 15.3805 |
| 9 | 18.2776 |
| 10 | 21.3445 |
| 11 | 24.5718 |
| 12 | 27.9518 |
| 13 | 31.4775 |
| 14 | 35.1430 |
| 15 | 38.9430 |
| 16 | 42.8730 |
| 17 | 46.9286 |
| 18 | 51.1061 |
| 19 | 55.4019 |
| 20 | 59.8128 |

Outside of these limits the following approximations are recommended (Ref. 3):

$$
\begin{align*}
& I(\eta) \cong \frac{\sqrt{\pi}}{2} e^{\eta}, \eta<-4  \tag{9}\\
& I(\eta) \cong \frac{2}{3} \eta^{3 / 2}, \eta>20 \tag{10}
\end{align*}
$$

One may note that $\frac{\sqrt{\pi}}{2} \mathrm{e}^{-4}=.016232$, while $\frac{2}{3}(20)^{3 / 2}=59.628$, as compared with the values $I(-4)$ and $I(20)$ in TABLE I
II. PRELTMINARY DETERMINATION OF C AND $\eta$
For a given density n and temperature $\theta=\mathrm{kT}$, one first computes the value of

$$
\begin{align*}
& \mathrm{C}=\frac{\mathrm{h}^{3}}{8 \sqrt{2} \pi \mathrm{~m}^{3 / 2}} \cdot \frac{\mathrm{n}}{\theta^{3 / 2}} \\
& \cong 1.835 \times 10^{-16} \frac{\mathrm{n}}{\mathrm{~T}^{3 / 2}} \tag{11}
\end{align*}
$$

Guided by the above remarks we then determine the corresponding $\eta$ as follows:
(a) If $C<.016128$, $\eta$ is given by $e^{\eta}=\frac{2}{\sqrt{\pi}} C$,
(b) if . $016128 \leqslant C \leqslant 59.8128, \eta$ is found from the tables cited (Ref. 2, 3),
(c) if $C>59.8128$, then $\eta=(3 C / 2)^{2 / 3}$.

For the known values of $C>0$ and $\eta$ on $(-\infty, \infty)$ one must now sample the density

$$
\begin{equation*}
p(y)=c^{-1} \frac{y^{\frac{1}{2}}}{e^{y-\eta}+1}, 0<y<\infty \tag{12}
\end{equation*}
$$

for $y>0$, and set the energy $E=\theta y$. Due to the curious nature of the function $p(y)$ we are forced to use two different methods depending on the value of $\eta$.
III. THE CASE $(-\infty<\eta \leqslant 5 / 2)$

For a value of $\eta \leqslant 5 / 2$, we write

$$
\begin{align*}
p(y) d y & =C^{-1} \Gamma(3 / 2) e^{\eta} \cdot \frac{y^{\frac{1}{2}} e^{-y} d y}{\Gamma(3 / 2)} \cdot \frac{1}{1+e^{\eta-y}}  \tag{13}\\
& \equiv A^{-1} \cdot p_{1}(y) d y \cdot h(y)
\end{align*}
$$

where $p_{1}(y)$ is the density

$$
\begin{equation*}
p_{1}(y)=y^{\frac{1}{2}} e^{-y} / \Gamma(3 / 2), 0<y<\infty \tag{14}
\end{equation*}
$$

$h(y)$ is the "acceptance factor"

$$
\begin{equation*}
0<h(y)=1 /\left(1+e^{\eta-y}\right)<1,0<y<\infty \tag{15}
\end{equation*}
$$

and the efficiency of the corresponding rejection technique is

$$
\begin{equation*}
A=2 C / \sqrt{\pi} e^{\eta} \tag{16}
\end{equation*}
$$

Following the usual method (Ref. 4, R 7), we sample $p_{1}(y)$ for $y$ on $(0, \infty)$, and accept $y$ with probability $h(y)$.

The density $p_{1}(y)$ is easily sampled (Ref. 4, C 32); a brief indication of the routine follows:

Sampling $y^{\frac{1}{2}} e^{-y} / \Gamma(3 / 2)$ for $y$ on $(0, \infty)$.

