The Radiological Hazard of Plutonium Isotopes and Specific Plutonium Mixtures



Los Alamos National Laboratory is operated by the University of California for the United States Department of Energy under contract W-7405-ENG-36

Edited by Ruth Barks, Group CIC-1 Figures by James M. Mahan, Group CIC-1

An Affirmative Action/Equal Opportunity Employer

This report was prepared as an account of work sponsored by an agency of the United States Government. Neither The Regents of the University of California, the United States Government nor any agency thereof, nor any of their employees, makes any warranty, express or implied, or assumes any legal liability or responsibility for the accuracy, completeness, or usefulness of any information, apparatus, product, or process disclosed, or represents that its use would not infringe privately owned rights. Reference herein to any specific commercial product, process, or service by trade name, trademark, manufacturer, or otherwise, does not necessarily constitute or imply its endorsement, recommendation, or favoring by The Regents of the University of California, the United States Government, or any agency thereof. The views and opinions of authors expressed herein do not necessarily state or reflect those of The Regents of the University of California, the United States Government, or any agency thereof.

LA-13011

UC-707, UC-907, and UC-607 Issued: November 1995

The Radiological Hazard of Plutonium Isotopes and Specific Plutonium Mixtures

George Heindel James Clow William Inkret Guthrie Miller



Los Alamos, New Mexico 87545

Contents

List of Tables and Figures	vii
Abstract	1
Background	1
Method	2
Plutonium Isotopes	2
Specific Plutonium Mixtures	4
Results	5
Plutonium Isotopes	5
Specific Plutonium Mixtures	6
Conclusions	8
References	8
Appendix A. Charts of Decay Chains for Subject Isotopes	9
Appendix B. Mathematical Formulae Applied to Radioactive Decay Series	15
Bateman Equations	15
Sum of Fractions Method	16
Appendix C. Category 2 Threshold Quantities	17

List of Tables

	Page
Table 1. List of subject plutonium mixtures with their respective compositions	4
Table 2. Comparison of category 2 thresholds using the "dominant isotope method"	5

List of Figures

Figure 1. Category 2 threshold as a function of time starting with pure isotopes	3
Figure 2. Relative hazard as a function of time starting with pure isotopes	3
Figure 3. ²⁴¹ Pu relative hazard as a function of time starting with pure isotope	4
Figure 4. Time dependence of category 2 thresholds for the MT42 mixtures	6
Figure 5. Time dependence of the relative hazard for the MT42 mixtures	6
Figure 6. Time dependence of the category 2 thresholds for the MT5x mixtures	7
Figure 7. Time dependence of the relative hazard for the MT5x mixtures	7
Figure 8. Time dependence of the category 2 thresholds for the MT83 mixtures	7
Figure 9. Time dependence of the relative hazard for the MT83 mixtures	7

THE RADIOLOGICAL HAZARD OF PLUTONIUM ISOTOPES AND SPECIFIC PLUTONIUM MIXTURES

George Heindel, James Clow, William Inkret, and Guthrie Miller

Abstract

The US Department of Energy defines the hazard categories of its nuclear facilities based upon the potential for accidents to have significant effects on specific populations and the environment. In this report, the authors consider the time dependence of hazard category 2 (significant on-site effects) for facilities with inventories of plutonium isotopes and specific weapons-grade and heat-source mixtures of plutonium isotopes. The authors also define relative hazard as the reciprocal of the hazard category 2 threshold value and determine its time dependence. The time dependence of both hazard category 2 thresholds and relative hazards are determined and plotted for 10,000 years to provide useful information for planning long-term storage or disposal facilities.

BACKGROUND

A 1992 US Department of Energy (DOE) standard¹ defines hazard categorization for nuclear facilities. According to this standard, a DOE nuclear facility is designated as either a hazard category 1, 2, or 3 facility depending on the facility's potential for significant accidental consequences to workers, the public, or the environment. By categorizing a facility, DOE determines the level of sophistication required in the safety analysis report and in the level of review and approval by DOE.

The three hazard categories are as follows:

- Hazard category 1 facilities are those with "potential for significant off-site consequences." ^{1,3}
- Hazard category 2 facilities are those with "potential for significant on-site consequences." ^{1,3}
- Hazard category 3 facilities are those with "potential for only significant localized consequences ^{1,3}

DOE contractors compare the facility inventory to a threshold table to determine a preliminary hazard category for the facility; final hazard category determination occurs after more complete facility-specific hazard analysis. The DOE standard¹ provides threshold values for hazard categories both in mass units (grams) and activity units (curies). The specific activity (curies/gram) for each isotope is what relates the two values of mass units (grams) and activity units (curies).

