OFFICE MEMORANDUM

T. L. Shipman, M. 19

H-6

DATE: 30 January 1953

FROM

SUBJECT:

SYMBOL :

T. N. White, F-6

RAD-SAFE ORGANIZATION FOR TESTS

326 US ATOMIC ENERGY

407625

26 00

COMMISSION

) ation LANL

Strong Lound Cuter-En, B39

MARG-Sourd

(1) I am afraid that this will defeat the purpose of the plan. If a program director is poing to lose operational control of his radsafe men, what incentive does to have to pick and train good men? He is then being asked to be and now for a job that was previously done for him, with no assumance of any benefit to his program, and he will be more than before divided to criticize the rad-safe organization. I believe that if it wouldn't spoing to be successful, each program director must have full perational control of all of his people, and must carry fill esponsibility for all of the rad-safe requirements that are seen than to his program, while the rad-safe and so responsible only an openeral policy and those services that are of common into the hill programs.

07

- (2) I think that sime of the foregoing objections apply also to this scheme, though not with the same notional basis? Some program directors was have excellent messon of a preferring to depend entirely on the feel madesafe unit. They may have no qualified people, and no way of brainly, eccess of this scheme is forced down their threats, they will never a made the test organization provide their peeds, and the rails are unit will be back in the training his mess ander ever a new of finality of commistances.
- (3) I would put the test rad-safe office in an advisory position with respect to program requirements. If the program director is to be able to carry discommand responsibility for safety, he must be free to accept on reject such advice on its own responsibility. If he is subject to outside restrict and on the size of his safety organization, he makend he had the consequences.
- (4) For the foregoing measons, it feels that the main emphasis in the instructions to the program disease should be on the fact that he is responsible for the safety of the people. He should then be given the option of adopting eiter of the two plans, and should be asked to state who in his amoram should be contacted with regard to the periods (his in the contacted rad-safe unit.)
- (5) Another advantage is the reduction of relation by giving the dissatisfied restores a way out. I dees referrichly

They it belongs

COPIES/DOE

FORM 25R 75M 2-52