1. Generate random numbers, $\mathrm{r}_{1}, \mathrm{r}_{2}$ on $(0,1)$.
2. Is $S \equiv r_{1}^{2}+r_{2}^{2} \leqslant 1$ ? Yes (advance to (3)), No (return to (1)).
3. $\operatorname{Set} \mu_{i}=-\frac{\log S}{S} \cdot r_{i}^{2}, i=1,2$.
4. Generate next random number $r$.
5. Set $y=-\log r+\mu_{i}$.
(Two samples of $y$ are obtained, which may be used successively.)
The efficiency A in Eq. (16), based on the values of $C=I(\eta)$ in TABLE $I$, are listed for various values of $\eta \leqslant 3$ in TABLE II. Note that, for the recommended approximation $e^{\eta}=2 C / \sqrt{\pi}(\eta<-4)$, the efficiency $A$ appears to be 1 , although, of course, there may be some rejection.

In principle, the above method applies for all $\eta$. We have drawn the line at $\eta=5 / 2$ simply because the method of the next part is relatively easy to apply for $\eta>5 / 2$, as will appear. If enough trouble were taken in finding the minimum $h$ in part IV, the $\eta$ dividing line could be pushed to the left, with a resulting increase in efficiency.
IV. THE CASE ( $\eta>5 / 2$ ).

We first note that the function
$p(y)=C^{-1} \frac{y^{\frac{1}{2}}}{e^{y-\eta}+1}$

TABLE II

## EFFICIENCY A

| $\eta$ | $A$ |
| :---: | :---: |
| -4 | .99 |
| -3 | .98 |
| -2 | .96 |
| -1 | .89 |
| 0 | .77 |
| 1 | .58 |
| 2 | .38 |
| 2.5 | .30 |
| 3 | .22 |

is decreasing for $y>n$, provided $\eta$ exceeds 1. For, an easy computation shows that the inequality $\mathrm{p}^{\prime}(\mathrm{y})<0$ follows from the relation $(2 y-1) e^{y-\eta}$ $>(2 \eta-1) e^{0}>1$.

If we define

$$
\begin{equation*}
A_{1}=\int_{0}^{\eta} p(y) d y, A_{2}=1-A_{1} \tag{17}
\end{equation*}
$$

we may sample $A_{1}^{-1} p(y)$ dy on ( $0, \eta$ ) with probability $A_{1}$, and $A_{2}^{-1} p(y)$ dy on $(\eta, \infty)$ with probability $A_{2}$ (Ref. 4, C 3).
(a) The first of these is simple, for we may write

$$
\begin{equation*}
A_{1}^{-1} p(y) d y=A_{1}^{-1} C^{-1}\left((2 / 3) \eta^{3 / 2}\right)\left(e^{-\eta}+1\right)^{-1} \cdot \frac{y^{\frac{1}{2}} d y}{(2 / 3) \eta^{3 / 2}} \cdot \frac{e^{-\eta}+1}{e^{y-\eta}+1} . \tag{18}
\end{equation*}
$$

Thus we easily sample the density $y^{\frac{1}{2} /((2 / 3)} \eta^{3 / 2}$ ) for $y=\eta r^{2 / 3}$ on ( $0, \eta$ ), accepting $y$ with probability $\left(e^{-\eta}+1\right) /\left(e^{y-\eta}+1\right)<1$, the efficiency of the technique being

$$
\begin{equation*}
\varepsilon_{1}=3 A_{1} C\left(e^{-\eta}+1\right) / 2 \eta^{3 / 2} \tag{19}
\end{equation*}
$$

This certainly exceeds $\frac{1}{2}$. For,

$$
A_{1}=\int_{0}^{\eta} C^{-1} \frac{y^{\frac{1}{2}} d y}{e^{y-\eta}+1}>C^{-1} \frac{\left((2 / 3) \eta^{3 / 2}\right)}{2}=\frac{C^{-1} \eta^{3 / 2}}{3}
$$

Thus $3 A_{1} C>\eta^{3 / 2}$ and $\varepsilon_{1}>\frac{1}{2}$ (for any $\eta>0$ ).
Note that the value of $A_{1}$ is irrelevant for the rejection technique, except insofar as it enters into the efficiency $\varepsilon_{1}$. However, $A_{1}$ is required for the probabilities in Eq. (17).