The standard¹ lists hazard category 2 and 3 threshold values for a large number of radioisotopes. If the facility exceeds the hazard category 2 threshold and has not been designated a hazard category 1 facility by the program secretarial officer (PSO), then the facility is considered to be a hazard category 2 facility. A facility is hazard category 3 if its inventory exceeds the hazard category 3 threshold but does not exceed the hazard category 2 threshold. If a facility inventory is less than the hazard category 3 threshold, the facility may not be considered a nuclear facility. Hazard category 2 threshold values represent quantities of radionuclides that could result in a dose of 10 mSv (1 rem) 300 meters away if one uses standard air dispersion and dose calculations. Hazard category 3 values are derived from the Environmental Protection Agency definitions of reportable quantities for radionuclides published in 40 CFR 302.4, Appendix B. These values represent levels of materials which, if released, would produce 0.10-Sv (10-rem) dose, 30 meters away, based on a 24-hour exposure.

In previous work², the authors duplicated DOE methodology, found some errors in the DOE standard, determined hazard category 2 threshold values for additional isotopes, and published results in a LANL fact sheet.

For the purposes of this paper, we have defined the concept of relative hazard as the reciprocal of the hazard category 2 threshold value for that isotope or mixture.

METHOD

Plutonium Isotopes

The plutonium isotopes of interest are ²³⁸Pu, ²³⁹Pu, ²⁴⁰Pu, ²⁴¹Pu, ²⁴²Pu, and ²⁴⁴Pu, each of which is the parent of relatively long series decay chains (Appendix A). Standard references provided the authors with the identity of the decay series and their half-lives. We calculated specific activities from the half-lives and took the available hazard category 2 threshold values from DOE-STD-1027-92. If the threshold values were not available, we calculated threshold activities using the methodology of the DOE standard (Appendix C). References 4 and 5 provided committed effective dose equivalents (CEDE) and cloud shine dose equivalents (CSDE) for this calculation.

Using Bateman equations⁶ (Appendix B), we calculated, as a function of time, the amount of each daughter present in each decay series. We then determined the hazard category 2 threshold (grams) as a function of time for a mass of material that was a pure plutonium isotope at time = 0, using the sum of fractions method described in Appendix B. This is a DOE-specified technique to account for the dose contribution of each member of a mixture of radionuclides.

By taking the reciprocal of this value, we determined the relative hazard of that material as a function of time (Figures 1, 2). All abscissa are time in years. Because spontaneous fission occurs a very small fraction of the time for ²³⁸Pu, ²⁴⁰Pu, ²⁴²Pu, and ²⁴⁴Pu, we considered neither the in-growth of fission fragments nor criticality in this analysis.



Figure 2 does not make readily apparent the increase in hazard of ²⁴¹Pu during the first few decades.

Figure 3 better illustrates this, being the same graph of ²⁴¹Pu but with a linear instead of logarithmic relative hazard scale.



Figure 3. ²⁴¹Pu relative hazard as a function of time starting with pure isotope

Specific Plutonium Mixtures

Table 1 is a list of subject plutonium mixtures with their respective compositions. The Nuclear Materials Measurement and Accountability Group (NMT-4) of the Nuclear Materials Technology Division provided this data.

	-					
Material	238 _{Pu}	239 _{Pu}	240 _{Pu}	241 _{Pu}	242 _{Pu}	244 _{Pu}
Туре	(weight %)					
MT42 84%	1.02	1.37	10.32	3.13	84.14	0.02
MT42 90%	0.72	1.26	6.4	1.86	89.77	
MT42 95%	0.45	0.56	2.47	0.906	95.58	0.029
MT 51	0.006	96.77	3.13	0.076	0.018	
MT 52	0.01	93.78	6	0.2	0.02	
MT 53	0.03	91.08	8.45	0.366	0.071	
MT 54	0.046	87.42	11.5	0.81	0.22	
MT 55	0.06	83.88	14.73	1.03	0.304	
MT 56	0.061	81.9	16.51	1.18	0.355	
MT 57	0.433	74.63	20.7	2.55	1.69	
MT 83 83%	83.89	13.8	1.9	0.32	0.09	
MT 83	89.26	10.07	0.633	0.021	0.015	
07/0	1	1		1	1	1

Table 1. List of subject plutonium mixtures with their respective compositions

The DOE standard suggests that a facility processing weapons-grade plutonium should be classified based on the amount of dominant isotope, without specifying the amount of other trace isotopes involved. We thought it would be interesting to compare the category 2 thresholds using this "dominant isotope method" as contrasted with the calculated "sum-of-fractions method" described in Appendix B. Table 2 displays the results of this comparison.

Material Type	Cat. 2 Threshold by Sum of Fractions Method	Cat. 2 Threshold by Dominant Isotope Method (grams)	Ratio: Sum of Fraction to Dominant Isotope
MT 51	813	913	0.89
MT 52	730	913	0.80
MT 53	644	913	0.71
MT 54	542	913	0.59
MT 55	487	913	0.53
MT 56	463	913	0.51
MT 57	265	913	0.29
MT 42 84%	225	1.51E+04	0.015
MT 42 90%	333	1.51E+04	0.022
MT 42 95%	573	1.51E+04	0.038
MT 83 83%	4.29	3.63	1.18
MT 83 89%	4.03	3.63	1.11

Table 2. Comparison of category 2 thresholds using the "dominant isotope method"

Knowing the in-growth of daughter isotopes starting with pure plutonium isotopes and their respective category 2 thresholds, we applied these to the above mixtures to determine their category 2 thresholds and relative hazards as functions of time. (Figures 4–9).

RESULTS

Plutonium Isotopes (Figures 1–3)

- The relative hazard of ²³⁸Pu decreases exponentially for approximately 2000 years. This exponential decrease is followed by a turnaround resulting from the in-growth of ²³⁴U, ²³⁰Th, ²¹⁸Po, ²¹⁴Po, and ²¹⁰Po. Three of these species, ²¹⁸Po, ²¹⁴Po, and ²¹⁰Po comprise ~32% of the increased hazard at 10,000 years and follow ²²²Rn in the decay series. Their presence depends on whether radon stays with the material or outgasses. The results presented here assume the radon remains with the original material.
- The relative hazard of ²³⁹Pu is constant over 10,000 years. With a half-life of 24000 years and a first daughter, ²³⁵U, with a half-life of 7.04E+08 years, changes in the first 10,000 years are minimal.

- The relative hazard of ²⁴⁰Pu decreases noticeably during the last several-thousand years of the 10,000-year study period due exclusively to its 6540-year half-life. Early daughters have such long half-lives (10⁷ and 10¹⁰ years) that they and later daughters do not contribute.
- The relative hazard of ²⁴¹Pu is a bit more interesting. It peaks at about 60 years with an increased hazard of about 60% due to the in-growth of ²⁴¹Am. It then decreases with the decay of the ²⁴¹Am to a minimum at about 8000 years, when it begins to increase again mostly due to the in-growth of ²³⁷Np.
- The relative hazard of ²⁴²Pu is constant over 10,000 years. With a half-life of 376,000 years, changes in the first 10,000 years are minimal.
- The relative hazard of ²⁴⁴Pu is constant for the first few-thousand years but then begins to increase (69% in the last few-thousand years), due to the in-growth of ²⁴⁰Pu. ²⁴⁰U and ²⁴⁰Np are in secular equilibrium (equal activities) with ²⁴⁴Pu very shortly after the creation of the ²⁴⁴Pu; however, their presence does not contribute significantly to the relative hazard because they are beta/gamma emitters and as such have very high category 2 thresholds.



Specific Plutonium Mixtures (Figures 4–9)

Figures 4 and 5 illustrate the time dependence of the category 2 thresholds and relative hazard for the MT42 mixtures. The ²³⁸Pu and ²⁴¹Pu components of these mixtures have a large effect on the

values for the mixtures. The slowly decreasing relative hazard with time can be seen to follow closely the curves for 238 Pu and the 241 Pu/ 241 Am isotopes.



Figures 6 and 7 illustrate the time dependence of the category 2 thresholds and relative hazard for the MT5x mixtures. The relative hazard for the first 10,000 years is dominated by the ²⁴⁰Pu and ²⁴¹Pu/²⁴¹Am components. After this much time, the curves are converging to the pure ²³⁹Pu curve.