It is clear that.
$A_{1}=B / C \quad$,
where $B \equiv \int_{0}^{\eta} \frac{y^{\frac{1}{2}} d y}{e^{y-\eta}+1}$.

Hence the value of $B$ as well as $C$ is required for each $\eta \geqslant 5 / 2$ which arises. These values are listed for $\eta=3,4, \ldots, 50$ in TABLE IIT, and were obtained by numerical integration using Simpson's rule. It may be helpful to include the following routine.

Simpson's method for $B=\int_{0}^{\eta} \frac{y^{\frac{1}{2}} d y}{e^{y-\eta}+1}, \eta=3,4, \ldots, 50$.

1. $1 / 100 \rightarrow \mathrm{~d}$.
2. $e^{d} \rightarrow E$.
3. $3 \rightarrow \eta$.
4. $N=100 \eta$.
5. $0 \rightarrow y_{0}$.
6. $e^{-\eta} \rightarrow E_{0}$.
7. $0 \rightarrow Z_{0}$.
8. $0 \rightarrow n$.
9. $y_{n+1}=y_{n}+d$.
10. $E_{n+1}=E_{n} E$.
11. $Z_{n+1}=y_{n+1}^{\frac{1}{2}} /\left(1+E_{n+1}\right)$.
12. $\mathrm{n}+1 \rightarrow \mathrm{n}$.
13. Is $n<N$ ? Yes (return to (9)), No (advance to (14)).
14. $B_{\eta}=\frac{d}{3}\left[Z_{0}+Z_{N}+4\left(Z_{1}+Z_{3}+\ldots+Z_{N-1}\right)+2\left(Z_{2}+Z_{4}+\ldots+Z_{N-2}\right)\right]$.
15. Is $\eta<50$ ? Yes ( $\eta+1 \rightarrow \eta$, return to (4)), No (advance to (16)).
16. Print $B_{3}, B_{4}, \ldots, B_{50}$.
(b) To sample the second density (and this is the whole difficulty) we write

$$
\begin{equation*}
A_{2}^{-1} p(y) d y=A_{2}^{-1} C^{-1} e^{\eta} \Gamma_{\eta} H \cdot \frac{y e^{-y} d y}{\Gamma_{\eta}} \cdot \frac{H^{-1}}{y^{\frac{1}{2}}\left(1+e^{\eta-y}\right)}, \tag{22}
\end{equation*}
$$

where

$$
\begin{align*}
& H=\max 1 /\left[y^{\frac{1}{2}}\left(1+e^{\eta-y}\right)\right] \text { for } \eta<y<\infty,  \tag{23}\\
& \Gamma_{\eta}=\int_{\eta}^{\infty} y e^{-y} d y=(\eta+1) e^{-\eta}, \tag{24}
\end{align*}
$$

Hence, if we determine

$$
\begin{equation*}
h=\min \left[y^{\frac{1}{2}}\left(1+e^{n-y}\right)\right] \text { for } \eta<y<\infty, \tag{25}
\end{equation*}
$$

the density (22) becomes

$$
\begin{equation*}
A_{2}^{-1} c^{-1}(\eta+1) h^{-1} \cdot \frac{y e^{-y}}{\Gamma} \cdot \frac{h}{y^{\frac{1}{2}}\left(1+e^{\eta-y}\right)} \tag{26}
\end{equation*}
$$

We propose to sample $\mathrm{ye}^{-y} / \Gamma_{\eta}$ for $y$ on $(\eta, \infty)$, accepting $y$ with probability

$$
\begin{equation*}
h /\left[y^{\frac{1}{2}}\left(1+e^{\eta-y}\right)\right]<1 \tag{27}
\end{equation*}
$$

the efficiency of the technique being now

$$
\begin{equation*}
\varepsilon_{2}=A_{2} C h /(\eta+1) \tag{28}
\end{equation*}
$$

Recalling that $A_{2}=1-A_{1}$ and $A_{1}=B / C$, this becomes

$$
\begin{equation*}
\varepsilon_{2}=(C-B) h /(\eta+1) \tag{29}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $B$ is defined by Eq. (21), and tabulated in TABLE III.
Thus the minimum value $h$ in Eq. (25) is required not only for evaluating the efficiency $\varepsilon_{2}$, but also for the acceptance probability (27). We next turn to the determination of $h$.