Figure 8. Time dependence of the category 2 thresholds for the MT83 mixtures

Figure 9. Time dependence of the relative hazard for the MT83 mixtures

Figures 8 and 9 illustrate the time dependence of the category 2 thresholds and relative hazard for the MT83 mixtures. The relative hazard for the first thousand years is dominated by the ²³⁸Pu component. However, since the half-life of ²³⁸Pu is 87.7 years, the relative hazard is dominated by the ²³⁹Pu component (~10%) after a thousand years.

CONCLUSIONS

To determine a preliminary hazard category for a nuclear facility with a lifetime on the order of 100 years or less, one should pay particular attention to inventories rich in ²⁴¹Pu and the MT42 series of specific mixtures.

- The relative hazard of ²⁴¹Pu increases 60% during the first 60 years of its life.
- The relative hazard of the MT42 series is dominated by isotopes other than ²⁴²Pu rendering it 26–66 times more hazardous than ²⁴²Pu alone.

To evaluate the hazard of a long-term (10,000-year) storage facility, one should consult the time dependence of the relative hazard of plutonium isotopes and specific mixtures presented in this report, since time dependence is not readily apparent from a consideration of the initially dominant isotope alone.

REFERENCES

- "Hazard Categorization and Accident Analysis Techniques for Compliance with DOE Order 5480.23, Nuclear Safety Analysis Reports," DOE-STD-1027-92, US DOE, Washington, DC, (December 1992).
- Clow et al., "Specific Activities and DOE-STD-1027-92 Hazard Category 2 Thresholds, LANL Fact Sheet," Los Alamos National Laboratory report LA-12846-MS, (November 1994).
- DOE Order 5480.23, "Nuclear Safety Analysis Reports," US DOE, Washington, DC, (April 10, 1992).
- "Internal Dose Conversion Factors for Calculation of Dose to the Public," US DOE, Washington, DC, DOE/EH-0071, (July 1988).
- 5. "External Dose-Rate Conversion Factors for Calculation of Dose to the Public," US DOE, Washington , DC, DOE/EH-0070, (July 1988).
- 6. Bateman, H., "Solution of a System of Differential Equations Occurring in the Theory of Radio-active Transformations," *Proc. Cambridge Phil. Soc.* **15**, 428, (1910).

APPENDIX A. Charts of Decay Chains for Subject Isotopes

Isotope	Half-Life (years)	Specific Act.	Cat.2
		(Ci/g)	Threshold
			(grams)
²³⁸ Pu	87.7	17.1	3.63
²³⁴ U	2.45E+05	6.24E-03	3.53E+04
²³⁰ Th	7.7E+04	2.02E-02	4.40E+03
²²⁶ Ra	1.6E+03	0.989	2.62E+09
²²² Rn	1.05E-02 (3.82 d)	1.53E+05	1.10E+03
²¹⁸ Po	5.80E-06 (3 m)	2.83E+08	1.94E-07*
²¹⁴ Pb	5.09E-05 (27 m)	3.28E+07	0.128
²¹⁴ Bi	3.74E-05 (20 m)	4.47E+07	9.09E-02
²¹⁴ Po	5.20E-12 (164 usec)	3.21E+14	1.71E-13*
²¹⁰ Pb	22.3	76.3	29.0
²¹⁰ Bi	1.37E-02 (5 d)	1.24E+05	1.20
²¹⁰ Po	0.379	4.49E+03	7.8E-02
²⁰⁶ Pb	stable		

²³⁸Pu

239 P	u
-------	---

Isotope	Half-Life (years)	Specific Act. (Ci/g)	Cat. 2 Threshold (grams)
²³⁹ Pu	2.4E+04	6.23E-02	9.13E+02
235 U	7.04E+08	2.16E-06	1.10E+08
²³¹ Th	2.91E-03 (25.5 h)	5.32E+05	65.9
²³¹ Pa	3.28E+04	4.72E-02	4.66E+02
²²⁷ Ac	21.8	72.2	5.89E-02
²²³ Fr	4.15E-05 (21.8 m)	3.86E+07	0.12
²²³ Ra	0.0312 (11.4 d)	5.14E+04	7.44E-02
²¹⁹ Rn	1.3E-07 (4 sec)	1.26E+10	8.45E-05
²¹⁵ Po	5.64E-11 (1.8 msec)	2.95E+13	1.86E-12*
²¹¹ Pb	6.86E-05 (36 m)	2.47E+07	0.144
²¹¹ Bi	4.07E-06 (2 m)	4.16E+08	1.33E-07*
²⁰⁷ Tl	9.08E-06 (4.8 m)	1.9E+08	2.26E-03*
²⁰⁷ Pb	stable		

²⁴⁰ Pu

Isotope	Half-Life (years)	Specific Act. (Ci/g)	Cat. 2 Threshold (grams)
²⁴⁰ Pu	6.54E+03	2.28E-01	2.47E+02
236 U	2.34E+07	6.47E-05	8.50E+05*
²³² Th	1.40E+10	1.10E-07	1.63E+08
²²⁸ Ra	5.75	2.73E+02	25.0
²²⁸ Ac	6.99E-04 (6.13 h)	2.24E+06	4.38E-02
²²⁸ Th	1.913	8.20E+02	0.112
²²⁴ Ra	1.00E-02 (3.66 d)	1.60E+05	6.19E-02
²²⁰ Rn	1.76E-06 (55.6 s)	9.23E+08	0.130
²¹⁶ Po	4.76E-09 (0.15 s)	3.48E+11	1.58E-10 [*]
²¹² Pb	1.21E-03 (10.6 h)	1.39E+06	0.128
²¹² Bi	1.15E-04 (60.6 m)	1.47E+07	9.24E-02
²⁰⁸ Tl	5.83E-06 (3.07 m)	2.94E+08	1.46E-03*
²⁰⁸ Pb	stable		

Isotope	Half-Life (years)	Specific Act. (Ci/g)	Cat. 2 Threshold (grams)
²⁴¹ Pu	14.4	103	28.0
²⁴¹ Am	432	3.43	16.0
²³⁷ Np	2.14E+06	7.05E-04	8.30E+04
²³³ Pa	7.40E-02 (27 d)	2.07E+04	1.58E+02
²³³ U	1.59E+05	9.65E-03	2.30E+04
²²⁹ Th	7.34E+03	0.213	67.1
²²⁵ Ra	4.05E-02 (14.8 d)	3.92E+04	9.60E-02
²²⁵ Ac	2.74E-02 (10 d)	5.80E+04	4.90E-02
²²¹ Fr	9.13E-06 (4.8 m)	1.77E+08	3.10E-07*
²¹⁷ At	1.02E-09 (32.2 msec)	1.61E+12	3.42E-11*
²¹³ Bi	8.68E-05 (45.6 m)	1.93E+07	8.64E-02
²¹³ Po	1.33E-13 (4.2 usec)	1.26E+16	4.44E-15*
²⁰⁹ Pb	3.71E-04 (3.25 h)	4.61E+06	69.0
²⁰⁹ Bi	stable		

²⁴² Pı	u
-------------------	---

Isotope	Half-Life (years)	Specific Act. (Ci/g)	Cat. 2 Threshold
²⁴² Pu	3.76E+05	3.93E-03	1.51E+04
²³⁸ U	4.47E+09	3.36E-07	7.08E+08
²³⁴ Th	6.60E-02	2.31E+04	37.4
^{234m} Pa	2.23E-06 (1.17min)	6.86E+08	6.27E-04*
234 U	2.45E+05	6.24E-03	3.52E+04
²³⁰ Th	8.00E+04	1.94E-02	4.59E+03
²²⁶ Ra	1.60E+03	0.989	2.62E+09
²²² Rn	1.05E-02	1.54E+05	1.05E+03
²¹⁸ Po	5.80E-06 (3.05 min)	2.83E+08	1.94E-07*
²¹⁴ Pb	5.10E-05 (26.8 min)	3.28E+07	0.128
²¹⁴ Bi	3.79E-05 (19.9 min)	4.41E+07	9.09E-02
²¹⁴ Po	5.21E-12 (164 usec)	3.12E+14	1.76E-13 [*]
²¹⁰ Pb	22.3	76.4	28.8
²¹⁰ Bi	1.37E-02	1.24E+05	1.21
²¹⁰ Po	0.379	4.49E+03	7.85E-02
²⁰⁶ Pb	stable		