For the function

$$
\begin{equation*}
h(y)=y^{\frac{1}{2}}\left(1+e^{\eta-y}\right), y \geqslant \eta, \tag{30}
\end{equation*}
$$

one may show that $h(\eta)=2 \eta^{\frac{1}{2}}, h(\infty)=\infty$, with

$$
\begin{equation*}
h^{\prime}(y)=\left[1-(2 y-1) e^{\eta-y}\right] / 2 y^{\frac{1}{2}} \tag{31}
\end{equation*}
$$

Thus $h^{\prime}(\eta)<0, h^{\prime}(\infty)=0$, and the minimum of $h(y)$ occurs at some $y_{0}>\eta$. We therefore require the unique $y_{0}>\eta$ for which $h^{\prime}(y)=0$, that is to say, for which

$$
\begin{equation*}
g(y) \equiv(2 y-1) e^{\eta-y}-1=0 \tag{32}
\end{equation*}
$$

Now $g(\eta)=(2 \eta-1)-1>0$ since $\eta>1$, and $g(\infty)=-1$. However, it can be shown that $g(y)$ is decreasing on $(\eta, \infty)$ only if $\eta>3 / 2$, and is concave up only if $\eta>5 / 2$. Hence, Newton's method for the zero $y_{0}$ of $g(y)$, with an initial $y=\eta$, is safe only if $\eta>5 / 2$, and this has dictated our requirement $\eta>5 / 2$ in the present method. The values of $h$ for $\eta=3,4$, ..., 50 are listed in TABLE III, together with the corresponding efficiencies $\varepsilon_{2}$.

The zero $y_{0}$ and associated minimum $h$ of $h(y)$ were computed by the following routine.

```
Newton's method for \(h=\min _{(\eta, \infty)}\left[y^{\frac{1}{2}}\left(1+e^{\eta-y}\right)\right], \eta=3,4, \ldots, 50\).
```

1. $3 \rightarrow \eta$.
2. $\eta \rightarrow y$.
3. $y^{\prime}=y+\frac{(2 y-1) e^{n-y}-1}{(2 y-3) e^{n-y}}$.
4. Is $y^{\prime}-y<.001$ ? No ( $y^{\prime} \rightarrow y$, return to (3)), Yes (advance to (5)).
5. $h_{\eta}=\left(y^{\prime}\right)^{\frac{1}{2}}\left(1+e^{\eta-y^{\prime}}\right)$.
6. Is $\eta<50$ ? Yes $(\eta+1 \rightarrow \eta$, return to (2)), No (advance to (7)).
7. Print $h_{3}, h_{4}, \ldots, h_{50}$.

It only remains to indicate how the "tail end" of the $\Gamma$-density $y e^{-y} / \Gamma_{\eta}$, $\eta<y<\infty$, is to be sampled for $y>\eta$. For this, we employ the ingenious method of Carey and Drijard (Ref. 5), which in our case may be formulated by the following routine.

1. Set $P=e^{-\eta}, A=e^{-\eta}(1+\eta), B=1 /(1+\eta)$.
2. Generate random numbers $\rho_{1}, \rho_{2}$ on $(0,1)$.
3. Is $\rho_{1} \leqslant B$ ? Yes (advance to (4)), No (advance to (5)).
4. Set $r_{1}=A \rho_{1}, r_{2}=\rho_{2}$ (advance to (6)).
5. Set $r_{1}=P \exp \left[(1+\eta) \rho_{1}-1\right], r_{2}=\rho_{2} P / r_{1}$ (advance to (6)).
6. Set $y=-\log r_{1} r_{2}$.

The justification of this is based on the remarks below.
(a) To sample the density $y e^{-y} / \Gamma(2)$ on its full range ( $0, \infty$ ) (Ref. 4, C 22), one generates random numbers $\mathrm{r}_{1}, \mathrm{r}_{2}$ and sets

$$
y=-\log r_{1} r_{2}
$$

where $\left(r_{1}, r_{2}\right)$ may be thought of as a point uniformly distributed in the unit square.