Pu

Isotope	Half-Life (years)	Specific Act. (Ci/g)	Cat. 2 Threshold
²⁴⁴ Pu	8.26E+07	1.77E-05	3.35E+06
²⁴⁰ U	1.61E-03 (14.1 h)	9.25E+05	0.465
²⁴⁰ Np	1.24E-04 (65 m)	1.20E+07	3.58E-02
²⁴⁰ Pu	6.54E+03	2.28E-01	247
236 U	2.34E+07	6.47E-05	8.50E+05*
²³² Th	1.40E+10	1.10E-07	1.63E+08
²²⁸ Ra	5.75	273	25
²²⁸ Ac	6.99E-04 (6.13 h)	2.24E+05	4.38E-02
²²⁸ Th	1.913	820	0.112
²²⁴ Ra	1.00E-02 (3.66 d)	1.60E+05	6.19E-02
²²⁰ Rn	1.76E-06 (55.6 s)	9.23E+08	0.13
²¹⁶ Po	4.76E-09 (0.15 s)	3.48E+11	1.58E-10*
²¹² Pb	1.21E-03 (10.6 h)	1.39E+06	0.128
²¹² Bi	1.15E-04 (60.6 m)	1.47E+07	9.24E-02
²⁰⁸ Tl	5.83E-06 (3.07 m)	2.94E+08	1.46E-03*
²⁰⁸ Pb	stable		

APPENDIX B

Bateman Equations:

In radioactive decay series (such as listed in Appendix A), the time rate of change in activity of any member of the series depends on the activity of the member before it (source of supply) and its own activity, or decay rate (sink). Expressed mathematically:

$$\frac{dA_{i}}{dt} = \lambda_{i-1} \frac{dA_{i-1}}{dt} - \lambda_{i}A_{i}$$

where A_i = the activity of the ith member of the series,

$$\lambda_i = \frac{\ln 2}{r_{1/2}}$$
 = the decay constant of the ith member, and

 $T_{1/2}$ = the half life of the ith member

Bateman provided the following solution to find the activity of any member of the decay series as a function of time:

$$A_n(t) = A_1^0 \sum_{i=1}^n C_i e^{-\lambda_i t}$$

where A_1^0 = the initial activity of the parent, $A_n(t)$ = the activity of the nth member at time t, and

$$c_{i} = \frac{\prod_{k=2}^{n} \lambda_{k}}{\prod_{j=1}^{n} (\lambda_{j} - \lambda_{j})}; \qquad j \neq i$$

$C_i = 1$ by definition

This solution assumes the initial condition (at t=0) that: $A_1(0) = A_1^0$ and all other $A_n(0) = 0$; that is that there are no daughter isotopes. Sum of Fractions Method:

If the facility inventory includes more than one radioactive isotope, the inventory limit for a hazard category 2 is such that:

$$\sum_{i=1}^{n} \left(\frac{m_i}{Th_i} \right) = 1$$

where m_i = the mass inventory of the ith isotope, and Th_i = the category 2 mass threshold limit for that isotope.

Likewise, then, for a mixture of total mass, M, comprising n different isotopes, each represented by a fraction, f_1 , of the total,

$$H * \sum_{i=1}^{n} \left(\frac{f_i}{Th_i} \right) = 1$$

It follows, then, that the category 2 threshold for this mixture is:

$$H = \frac{1}{\sum_{i=1}^{n} \left(\frac{f_i}{Th_i}\right)}$$

APPENDIX C. Category 2 Threshold Quantities:

The category 2 threshold is the amount of material that, if released, could result in a dose of 10mSv (1 rem) to a person 300 meters away. This calculation is as follows:

Threshold (grams) = $\frac{1}{\frac{RF * SA * X}{Q} * (CEDE * RR + CSDE)}$

where:

RF = airborne release fraction (see reference 1) SA = specific activity (Ci/gm) X/Q = atmospheric dispersion (sec/m³) CEDE = committed effective does equivalent (rem/Ci) RR = respiration rate = 3.50E-04 m³/sec $CSDE^* = cloud shine dose equivalent (rem-m³/Ci-sec)$

*The external dose to a person immersed in a cloud of radioactive material.

This report has been reproduced directly from the best available copy.

It is available to DOE and DOE contractors from the Office of Scientific and Technical Information, P.O. Box 62, Oak Ridge, TN 37831. Prices are available from (615) 576-8401.

It is available to the public from the National Technical Information Service, US Department of Commerce, 5285 Port Royal Rd., Springfield, VA 22161.



Los Alamos, New Mexico 87545