## TABLE III

DATA FOR CASE $\eta$ > 5/2

| $\eta$ | C | B | h | $\varepsilon_{2}$ | $\mathrm{A}_{1}$ | $\eta$ | C | B | h | $\varepsilon_{2}$ | ${ }^{\text {A }} 1$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 3 | 3.97699 | 2.56919 | 2.539 | . 89 | . 646 | 27 | 93.5307 | 90.0099 | 5.691 | . 72 | . 962 |
| 4 | 5.77073 | 4.19987 | 2.834 | . 89 | . 728 | 28 | 98.7747 | 95.1863 | 5.786 | . 72 | . 964 |
| 5 | 7.83797 | 6.11994 | 2.967 | . 85 | . 781 | 29 | 104.113 | 100.458 | 5.851 | . 71 | . 965 |
| 6 | 10.1443 | 8.29047 | 3.157 | . 84 | . 817 | 30 | 109.545 | 105.825 | 5.941 | . 71 | . 966 |
| 7 | 12.6646 | 10.6854 | 3.336 | . 83 | . 844 | 31 | 115.067 | 111.283 | 6.027 | . 71 | . 967 |
| 8 | 15.3805 | 13.2830 | 3.488 | . 81 | . 864 | 32 | 120.680 | 116.833 | 6.115 | . 71 | . 968 |
| 9 | 18.2776 | 16.068 | . 65 | . 81 | . 879 | 33 | 126.380 | 122.471 | 6.212 | . 71 | . 969 |
| 10 | 21.3445 | 19.0287 | 3.809 | . 80 | . 892 | 34 | 132.168 | 128.198 | 6.274 | . 71 | . 970 |
| 11 | 24.5718 | 22.154 | 3.956 | . 80 | . 902 | 35 | 138.042 | 134.012 | 6.356 | . 71 | . 971 |
| 12 | 27.9518 | 25.4368 | 4.072 | . 79 | . 910 | 36 | 144.000 | 139.911 | 6.438 | . 71 | . 972 |
| 13 | 31.4775 | 28.8685 | 4.225 | . 79 | . 917 | 37 | 150.041 | 145.894 | 6.519 | . 71 | . 972 |
| 14 | 35.1430 | 32.443 | 4.315 | . 78 | . 923 | 38 | 156.165 | 151.960 | 6.598 | . 71 | . 973 |
| 15 | 38.4430 | 36.1558 | 4.44 | . 77 | . 928 | 39 | 162.370 | 158.108 | 6.678 | . 71 | . 974 |
| 16 | 42.8730 | 40.0008 | 4.557 | . 77 | . 933 | 40 | 168.655 | 164.337 | 6.757 | . 71 | . 974 |
| 17 | 46.9286 | 43.9739 | 4.67 | . 77 | . 937 | 41 | 175.019 | 170.646 | 6.836 | . 71 | . 975 |
| 18 | 51.1061 | 48.0711 | 4.792 | . 77 | . 941 | 42 | 181.461 | 177.033 | 6.910 | . 71 | . 976 |
| 19 | 55.4019 | 52.2887 | 4.887 | . 76 | . 944 | 43 | 187.980 | 183.498 | 6.988 | . 71 | . 976 |
| 20 | 59.8128 | 56.6233 | 5.01 | . 76 | . 947 | 44 | 194.575 | 190.040 | 7.049 | . 71 | . 977 |
| 21 | 64.1561 | 61.0719 | 5.121 | . 72 | . 952 | 45 | 201.246 | 196.658 | 7.124 | . 71 | . 977 |
| 22 | 68.7928 | 65.6317 | 5.196 | . 71 | . 954 | 46 | 207.991 | 203.352 | 7.195 | . 71 | . 978 |
| 23 | 73.5361 | 70.2998 | 5.316 | . 72 | . 956 | 47 | 214.811 | 210.119 | 7.269 | . 71 | . 978 |
| 24 | 78.3837 | 75.0740 | 5.401 | . 72 | . 958 | 48 | 221.703 | 216.960 | 7.342 | . 71 | . 979 |
| 25 | 83.3333 | 79.9518 | 5.500 | . 72 | . 959 | 49 | 228.667 | 223.874 | 7.415 | . 71 | . 979 |
| 26 | 88.3830 | 84.9311 | 5.599 | . 72 | . 961 | 50 | 235.702 | 230.860 | 7.483 | . 71 | . 979 |

(b) But for the tail end density, one requires only such points for which $y>\eta$, i.e., for which

$$
r_{1} r_{2}<e^{-\eta} \equiv p
$$

One could, of course, throw points ( $r_{1}, r_{2}$ ) uniformly in the unit square, and reject those lying above the hyperbola $r_{1} r_{2}=P$, but the efficiency would be poor.
(c) The above (nonrejection) device is valid since the two transformations in (4) and (5) both have Jacobian $e^{-\eta}(1+\eta)$, independent of $\rho_{1}, \rho_{2}$, and so transform the two rectangular areas of the full $\rho_{1}, \rho_{2}$ unit square determined by the line $\rho_{1}=B$ in a uniform way into the required two areas of the $r_{1}, r_{2}$ unit square; the first a rectangle of base $e^{-\eta}$ and height 1 , of area $e^{-\eta}$, and the second lying directly below the hyperbola $r_{1} r_{2}=e^{-\eta}$, with base $1-e^{-\eta}$, and area $\eta e^{-\eta}$.
v. VALUE OF $I(\eta)$ FOR $\eta \leqslant 0$

For arbitrary $n>0$, and $A \equiv e^{\eta} \leqslant 1$, one may write

$$
\begin{aligned}
J(n) & \equiv \int_{0}^{\infty} \frac{y^{n-1} d y}{e^{y-n}+1}=\int_{0}^{\infty} \frac{y^{n-1}\left(A e^{-y}\right) d y}{1+\left(A e^{-y}\right)} \\
& =\sum_{j=1}^{\infty}(-1)^{j+1} \int_{0}^{\infty} y^{n-1}\left(A e^{-y}\right)^{j} d y \\
& =\sum_{j=1}^{\infty} \frac{(-1)^{j+1} A^{j}}{. j^{n}} \int_{0}^{\infty}(j y)^{n-1} e^{-j y} d(j y) \\
& =\left(\sum_{j=1}^{\infty}(-1)^{j+1} \frac{A^{j}}{j^{n}}\right) \int_{0}^{\infty} x^{n-1} e^{-x} d x \equiv \bar{\zeta}(A, n) \Gamma(n)
\end{aligned}
$$

For $\mathrm{n}=3 / 2$, this gives in our case

$$
I(\eta)=\bar{\zeta}(A, 3 / 2) \Gamma(3 / 2)=\frac{\sqrt{\pi}}{2} \bar{\zeta}\left(e^{\eta}, 3 / 2\right)
$$

determining $\eta$ implicitly in terms of $I(\eta)$.
In particular, this shows that

$$
I(0)=\frac{\sqrt{\pi}}{2} \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} \frac{(-1)^{j+1}}{j^{3 / 2}}=\frac{\sqrt{\pi}}{2}\left(1-\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\right) \zeta(3 / 2)=.678094,
$$

where $\zeta$ is the Riemann zeta-function (cf. TABLE I).
In fact, a method (Ref. 4, R 8) for sampling $p(\dot{y})$ can be based on the above relations when $\eta<0$, but the routine of Part III seems simpler and does not restrict $\eta$ to negative values.
VI. THE MARGINAL DENSİTY OF $\mathrm{v}_{\mathrm{x}}$

It is remarkable that; by introducing polar coordinates $r, \theta$ for $v_{y}, v_{z}$, the marginal density of $\mathrm{v}_{\mathrm{x}}$ on ( $-\infty, \infty$ ) may be obtained in the explicit form (Ref. 1 , p. 334)

$$
\begin{aligned}
P_{1}\left(v_{x}\right) & =\int_{=\infty}^{\infty} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} P\left(v_{x}, v_{y}, v_{z}\right) d v_{y} d v_{z} \\
& =\frac{4 \pi m^{2} \theta}{n h^{3}} \log \left[1+\exp \left(\eta-\frac{m v_{x}^{2}}{2 \theta}\right)\right] .
\end{aligned}
$$

For $u=(m / 2 \theta)^{\frac{1}{2}} v_{x}$, we then have the $u$-density

$$
d(u)=(2 C)^{-1} \log \left(1+e^{\eta} e^{-u^{2}}\right),
$$

which seems a more well-behaved function than $p(x)$, and would, of course, serve our purpose. However, none of our attempts to sample $d(u)$ have proved feasible.
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